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Foreword

Taking Root, like its predecessor Dreams of Empire, provides the reader with a rich and carefully chosen array of beautiful reproductions of archival records. Both historians and the general public will enjoy this privileged look at our past: landscapes and rural scenes; cities and buildings; political, military and religious figures, and ordinary Canadians. Using manuscripts, other written material and pictures, this book gives us an intimate understanding of the thinking, interests and concerns of the men and women who — though we sometimes tend to forget this — were as much alive as we are today.

As the minister responsible for the Public Archives of Canada, and consequently for the conservation and optimum use of a large part of Canada's archival heritage, I am very pleased to welcome this second volume, which is an excellent tool for fulfilling the PAC's mission of serving as the collective memory of the Canadian people. The major exhibition, also called Taking Root, has the same theme and uses about half the archival records that appear in the book. Like the book, the exhibition will make this period of our history more accessible and understandable to the general public. The past has great significance for the present, but it is very difficult to bridge the gap created by the years.

Taking Root deals not only with geography, population, government, economy, and social and religious life, but also with the fact that the people living in the St. Lawrence River valley in the early eighteenth century had their own way of life and way of thinking. They were conscious of their distinct traditions and history, and were becoming very firmly rooted as Canadians. The following statement by a group of inhabitants around 1719 to the political authorities in France clearly illustrates this new identity:

"Notice if you will, milords, that the residents of this colony have been here for up to four generations, or have recently settled here; that their families, many of which are large, are with them; that they were the first to help found this colony; that they cleared and cultivated the land . . . built churches and fine homes, helped fortify the settlements, supported the war effort . . . ."

As the colony along the St. Lawrence was developing, British colonies were being established in Nova Scotia, in Newfoundland and around Hudson's Bay. A great many immigrants had settled in Canada and had no desire to return to their countries of origin.
These settlers were the ancestors of a large part of Canada's present population. Their descendants will no doubt be pleased to find a wealth of information in this book about the activities and way of life of their forebears. Those people who came to Canada more recently, in particular those of various origins who settled and cultivated the West from the Prairies to the Pacific, will gain a better understanding of their fellow Canadians through this book by learning about the political and social organization and the economic and artistic development of the first settlers from the Atlantic countries, and by comparing these things with the culture of their own ancestors, who came from Central Europe, Asia or elsewhere.

This book can be used as a reference tool, and can also be read at various levels. It is my sincere hope that many people will have the opportunity to enjoy it, and that Taking Root will fulfil the objectives of the Public Archives of Canada by increasing Canadians' awareness and understanding of their past, and by bringing the past to life for them, using the authentic records of our history.

Marcel Masse
Minister of Communications
Preface

Taking Root is the second volume published by the Public Archives of Canada in its series Records of Our History. This series, which is intended for both students and the general public, gives an overview of the many facets of Canada's development and presents reproductions of the main archival records — evidence from the past — that researchers consult when writing the history of our country. As a celebration and illustration of Canada's history, the volumes of this series, which contain many hitherto unpublished and little-known records from the Public Archives and other Canadian and foreign institutions, give readers a wonderful opportunity to expand their knowledge of the past, and they will make a student's first experience with original sources a pleasant one.

Whereas Dreams of Empire covered the period from the discovery of Canada to 1700, Taking Root reviews Canada's history from 1700 to 1760. It begins with a brief summary of the period being examined. The text is illustrated with 207 reproductions of archival records — maps, engravings, paintings, seals, medals, manuscripts and printed materials — from 38 Canadian, American and European institutions. These records are divided into themes and sub-themes prefaced by short introductions. Each record is accompanied by a description, giving the technical details, background, origin and occasionally major excerpts.

The book is designed to enable readers to browse through it, and if an illustration catches their eye, they can find the description and historical background close by. Of course, they can also read the book from cover to cover, and refer to the records that illustrate, support and explain the text.

André Vachon, historian, editor and a member of the Royal Society of Canada, was responsible for the content of the book. He wrote the summary of the period and the introductions to the themes and sub-themes. Victorin Chabot and André Desrosiers of the French Archives Section at the Public Archives participated in the project as well. André Desrosiers researched the manuscripts and many of the other records; he assisted André Vachon in his research, joined in the final selection of items and wrote the descriptions. Victorin Chabot co-ordinated the project by overseeing all stages, providing liaison between the various units involved and communicating with outside institutions. We should also thank the following people for their help: Gilles Langelier, maps; Marc Lebel and Auguste Vachon, pictures; and Yves Marcoux, printed material. All these persons participated in the preliminary selection of records and the preparation of the technical descriptions. Raymonde Litalien, head of our Paris office, was responsible for locating and reproducing a number of records conserved in France. Aline Brunet typed the texts.
Photography Services reproduced the records. Graphic design was by Wawa Design based on a concept by Eiko Emori. The Publications Division edited the texts and produced the book.

The original volume was written in French under the title *L'enracinement*. It was translated into English by John F. Flinn, professor at the University of Toronto.

In addition, an exhibition was prepared of many of the items reproduced in the book. This exhibition will travel around Canada and to other countries during the next few years.

We would like to express our sincere thanks to André Vachon and all those who worked on the preparation of this book, especially the institutions that allowed us to reproduce originals for this publication and the exhibition.

Bernard Weilbrenner

*Assistant Dominion Archivist*
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During the eighteenth century, New France experienced considerable growth, despite losing Hudson's Bay, Newfoundland and Nova Scotia in 1713. The rapidly increasing population spread out into new territory, including Louisiana and Île Royale. The residents of New France multiplied their industrial and commercial ventures, established social institutions, and developed their own customs, values and characteristics. Attachment to the land, strong family ties and community life characterized French-Canadian society more and more as time passed.

Several thousand English and Irish immigrants settled in Newfoundland between 1700 and 1760. Nova Scotia remained populated almost exclusively by Acadians until 1749, when England began sending over many English, German and Swiss colonists, who brought with them diverse customs and religious beliefs.

Although the Indians maintained their traditional way of life, they could not escape the white man's influence, and adopted some of his values and habits.
When the eighteenth century began, New France was officially at peace with her neighbours to the south, but no one in the colony had any illusions about the stability of the situation. The signing of the Treaty of Ryswick in 1697 was of much more consequence for Europe than for America. On this side of the Atlantic the ambition that had consumed both sides since the 1680s had not become any less intense, fuelled as it was by the same motives that had kindled the first conflagration. Consequently, far from forgetting their quarrel, the rivals took advantage of what was in effect only a truce to strengthen their positions and further their aims.

On the political level, for example, Louis XIV took two very important decisions in rapid succession: to found Louisiana in 1700 and Detroit in 1701, with a view to containing the thrust by the English towards the Mississippi and the Great Lakes, which would have brought them closer to the Spanish colonies. Governor General de Callière would have much preferred that the western posts be reopened and trading licences, which had been abolished in 1696, be re-established; his counsels were not heeded, and the king gave his approval to the purely military conception — albeit a defensive one — of the planned establishments. In this way the royal determination to assert French dominion and maintain it over the part of the continent west of the Appalachians was made clear.

On the diplomatic level Callière undertook a task in this period that had perhaps had no parallel up until then. During this time there were almost incessant wars that stirred up several of the tribes allied with the French against one another. Some of these tribes, moreover, were attacking the Iroquois themselves, which risked at any moment setting the West ablaze or plunging the colony into a new Franco-Iroquois war. In the face of this turmoil, Callière undertook the almost impossible task of pacifying these tribes, some thirty in number, and inducing them to sign a general peace treaty to which the French and the Iroquois would themselves subscribe.
He was a skillful negotiator, and on 4 August 1701 he brought his task to a successful conclusion; from then on people referred to the Great Peace of Montréal. It is true that circumstances had aided the governor: as the English, who nevertheless pretended to consider the Iroquois to be British subjects, had failed to come to their aid when they were attacked on their own territory, the Five Nations had felt freer to parley directly with the French and reach an understanding with them. The French had won a signal victory: the Iroquois had in fact pledged their word to remain neutral in the event of a conflict between France and England.

The War of the Spanish Succession

Five years after the Treaty of Ryswick a new European war, the War of the Spanish Succession, was the signal for the resumption of hostilities in North America. Callière, who died soon after, decided on his own to avoid any action that by giving offence to the Iroquois might have nullified the effects of the 1701 treaty. This time the minister agreed with him, and Governor de Vaudreuil, who had been appointed Callière's successor in 1703, applied himself very zealously and intelligently to implementing a strategy that would respect that policy. He viewed New France as being made up of three distinct parts, each of which he should approach differently. First, Canada (the heart of the French empire in America) had to be protected against a third Iroquois war; consequently he had to refrain from any sort of action against the Five Nations, or even against their English allies in the colony of New York. He was very successful in this, at least to begin with, and the New Yorkers themselves abstained from any warlike acts against the French until 1709. Secondly, in the East, Acadia, a buffer zone between the English and the Canadian colony, had to be retained, and to do so Vaudreuil had to keep the Abenakis — formidable warriors — from going over to the side of the English; that was why he had to immediately compromise them by launching them against Massachusetts and what is now Maine before they switched their allegiance. Finally, on a third front, the West, it was of supreme importance, in order to retain that immense region, to be able to count upon the loyalty and support of the allied tribes and to prevent them by all possible means from warring among themselves.

In the West the situation was very difficult, as Callière had foreseen when Detroit was founded. In the western posts it was customary to carry on trade and diplomacy side by side: excellent diplomatic relations with the various tribes assured the French of a good harvest of furs, and the inverse was equally true. But, as a result of the markets being glutted, France had, to all intents and purposes, closed posts such as Michillimakinac by forbidding all trade there: being deprived of their means of subsistence, the garrisons had withdrawn. Consequently the personnel of the posts was no longer there to keep an eye on the tribes and exert an influence upon them — particularly to keep them from quarrelling among themselves — and to keep them in the French trade network. Furthermore, the Five Nations, who had never allowed those tribes to cross their territory in order to take their pelts to Albany, began to give them permission after 1701 to do so. The Iroquois, who had been very much weakened by their wars in the seventeenth century, were in fact
seeking to prevent the nations from resuming hostilities against them. Finally, at
the new post of Detroit, which had been built amidst the Iroquois hunting grounds,
a few tribes (Hurons and Ottawas for example) came to settle, and they did not fail
to trade with the Iroquois and the English, which in the long run could only put
their loyalty to the French in peril.

The minister's policy, therefore, risked seriously endangering the Canadian
economy and diplomacy in the West. But the governor general had requested in
vain that the posts be reopened and the system of trading licences re-established,
measures without which, in his opinion, disaster lay straight ahead. He was not
totally wrong.

The war was, then, confined to the eastern fronts. In Newfoundland, French
and English multiplied their raids and ravaged one another's settlements and harbour
installations. The most outstanding successes were the capture by the French of
Bonavista in 1705 and St. John's in 1709.

It was, nevertheless, Acadia that was really at stake in this war — Acadia,
which since 1613 had never known a period when it was not subject to attack,
taken, retaken and constantly coveted. It has been written that whoever held it was
in possession of "the key to the St. Lawrence." Acadia was also the only French
position in New France that directly threatened the English settlements on the
Atlantic coast. That was why it was the scene of so many military actions and surprise
attacks in the seventeenth century; and that was also why, during the War of the
Spanish Succession, almost all military activity was concentrated there. At the
beginning of the century the Acadians were very few in number (about 1,200), hence
the importance of the Abenakis. Although they were very early courted by the
English and were at first tempted to join them, they finally allowed themselves to
be persuaded by the French to switch allegiance. With a few Frenchmen at their
head they carried out terror raids: they killed wantonly, took prisoners and destroyed
everything in their path. The riposte from the English was swift and Acadia took as
much as it gave. But that was only a matter of reprisals: what the English wanted
was possession of the very heart of Acadia — the capital, Port-Royal; in 1704 and
1705 they tried to take it unsuccessfully.

Harassing and ravaging Massachusetts and Maine to keep the Abenakis loyal to
their allegiance with the French was perhaps not a bad idea. But in 1708, just when
the French were completing the pacification of the tribes that, having come together
at Detroit, had been warring with one another since 1706 — which Callière had
once more foreseen — a rapprochement took place between the English in New York
and the Iroquois on the one hand and the New England settlers on the other. With
the support of reinforcements from England, who had been called to the rescue, they
would deliver the final blow to New France.

This determination to be done with the enemy to the north was marked by an
initial success: in 1710 Port-Royal fell. The following year Admiral Walker,
commanding an imposing fleet, was sailing for Québec, while land troops, accom­
panied by some 700 Iroquois, were preparing to invade Canada by way of Lake
Champlain and the Richelieu River. On a foggy night eight of Walker's ships were
shattered on the reefs of Île aux Oeufs. The admiral turned about; the invasion plan had failed. New France, one may well believe, had had a narrow escape.

Vaudreuil was not, for all that, at the end of his difficulties. The following year (1712), war broke out at Detroit, where several tribes, supported by the French garrison, attacked the Foxes and Mascoutens. By having Michillimakinac reoccupied immediately and sending emissaries to dissuade the Miamis and Illinois from joining the belligerents, the governor general narrowly prevented a wide-spread conflagration in the West.

The beaver crisis

The War of the Spanish Succession had been fought out entirely at a time when the colony was plagued by unprecedented economic problems. It had all started towards the end of the seventeenth century. After the expansion of the fur trade, which had been brought on by the obligation requiring the lessee of the Domaine d'Occident holding the trading concession to take all the beaver at a set price, and by the ill-considered policy followed by Frontenac, who used the pretext of military operations to launch big trading operations, France found herself with an enormous surplus of beaver pelts that no market could absorb rapidly. It was a matter of extreme urgency to reduce radically the shipments of beaver to the mother country, and, in order to do that, to cut down the production of pelts at their main source. In 1696 the king did away with the twenty-five fur-trading licences granted each year, shut down the western posts and ordered the coureurs de bois to return home. The measure seemed excessive to the Québec authorities: would their alliances with the western tribes survive the departure of the French? For, as Vaudreuil wrote in 1713, "the Indians' hearts are retained only by supplying them with what they need," and "they always take the side of those with whom they are trading." Now, there was good reason to wager that henceforth they would trade with the English. From Versailles the minister agreed to maintain a few posts in the West, but he refused to re-establish the trading licences. This settled nothing.

In Canada the merchants and leading citizens, upset by the crisis that had just burst upon them and that threatened them with ruin in short order, would not comply with the request of the French holders of the monopoly on pelts that they accept a lower price for their beaver furs or reduce production considerably. The unanimity that marked their refusal, the community of interests that motivated them and the attitude of the king himself, who seemed not to be at all opposed to their representations, led them to form the Compagnie du Canada, better known as the Compagnie de la Colonie, which was essentially an enterprise for exporting Canadian furs. In leaving management of pelts to them Versailles was hoping that they would very quickly impose upon themselves the restrictions made necessary by the saturation of the European market. Be that as it may, under the conventions signed by Pascaud in the name of the Canadian settlers, the lessee of the Domaine d'Occident sold the Canadians his surplus stock of beaver pelts and granted them the monopoly of the sale of beaver in France and Holland, and at the same time all the rights attached to the Domaine d'Occident (duties on the colony's imports and exports, benefits
from the exploitation of the Domaine du Roi, and domanial duties). In return the Canadians agreed to pay the tax-farmer Guigues the sum of 170,000 livres a year and to discharge the statement of charges (payment of certain salaries, pensions and subsidies in the colony). This contract was solemnly ratified at Québec on 10 October 1700.

In reality the company could not have come into being at a worse juncture. In Europe it proceeded to purchase an enormous quantity of unsold beaver skins at a time when the latest fashion decreed that less use be made of the fur in hat-making. In America, since the abolition of the trading licences and the closing of the western posts, smuggling was growing at an alarming rate: western furs were rerouted to the factories at Schenectady and Albany. The founding of Louisiana in 1700 provided a place of refuge for many coureurs de bois who, rather than comply with the repeated orders from the king and the Québec authorities, preferred to carry on their trade there, bringing to it a new form of contraband, the profits from which, however, did not benefit Canada. The founding of Detroit in 1701, followed soon after by the authorizations granted the western tribes by the Iroquois to cross their territory in order to trade at Albany, greatly encouraged the illegal trading.

Scarcely had it been formed when the Compagnie de la Colonie was confronted with serious problems: since it had no capital, it had to borrow in Paris, where money-lenders soon imposed conditions that were distinctly unfavourable; in Canada it suffered considerable losses at certain posts at a time when the return from the dues paid to the Domaine did not allow it to discharge the statement of charges; in short, because of the weak demand for beaver on the European markets, the company's financial charges, increased by the high cost of interest and steep management costs, proved to be much too heavy when compared with much too slender returns. It was a complete impasse: in 1704, being unable to meet its obligations, the company sought the king's help, but in vain. Matters went from bad to worse, and in 1706 the company went bankrupt: the beaver monopoly was transferred to the French firm of Aubert, Néret, et Gayot. This bankruptcy must be attributed essentially to the saturation of the market in Europe and to the shareholders' lack of capital in Canada.

The new holders of the monopoly could not work miracles: they were willing to buy annually up to 80,000 pounds of beaver, but at the price of only thirty sols a pound. In addition, until 1712 they refused to take castor gras. The Canadian merchants considered this proposition unacceptable: never had the price for beaver been so low, and never had the cost of barter goods been so high. The solution: illegal trade. And thus, from 1706 until 1712 a double policy was pursued in the beaver trade: it was one of limited purchases at an extremely low price on the part of the holders of the monopoly, and one of smuggling on the part of the Canadian traders.

Without the complicity of Albany, motivated by self-interest, the Canadian merchants would have been utterly ruined before long. Furthermore, without the trade goods obtained in the colony of New York, the French would never have been able to retain the allegiance of the allied tribes. And that was the reason why the colonial authorities tolerated this trade. That was in no way the least paradoxical aspect of a particularly troubled period, when France, which was at a very low ebb
financially, perhaps owed the survival of her American colony to the very people who were bitterly disputing its possession with her!

Until 1713 the government in Versailles, ruined by the War of the Spanish Succession, did not take into account in the slightest the increase in expenditures caused by the military operations in America; on the contrary, it asked the colony to reduce its expenditures, refused to reimburse them entirely and even resorted to delaying its payments. Being unable to meet all the everyday needs (goods and services), the colonial authorities were forced to put into circulation more and more playing-card money, redeemable theoretically through bills of exchange drawn on the treasurers-general of the Marine. But soon even these bills of exchange were no longer honoured in France, except sometimes in the form of treasury notes convertible into cash at only half their value. This monetary crisis, combined with the staggering rise in shipping costs in wartime, brought on uncontrollable inflation, disastrous for trade, in Canada. In the period 1714—1720 this crisis was little by little resolved from within, after the State had decided to pay its debts in the colony by redeeming the playing-card money — but at only half its face value.

**The Treaty of Utrecht**

In America, France had come out of the War of the Spanish Succession the victor everywhere, except in Acadia. It was New France, however, that bore nearly all the cost of the war, which was disastrous for the mother country on the European battlefields. The Treaty of Utrecht, which put an end to the conflict, was so unfavourable to New France that it could be feared that she would not survive the amputations inflicted upon her or the political disorders she was threatened with in that same year, 1713.

Newfoundland, where the French occupied a few posts, including Plaisance, was ceded to England, although France retained fishing and occupation rights on the Grand Banks and on the north shore of the island, which were essential to her to supply herself with fish as well as to train some of the men needed for her navy.

Again in the East, Acadia came under British rule. By Acadia (or Nova Scotia) the British meant not only the peninsula of present-day Nova Scotia but also, by claiming the territory according to “its former frontiers,” present-day New Brunswick and even the Gaspé peninsula. The French succeeded in retaining Île du Cap-Breton (Cape Breton Island) and Île Saint-Jean (Prince Edward Island), and in actual fact they considered New Brunswick to be French territory, even extending their claims as far south as the Kennebec River in the region inhabited by their Abenaki allies. A commission was supposed to be set up to examine the “boundaries” of Acadia, but the question was not settled until the Treaty of Paris in 1763.

In the North, Hudson Bay and its hydrographic system were also ceded to the British: the French abandoned Fort Bourbon and left the Hudson’s Bay Company in complete control of the region. It was not so much the loss of the bay itself that was disturbing, since French trade there had never been very profitable — although, according to Vaudreuil and Bégon, the finest pelts on the continent were harvested there — but rather the prospect that, thanks to the river system that emptied into
it, the English were going to establish themselves inland, to the west, among tribes that were suppliers of furs.

In reality, up until that time France's losses, although considerable, were not fatal, insofar as her fishing rights were guaranteed; possession of Île du Cap-Breton could assure her, in theory at least, of freedom of navigation up to Québec; and finally, the presence of the Abenakis between the English colonies to the south and Canada created a security zone for Canada. The real danger was in the West, and everyone realized that.

In recognizing the Iroquois as British subjects, the Treaty of Utrecht in fact gave the British direct access to the Great Lakes region. In addition, by giving the French and the British equal rights to trade with the western Indians, it suddenly threatened the alliances on which rested French trade and France's dominance in the West. In the event of a thrust by the English of New York and Pennsylvania, with the complicity of the Iroquois and some of her former allies, the possibility was foreseen that Canada would soon be cut off from the Great Lakes region at Lake Ontario, and the Illinois country, the Mississippi and Louisiana isolated at the same time.

Finally, the treaty was silent about the Ohio, Wabash and Mississippi region. It would, however, be one of the hot points in the next war. Indeed, in the West, for traders from Virginia and Pennsylvania the only passage through the Appalachians was the Monongahela, a tributary of the Ohio.

Consequently, as soon as the treaty was signed, there was great danger that Canada would be smothered within a space that was too confined, and that the very base of her economy would be swept away. Still, at first sight it might seem that the fate of the colony depended more on the Indians than on the French themselves. That is the interpretation that must be given Vaudreuil's remark in 1714 when he wrote: "With regard to the Indians, the war with the English was more favourable to us than is the peace."

**Remedial measures**

In the north-east corner of the continent, where at all costs she had to maintain her fisheries and provide a port of call for her ships, France decided to fortify Île du Cap-Breton, renamed Île Royale. The garrison and the inhabitants of Plaisance were transferred there and established at Hâvre-à-l'Anglais, a port that offered the advantage of being open all year long. And in 1719 construction was begun there on the fortress of Louisbourg, at a cost of thirty million livres. As soon as the treaty had been signed, the Acadians had been urged to settle there: very few, however, agreed to do so, as most of them considered the island unsuitable for growing wheat and raising livestock, from which almost all of them gained their livelihood.

Île Saint-Jean took on little by little, under the circumstances, great importance: it was seen eventually as the granary of Île Royale, a place of refuge for the Acadians, and in time a point from which to repulse "the English fishermen who might come to these parts to interfere with ours." That was the reason why Île Saint-Jean and
Ile Miscou, which had similar features, were granted in 1719 to the Comte de Saint-Pierre, who was charged with their settlement and development. The experiment was inconclusive, and in 1730 the two islands were returned to the Crown.

For several years Louisbourg was considered an impregnable fortress. In any case it became a very active trading centre, a sort of hub between Québec and the West Indies in particular. Great fortunes were built up there, particularly within certain families that were closely connected with the military administration. Fishing and cabotage were also great sources of wealth.

Thanks to the thirty years of peace that followed the Treaty of Utrecht, Louisbourg and a few other settlements on Ile Royale were able to develop in a fairly normal fashion. The colony was given civil and religious institutions that were sufficient for a population that in 1726 still counted only 3,131 inhabitants. This facility was due in large part to the fact that the British were in no haste to establish themselves on the Nova Scotia peninsula, being satisfied with maintaining a few small garrisons there.

In reality the English were casting their covetous glances in another direction, towards the Kennebec River. After having tried in vain to win over the Abenakis, they actually began to overrun their territory, first by setting up trading posts, then small communities, and by gaining ground little by little towards the northwest, that is to say in the direction of Canada. In 1716 hundreds of settlers had already established themselves in the Abenaki country and were protected by forts, five of them on the Kennebec itself.

There was a danger that this expansionist movement might weaken the French positions in the East by allowing the English to keep on getting a little closer to Canada. Now, to ward off this threat the French could count solely on the Abenakis, whom they aided secretly by supplying them with arms and ammunition and kept in their alliance by handing out presents.

In the West the situation was more dangerous still, and more urgent. Scarcely had the Treaty of Utrecht been signed than the English traders rushed to the shores of Lake Ontario in an effort to establish trading relations with the Indians who owed allegiance to France. A fort was soon built in Mohawk territory to serve as a staging point between Albany and Lake Ontario, and there was talk of building a second one still closer to the French zone of influence, in Onondaga territory. Fortunately, just at that point and following upon the death in 1715 of Louis XIV, changes made in the administrative machinery in the mother country were in harmony with a policy favoured by Vaudreuil, who immediately obtained ample powers for himself.

Vaudreuil had begun to put this new policy, which was completely expansionist, into effect in 1712, by having Michillimakinac reoccupied. The presence of French garrisons and coureurs de bois in the West was necessary on the one hand to pacify the tribes, which were often warring among themselves, and on the other to strengthen their loyalty to France. And as soon as the European markets had opened up and demanded beaver again, the trading licences were speedily re-established. The trade recovered some of its vigour, and the annual shipments of pelts poured once more into Montréal. In 1717 a company called the Compagnie d'Occident, which became the Compagnie des Indes in 1719, took on the responsibility of exporting beaver.
Two measures initiated by Vaudreuil facilitated the revival of trading in the West: first, in 1716 he forced the Foxes, who had once more dug up the hatchet, to sign a peace treaty; then he ordered some posts to be built in the western Great Lakes region (Lakes Michigan and Superior) — at Baie des Puants (Green Bay) in particular — and on the Upper Mississippi. These posts presented several advantages: they would serve as bases for future exploration expeditions, and (at least some of them) would shut off the Indians' routes to Hudson Bay while at the same time protecting the routes to the Sioux country, which was rich in furs; furthermore they would keep peace among the Indians and prevent some of them — the Miamis and Weas in particular — from coming together with the British traders.

Next, in view of the thrust by the English towards Lake Ontario, Vaudreuil, who had received permission to build the forts and posts needed to stop them, had three built in 1720 and the following years: Niagara, Rouillé (Toronto) and Kenté (Quinte). Fort Niagara, which was rebuilt in stone in 1726–27, was very well situated on a portage that almost all the western Indians took to go to either Montréal or Albany. The English retaliated by building the post of Oswego in 1725 and despatching a garrison to it in 1727.

If, by putting up forts and trading posts on Lake Ontario, the English and the French could apparently compete on an equal basis, the French were in actual fact at a distinct disadvantage. The English were offering the Indians goods of much higher quality for their furs and at a lower price than were the French: consequently many of the Indians avoided the French posts and traded as far away as Albany. Partly to compensate for the losses incurred in that area, and partly to forestall the influence of the Hudson's Bay Company in the Northwest, in 1717 the governor general, with the enthusiastic support of the Conseil de Marine, set himself a new task: the search for the Mer de l'Ouest — the Western Sea — which would be conducted mainly by La Vérendrye and his sons.

There remained the formidable Foxes, the perpetual trouble-makers in the West, who periodically attacked the French both in Canada and Louisiana. In 1721 they had again gone on the warpath. Even in Versailles people had begun to speak of exterminating them to the last man. Vaudreuil adopted another tactic, making peace with them, but without including in the terms of the treaty Louisiana, which continued to be a favourite target for those fierce warriors and to which the Illinois country had been annexed in 1717, much against the wishes of Vaudreuil and the Canadians.

**Competition and diplomacy**

In 1726 the Marquis de Beaufharnois arrived to replace Governor General de Vaudreuil, who had died in October 1725. Throughout a term of office that lasted until 1747, he would oppose the determined expansionist policy of the English, who were spurred on by commercial aims certainly, but also by the desire to open up, with the aid of land companies, new centres for settlement in the interior. Since by virtue of the Treaty of Utrecht there could be no question of resorting to arms directly against the English colonies — although one could attack their Indian allies — Beaufharnois
had to find other solutions for containing this advance: first, replying to competition with competition by offering the Indians more barter goods in the French posts, authorizing trade in spirits, and returning to the practice of selling trading licences with a view to stimulating trade; next, by tightening or re-establishing the alliances through a sustained diplomatic action, while at the same time launching the Indians who owed allegiance to the French against the English traders who encroached upon French territory or against their Indian allies; and finally, owing to a network of posts, by retaining at all costs the loyalty of the Indian allies while making clear to the English the limits beyond which their ambitions could not extend.

In the middle of a period of peace a veritable diplomatic war was fought in North America. To begin with, no one on either side, neither the English in New York or Pennsylvania nor the French in Canada, had anything to gain by offending the Iroquois. The latter, caught between the two great rivals, applied themselves with increasing success to maintaining between the two great powers an equilibrium that would be advantageous to them, at the same time gaining through certain concessions the good will of the tribes that traditionally had been hostile to them. If they gave permission for Niagara to be built on their territory, they granted the British permission to do the same at Oswego. By preventing either of the two nations from dominating the other, they were above all seeking to maintain their independence, but also to play a certain role as middlemen in the fur trade between the western tribes and the English.

When Beauharnois arrived in the colony, his task seemed as difficult as it was delicate. In October 1727 he and Intendant Dupuy were already painting a very sombre picture of the situation in the West. They wrote the minister that the English, envious of the trade the French were carrying on with the Indians, were using every means possible to take it away from them. The English had won over many Indians to their side, thanks to the generous gifts they gave them daily; they had even sent “colliers souterrains” (secret wampum belts) to all the tribes on whose territory the French were established to incite them to get rid of them and to “massacre the garrisons.” For their part the Foxes had stated that they would not allow any more Frenchmen on their territory. In reality, the French could scarcely count on the stability of their alliances in the West, so harmful had been the effect on the various tribes of English diplomacy and trade.

The Foxes, in addition to hurting French trade, constituted a permanent threat to the fragile network of French alliances through their intrigues and hostile behaviour. Occupying the trade routes between the Sioux to the north and the tribes in the Upper Mississippi region, and considering themselves the middlemen as a matter of course in the fur trade between the two centres, they did not hesitate to war upon the French themselves, whether they were from Canada or Louisiana, in order to retain that advantage. If the English were thinking of blocking the route to the West at Lake Ontario, the Foxes would perhaps be successful in doing the same thing at Lake Michigan, where they held an extremely strategic region. The danger became more imminent when the English, who had reached the Wabash, became more insistent still to have the Foxes join with other tribes and apply themselves to driving the French to the last man out of the West.
It so happened that in 1728 the Foxes went on the warpath again, this time against the Illinois. Lignery, who had been sent by Beauharnois at the head of a force of 1,500 men to bring these troublemakers to their senses, was unable to confront them; they had fled towards the West. In 1730 the French tried again: attacked by 1,400 men, hundreds of Foxes were killed and a great many more were reduced to slavery and distributed among several tribes. The failure in 1728 had damaged France's prestige among the western tribes; paradoxically, the victory in 1730 had a similar result. The Indians pitied the unfortunate Foxes to such an extent that they freed them, with the result that a new French expedition against "this accursed tribe" ended in 1735 in a new failure — due in large part, it is true, to causes other than the military might of the enemy, who had finally been crushed in 1730.

Meanwhile in Louisiana an attempt was being made to exterminate the Natchez, who had massacred the French at Fort Rosalie in 1729. Then in 1736 began a long war against the Chickasaws, who with the help of the traders from Carolina were trying to ruin French trade and their alliances in that colony.

In the Northwest the situation was just as bad. In the 1730s La Vérendrye, whose activity was essential for the expansion and protection of French trade, simply had to count on the loyalty of tribes such as the Monsonis, the Cree and the Assiniboines; now, all these tribes were almost permanently at war with the Sioux, who as a result turned against the French. In 1736 the Sioux — the new terror of the West — massacred the Jesuit Aulneau and twenty Canadians at Lac des Bois (Lake of the Woods).

Besides the posts with which he covered the West and which were, so to speak, the "high places" of French diplomacy, it was through handing out presents, gratuities and medals with rather princely largess that Beauharnois finally succeeded, as Vaudreuil had with the Abenakis, in winning over most of the tribes, and that he established relative peace in the West just on the eve of the War of the Austrian Succession. In 1742 alone he had allocated 76,000 livres to the "diplomatic" effort!

**Economy and society**

While in the West the nature of the stakes was becoming clearer and the two great imperialist powers were constantly increasing their bets, in Canada an attempt was being made to consolidate and diversify the colonial economy.

In the first decade of the century, the merchants, having been cut off from part of the traditional fur trade profits as a result of the abolition of the trading licences and the closing of certain of the western posts, were naturally led into other sectors of activity. There resulted a modest diversification of the economy: at Madame de Repentigny's insistence, a textile industry was created in Montréal; a brewery was reactivated at Québec; the manufacture of tar was resumed, particularly at Baie Saint-Paul; tanneries were established at Québec, Lévis and Montréal; the exportation of hay and various kinds of flours and meals increased; fisheries were established; in short, one might have thought that one was back in the time of Jean Talon, who had dreams of such small industries and commercial ventures. In fact, Canada was
bent on producing at home whatever inflation, brought on by the war, prevented it from obtaining from France.

The security guaranteed by the Iroquois peace of 1701 was put to advantage to stimulate development of the land, and was further encouraged by a certain increase in manpower resulting from the return to the colony of a rather large number of coureurs de bois who had been recalled from the West. Now, after the founding of Louisbourg, Ile Royale soon provided an outlet for the surplus of agricultural products. The same was true of the French West Indies, to which flour and cod, but also various other kinds of fish and lumber, began to be exported in 1708. Later (this trade would reach its peak after 1730), new exports would be added to the list: "vegetables and other small eatables," for example. It is true, as already mentioned, that most of the ships coming from Québec unloaded their cargoes at Louisbourg, whence they were shipped to the West Indies by the local merchants, who pocketed the lion's share of the profits. This sea-going trade was nonetheless a blessing for the colony in that above all it triggered the creation or the development of a whole series of industries, including lumbering and shipbuilding, without mentioning the tars, cordage and sails needed by the latter. And up to a certain point it encouraged a number of the farmers to produce more.

It must be recognized, however, that although it did exist, the large-scale three-sided trade (Canada—West Indies—France) was almost entirely in the hands of French people in the mother country who, being better equipped than the Canadians and having greater capital resources, retained most of the income from the trade, as they did in other areas. Indeed, because of its distance and severe climate, Canada did not attract much capital, any more than it did immigrants from the mother country (only slightly more than 5,000 in sixty years). Those were the two major obstacles to her economic progress, to which must be added the high costs of shipping and local labour, which prevented Canadian products from being competitive on French markets. Now, those markets were themselves far from being certain, since Canada produced almost nothing that France could not obtain at home or more cheaply elsewhere, and although it was applied with greater flexibility, Colbert's mercantilist system had been put into practice again by Maurepas; "The colony of Canada is of value only insofar as it can be useful to the kingdom."

None of that prevented tile and slate works and sawmills from being set up and the Forges du Saint-Maurice (Saint-Maurice ironworks) from being created in Canada. However, at grips with a chronic lack of capital and specialized workers in a colony where cash was extremely scarce, and confronted, besides, with almost insuperable problems of transport, the Canadian merchants failed in many of their enterprises. Many of them stuck with the fur trade, which required less capital and labour and which more or less guaranteed a profit. This attitude went against the policy of diversifying the economy that was being preached by the mother country, but Intendant Hocquart could not change it. In the countryside, because of the instability of the markets, aggravated by the low prices offered for products until about 1740, the habitants had little inclination to produce much more than they needed for themselves.
Despite some incipient diversification, during the period of peace that followed the Treaty of Utrecht the Canadian economy remained centred upon its great natural resources: furs, agriculture and fishing. In addition to the obstacles already mentioned (scarcity and high cost of labour and lack of capital), Canada suffered from the absence of any infrastructure, as well as from the incompetence of many of her civil officials. Hocquart nevertheless gave a rather good boost to trade and industry, but on the eve of the wars that would override everything else, Canada was economically still an underdeveloped country. The State injected large sums of money into public works, roads and defence works, without, however, making any great change in the situation. It even paid subsidies to entrepreneurs, even though it was counting ultimately on the initiative of private individuals, but without much success.

In this century, as in the previous one, nearly a quarter of the population of Canada lived in the towns. Here one found the merchants, who, all things considered, formed perhaps the most prestigious class: bourgeois for the most part, they were often connected, directly or indirectly, with the fur trade; the nobility, who almost completely monopolized the officers' ranks in the colonial regular troops, took advantage of the periods they spent in distant garrisons to also engage in the fur trade. The nobility and the bourgeoisie had access to various administrative posts, although the ones at the top of the hierarchy were generally filled by Frenchmen from the mother country, as was the practice in the administration of the Church of Canada.

The nobles often owned seigneuries, but many commoners were also seigneurs, without for all that being ennobled. However, they did not draw large incomes from their lands, at least not until they were heavily populated. For their part the country people worked their farms with their families and rarely sought outside help. As soon as they became self-sufficient, most of them had little inclination to go any further; on the other hand they were not much drawn to the fur trade — an activity embraced mostly by young men from the towns, and particularly from Montréal, and they were not much affected by it. If they left their farms, it was to go to live in town, where, accustomed to being their own masters, they formed a working class that was not easily managed nor much disposed to team-work.

In this society in which people lived comfortably but not opulently, the military and aristocratic values inherited from Old France were carried on, chiefly by the nobility. But it seems that around 1740 the "merchant-bourgeois" class, located in Montréal and Québec, was considered the most dynamic social class. In any case it is striking to note that at the beginning of the century the Canadian merchants, united in a sort of common front, elaborated for New France a general policy and precise strategies that were different from those followed in France but for which they finally won acceptance on almost all points by their determination and their deep knowledge of Canadian reality. Through these original views, of course, they incurred, as did the working-class people in the rural areas and the members of the lower clergy, who were equally aware of their status as Canadians, many reprimands on the part of the French authorities, both in the mother country and in the colony. They were often accused of being very headstrong, of cultivating a harmful "spirit of independence," and of carrying insubordination to the point of open rebellion.
This seems a clear sign that what had begun as an off-shoot of Old France had now taken root as a new nation in America.

The War of the Austrian Succession

A new war in Europe, which would again have its counterpart in America, broke out after the death of Charles VI, emperor of Austria, who had died without leaving a male heir. On 15 March 1744, France declared war on Great Britain. As soon as Louisbourg was informed of this, it was decided to retake Nova Scotia. An initial success marked this attempt when François Du Pont Duvivier seized Canso, whose garrison surrendered on 24 May 1744, almost without striking a blow. In September and October Duvivier’s second effort was directed to reducing Annapolis Royal (formerly Port-Royal): the Acadians did not depart from their neutrality, and the expedition was a failure.

To the French, as to the English in Massachusetts and New England, Louisbourg seemed almost invincible. However, the prisoners who had been brought from Canso in May 1744 and spent some time in Louisbourg discovered that such was not the case. Returning to Boston that year, they convinced their fellow citizens of this, and the latter decided to conquer the fortress. In May 1745 the ships carrying the militiamen from the English colonies, under the orders of the commander-in-chief William Pepperrell, and the British naval force that had joined it under the command of Admiral Peter Warren, attacked the fortress. The British disposed of 8,000 men, the French of about 1,300. The siege lasted 47 days, and Du Pont Duchambon finally surrendered on 27 June.

The news of this, which was received with enthusiasm in the English colonies, was shattering for the authorities in Versailles. Maurepas, who particularly wanted to protect the French fisheries in the gulf, immediately had a large expedition fitted out, to recapture not only Louisbourg but also Newfoundland and Nova Scotia. Under the command of the Duc d’Anville, 54 ships and 7,000 men would sail. But they were going to meet with failure: considerable delays in setting off, a late arrival in Chebucto Bay (Halifax), lack of drinking water, and diseases that carried off several hundred men, including the Duc d’Anville himself, who died of apoplexy. The Marquis de La Jonquière, the new governor general of New France, took command of operations and wanted at least to attack Annapolis Royal: unfavourable winds carried his ships off course, preventing him from doing so. A costly undertaking for no results whatsoever.

As usual, however, war parties had been sent against the English colonies with the cooperation of the Abenakis and Micmacs. Once more everything was put to fire and sword and a certain number of prisoners were brought back. The terror that was spread through the English settlements along the Atlantic coast by the Canadians and their Indian allies from 1745 to 1748 contributed greatly to strengthening the determination among the enemy populations to seize all of New France as quickly as possible.
The opportunity to do so would soon be at hand. But for the time being, a peace treaty signed at Aix-la-Chapelle in October 1748 put an end to the conflict and re-established the pre-war situation. On 23 July 1749 France officially regained possession of Louisbourg.

The Ohio question

Before the outbreak of the War of the Austrian Succession, which in America was fought entirely on the eastern fronts, various defensive works had been built in Canada, among them Fort Saint-Frédéric on Lake Champlain in 1731, which was intended to close the invasion route along the Richelieu River to the enemy. But in the period of peace that followed the Treaty of Utrecht a good many of the posts dotted about the western territories were to play a role that was as much an economic as a strategic one.

Shortly after 1713 the traders from Virginia and Pennsylvania had begun to spill over into the Ohio region and had finally established some small trading posts there. Although they were aware of the danger that these posed to the Indian alliances and to the very survival of Louisiana, the French had reacted weakly to this expansion, being content to put up a few small posts a little farther west (on the Wabash River, for example), in order to keep some tribes, such as the Miamis and the Weas, away from the British as much as possible. The latter had greatly increased their trade in the region during the 1740s, and several tribes were in league with them. The French had to recognize that their dominion over the region was just about over, and that the Indians, from south of Lake Erie to the Ohio River, had to all intents and purposes forsaken their alliance with them.

Actually, by depriving the French posts in the Ohio valley and the West of trade goods, which, when there were any to be found, reached astronomical prices, the War of the Austrian Succession precipitated the events. Not only were the French unable to maintain the fragile alliances that they had safeguarded until then, but in 1747 they were threatened with a general uprising of the tribes, who were talking of destroying all the French establishments in the West. Meanwhile, land companies in Pennsylvania and Virginia were getting ready to open up immense stretches of the Ohio valley to English settlement.

Once more a desperate attempt had to be made to recover lost ground. When peace returned, there was a rush to supply the posts with trade goods, which were sold at more reasonable prices. Then, while refraining from any warlike acts, the French sent Céloron de Blainville to the Ohio valley in 1749: he had the official mission of laying claim to it in France's name by burying some lead plaques, but was also to map the region with the help of the Jesuit de Bonnécamps. Céloron firmly requested that the English traders whom he encountered return whence they came. As for the Indians — Miamis, Shawnees and Senecas — he could only observe that they had gone over completely to the side of the English. Céloron's expedition had been of little diplomatic value, whereas the information that he brought back deeply perturbed the authorities in Québec.
In 1752 Mouet de Langlade received the mission of attacking the English post of Pickawillany; in the absence of most of the Miamis who normally stayed there, his force, made up of 300 French and Indians, seized it. The following year Governor General Duquesne sent Marin de La Malgue to fortify the area south of Lake Erie and to build a road, with forts spread out along it, between the lake and the Ohio River, as Céloron de Blainville had suggested. La Malgue, who also had the task of expelling the English from those regions, carried out his mission at top speed and with ruthless determination. He died before completing it.

It was Pécaudy de Contrecoeur who in 1754 was finally to carry out Céloron’s plan. At the head of a considerable force he seized a fort that the English were building at the mouth of the Monongahela. He expelled the English, after buying their tools, and completed the work. This fort, named Fort Duquesne (Pittsburgh), finally ensured control of the region for France.

**An undeclared war**

For the French, in any case, there could be no question of giving the Ohio country over to the English, who, according to La Galissonière “would find even more opportunity there than at Chouaguen to lead astray the Indian nations” of the West: in addition “they would find it easier to cut Canada off from communication with Louisiana.”

Since each side had its own interests, and since no one would give way, it was inevitable that sooner or later there would be open warfare in the region. It came the very year that Fort Duquesne was built, in 1754. Virginia militiamen under command of George Washington attacked a French party under Jumonville, who was killed in the ambush. Although France and Britain were at peace, this incident set off hostilities in the Ohio valley. On 3 July Jumonville’s brother forced Washington to surrender at Fort Necessity. From then on the Ohio valley was rid of the English, and all the Indian tribes there then leaned towards the side of the French. During the years 1755–57 many parties made up of Frenchmen and Indians went to devastate the settlements in Pennsylvania, Virginia, and Carolina.

In 1755, on 9 July, the French won a brilliant victory against the 1,800 men from both the regular army and the militia who, under Major-General Braddock’s command, had been assigned to capture Fort Duquesne. Braddock lost his life in the battle, and the victory of the “Mal-Engueulée” (the Monongahela) was celebrated in all of Canada. But the conflict was already tending to spread: in two days, 16 and 17 June, the French had lost Fort Beauséjour and Fort Gaspereau, which, situated in the south of present-day New Brunswick, were intended to contain the English advance towards Canada. And in September, after winning an initial success at Lake Saint-Sacrement (Lake George) against Colonel Johnson’s troops, Baron Dieskau did not succeed in capturing the fortified position of William Henry and, seriously wounded, was himself taken prisoner.

The deportation of the Acadians also began in 1755 and went on until 1762. In the autumn of 1755 alone, more than 6,000 out of a population of some 13,000 were deported to various English colonies, and some of them to England. The very
few who escaped deportation — the “grand dérangement” — did so by fleeing to Canada or hiding in the woods. This small community that had long been left to its own devices had, after 1713, chosen its leaders and adopted a policy for itself, one of neutrality. Therefore it had steadfastly given a collective refusal to take the oath of allegiance to Great Britain, and that was the pretext that the British authorities used to seize it after they had decided to settle Nova Scotia with British subjects.

Such, then, was the mock peace that had set in in North America in 1755 and that, in the event of a war in Europe that would once more set France against Great Britain, foreshadowed a fight to the finish.

The crowning effort

In the spring of 1756 the Seven Years’ War began in Europe. At that time the American colonies had a population of about 1,500,000, and New France, including Louisiana, some 85,000. But the French could throw into the fray seasoned militiamen, whose bravery was recognized and who had nothing but contempt “for the English in the new world.” The English colonies, on the contrary, did not have at their disposal any experienced or even properly organized provincial troops, and those that they did have were not used to colonial warfare, which was very much different from classic wars on the European model. In this regard the superiority of the English colonials was far from being assured. The difference in this war would come from the superiority of the regular troops that Britain would send to America in large numbers over the regulars whom France would send by driblets.

But it was the determination of William Pitt of England to win the war in America, and that of France to be victorious on the battlefields of Europe, that sealed the destiny of New France. From 1757 on Pitt devoted fabulous sums to realize his great aim: he furnished troops, material and supplies to the colonies with a sort of prodigality: he left nothing undone to reach his goals. At the same time France stinted on everything, and from 1755 until 1758 the quality of her troops and armaments declined greatly, while Canada was in the grip of famine.

Unlike the previous ones, the Seven Years’ War was not just a struggle between English and French settlers. It was a gigantic combat between the two imperialist powers for trading supremacy in the world, for which France had contended seriously with Britain in peacetime. The navies of the two rival nations were consequently going to play a capital role on several seas, while the decisive struggle, which had been expected since 1713, would take place in the colonies.

In America, the French were the first to go on the attack. In the summer of 1756 they besieged Oswego, on Lake Ontario. The fortress surrendered in August and the fortifications were razed. The English colonials, who were planning to take Fort Saint-Frédéric, were disconcerted by the news of this defeat and immediately abandoned their plan. Throughout the autumn and winter the French launched murderous raids as usual against the English settlements in order to sow terror among them, but also to create confusion and thus keep the enemy at home by preventing him from getting organized.
In 1757 Montcalm, who had taken part in the final assault against Oswego the previous year, took Fort William Henry, on Lake Saint-Sacrement, without any difficulty on 9 August. But despite Governor General de Vaudreuil's wish, he refused to march on Fort Edward, situated on the Hudson River. This was the only outstanding event of the campaign in America. But in London, William Pitt took the firm decision in that year of 1757 to take Louisbourg and Québec, since he was convinced that that would crush New France.

In 1758 Montcalm had time to win the defensive battle of Carillon, in the Lake Champlain region, and that despite the disproportion between the forces facing each other: 15,000 on the British side, 3,500 on the French. The victory was gained in six hours on 8 July; Abercromby lost 2,000 men, killed and wounded, in the battle, and his incompetence was the main cause of his defeat.

But the siege of Louisbourg was already under way. Amherst, who was in command on the British side, had 27,000 men under his orders. On the French side Drucour had 8,000 at the most, in a crumbling fortress. The French held out for 60 days, but on 26 July the fortress surrendered. From that moment Québec had cause to tremble: the way was wide open to the enemy — and since 1756 the French navy had suffered such losses that one could not hope for much help there.

On 27 August Fort Frontenac, on Lake Ontario, surrendered to the enemy. And off in the Ohio country, in the face of the risk that it might fall into the hands of the British, Fort Duquesne, which had been the keystone of the French defensive system in the region, was blown up. Then on 25 July 1759 Fort Niagara, which protected communications between Montréal and the Far West, surrendered to Sir William Johnson, leading to the abandonment by the French of other forts: Presqu'île, Venango, Le Boeuf. In 1758 and 1759 the whole West was collapsing.

After his victory at Louisbourg Amherst was supposed to sail for Québec, which he was to besiege, but he considered it to be too late in the season, therefore the project was postponed until the following year. In 1759 it was Admiral Charles Saunders who sailed for Québec at the head of an imposing fleet; for his part, James Wolfe had military command of the expedition. On 23 June the first ships anchored a little below the town. Wolfe landed on the Île d'Orléans. Later, he installed his quarters at Montmorency. From Pointe-Lévy (Lauzon), batteries were trained on the capital. On the evening of 12 July the bombardment of Québec began.

Meanwhile the French, who to all intents and purposes had lost the West, also lost almost all of the Lake Champlain region. Amherst was marching on Fort Carillon: it, along with Fort Saint-Frédéric, were blown up one after the other, on 26 and 31 July, and the troops withdrew to the northern tip of Lake Champlain, to Île aux Noix. Amherst then decided to put off action with his 12,000 men until he received news from Québec.

While the British shelling continued to devastate Québec, Wolfe ordered the destruction of the French communities on both shores of the St. Lawrence, especially to the east of the capital. In the face of the French refusal to budge, he tried an attack to the west of Montmorency and suffered a stinging reverse. The tactic used by the French was, in fact, not to expose themselves, and their hope was that, as
time went by, the season would force the British to sail off. It was not a bad calculation, but it was upset by events.

During the night of 12–13 September, following a path that he had discovered leading up the cliff a little west of Québec, Wolfe sent up nearly 5,000 soldiers, whom he drew up in battle formation on the Plains of Abraham. The town, which was well fortified on that side, could have held out until Bougainville’s troops arrived. But in the morning Montcalm, who had not budged until then — a tactic that had served him well — hurried out from behind the town fortifications to confront the enemy forces. In thirty minutes the British had gained the victory: Wolfe was killed on the battlefield, and Montcalm, who had been mortally wounded, died shortly afterwards.

It was a bitter defeat for the French, but the town could still put up a resistance. Without waiting for the forces led by Bougainville and Lévis, however, Ramezay in turn acted hastily and surrendered on 18 September. In the spring of 1760 Lévis was victorious at Sainte-Foy, west of Québec, in a glorious but futile feat of arms — the last by the French in Canada. They withdrew to Montréal, on which three armies converged during the summer from Québec, Lake Champlain and Lake Ontario. The colony had been overwhelmed and was reeling from total exhaustion. On 8 September Vaudreuil capitulated, surrendering Montréal and all of New France to the British.

The terms of surrender gave the Canadians a certain number of guarantees, but it left them many causes for anxiety, especially concerning the practice of their religion. In reality these sons of France were suddenly being plunged into the unknown, and a long period of uncertainty was beginning for them.
Exploration and Discovery

At the very beginning of the eighteenth century, Governor General Louis-Hector de Callière and Intendant Jean Bochart de Champigny had set themselves two objectives in the West: ensuring that France received the furs from those vast regions, and, to that end, maintaining the alliances with the Indian tribes living there. But to be entirely successful in those aims it would have been necessary to re-establish the trading licences that had been abolished by the king in May 1696 and to reopen certain trading posts whose garrisons had been removed at that time, and which had been more or less abandoned. These were requests that Callière and his successor, Philippe de Rigaud de Vaudreuil, made in vain. Under these conditions, and taking into account furthermore the fact that life in the woods had been declared illegal, there could be no question of exploring the West, where Jacques de Noyon had spent the winter of 1688–89, in the Assiniboine country around Rainy Lake.

What was impossible for the colony of Canada to do, newly-created Louisiana was going to carry out. In 1700–01 Louis Juchereau de Saint-Denis explored the territory between the Red River in Louisiana and the Wichita River in Oklahoma; later he would cross Texas and in 1714 get as far as San Juan Bautista in Mexico. Canada was even more impressed by the expedition led by Pierre-Charles Le Sueur, who, on the pretext of seeking and exploiting mineral resources, went more than 600 leagues up the Mississippi in 1700 and, continuing along the Rivière Saint-Pierre (Minnesota River), stopped at the Rivière Verte (Blue Earth River) in the Sioux country (Minnesota), where he built Fort L’Huillier. In the spring of 1701 he came back down with a cargo of beaver pelts, angering the merchants and authorities in Canada, in particular Callière.

The Treaty of Utrecht in 1713 and the ceding of Hudson Bay to England the following year brought about a change in the situation. In 1715, in fact, William Stuart, an employee of the Hudson’s Bay Company, was designated by James Knight,
the governor of Fort York, to travel to the West with the aim, among other things, of estimating the resources in furs to be found in the interior, and probably to urge the Indians to go to trade at the bay. Stuart, who was the first white man to cross the Barren Grounds, got back to Hudson Bay in 1716. He had suffered innumerable hardships but had reached the region to the south of Great Slave Lake in the Northwest Territories.

The loss of Hudson Bay had an immediate effect upon the Canadian fur traders, who were determined to keep the Western Indians from taking their furs to the Hudson's Bay Company posts. With the same idea in mind, Vaudreuil and Intendant Michel Bégon proposed in 1716 that an attempt be made to discover the Mer de l'Ouest — the Western Sea — as a means of extending French trade by opening up a sea route to China and Japan. In 1717 the Conseil de Marine, which had just restored the system of fur-trading licences, adopted the views of the colonial authorities; in particular it suggested that the establishment of posts along the routes to the northwest would "dissuade the Indians from taking their pelts to the English at Hudson Bay . . . , which might force them to give up that post, where they have no trade other than with the Indians."

The task of carrying out this policy was entrusted to Zacharie Robutel de La Noue, who started out by canoe in July 1717 with the order to found three posts: one on the Kanastigoya (Kaministiquia) River, "to the north of Lake Superior," another at Takamamieuon (Rainy Lake) and the third at Lac des Assiniboines (or Lac des Bois). Unfortunately the Conseil de Marine had decided "to have these establishments built without any cost to the king, seeing that trade was to reimburse those who built them." Robutel was held back by the Indians' hostility and did not go beyond Kaministiquia. At the same time as the Conseil de Marine launched this first attempt at discovering the Western Sea, it charged the Jesuit François-Xavier de Charlevoix with the task of gathering information about it and the routes leading to it. After travelling from Montréal to Michillimakinac and then from that post to Louisiana in 1721, Charlevoix unfortunately recommended that the searches be conducted in the region between 40° and 50° latitude, with the Upper Missouri being taken as the point of departure.

Correcting this error in orientation would fall to Pierre Gaultier de La Vérendrye, who, having been charged officially with discovering the Western Sea, would send his sons and partners off in 1731 to explore in the direction of the Missouri and also the lakes in the Northwest. The building in 1731 of Fort Saint-Pierre on Rainy Lake and of Fort Saint-Charles, which he made his headquarters, on Lake of the Woods in 1732, assured him control of the border lakes. In 1734 Fort Maurepas was built on the Red River in Manitoba. In 1737 Lake Ouinipigon (Winnipeg) had been explored and two possible routes toward the Western Sea noted—the Saskatchewan River and the region southwest of the Mandan country. In 1738 La Vérendrye himself visited these Indians in North Dakota, on the way building Fort La Reine (Portage-la-Prairie), his new headquarters, on the route that the Assiniboines followed to go to Hudson Bay. His son Louis-Joseph, who pushed on farther, failed to appreciate the southeast orientation of the Missouri; it was not until Louis-Joseph made a new expedition in 1742–43 that the error attributable to Charlevoix became apparent.
Meanwhile La Vérendrye's men were establishing three more forts on the threshold of the prairies: Fort Dauphin, near Lake Winnipegosis, Fort Bourbon, northwest of Lake Ouinipigon, and Fort Paskoya, on the Saskatchewan River. But obliged, like Robutel, to finance his own undertakings, La Vérendrye, according to the minister, was too much absorbed with trade and not enough with exploration. Foreseeing his impending disgrace, he resigned in 1744. He died before he could return to the West.

In the Northwest the French from Canada settled in under La Vérendrye's two successors, Jacques Le Gardeur de Saint-Pierre and Louis de La Corne, in Saskatchewan — at Fort La Jonquière, which was built in 1751 in the Nipawin region, and farther west still, at Fort Saint-Louis, built in 1754 near the forks of the Saskatchewan. In the Southwest, since the expeditions by Le Sueur and Juchereau de Saint-Denis, exploration had gone on without a stop, spreading from Louisiana into the surrounding territories: in 1714 Étienne Véniard de Bourgmond had gone up the Missouri as far as the present-day state of Nebraska; then around 1720 Jean-Baptiste Bénard de La Harpe and Claude-Charles Dutisné explored Oklahoma, and Dutisné also travelled across Kansas. But with the failure of the sea voyages of exploration led by James Knight (1719), John Scroggs (1722), Christopher Middleton (1742) and William Moor (1747) in the search for the Northwest Passage, it was one of the Hudson's Bay Company's men, Anthony Henday, who, travelling overland in 1754, was the first European to tread the soil of Alberta, getting as far as the present-day city of Edmonton — a proud response by the English company to the fierce competition waged by the French since La Vérendrye's period.
A break-through by the Hudson’s Bay Company

William Stuart, an employee of the Hudson’s Bay Company, was stationed at Fort York, on the Hayes River at Hudson Bay, when he left for the West on 27 June 1715. He had been given the mission of making an inventory of the fur resources of the interior and of restoring peace between two Indian tribes that supplied furs.

Like his predecessor, Henry Kelsey, another Company employee who had reached the Western Plains in 1691 and had probably gone as far as southern Saskatchewan, Stuart was also to concern himself with minerals. James Knight, the governor of York, on whose orders he was making his trip, was thinking of copper, and still more of gold; he even made the search for those products the principal aim of the exploration trip.

The first European to push as far as the Great Slave Lake region, Stuart was successful in pacifying the Crees and Chipewyans, so that in 1717 Knight was able to keep his promise to the latter to found a post on the Churchill River.

However, Stuart had experienced so many difficulties on his trip that Knight became convinced that it was better to continue exploration in this direction by sea: the Company gave him permission to set out in 1719 by ship to look for a Northwest passage by way of the Strait of Anian. His two ships were wrecked on Marble Island and their crews vanished.
The desire to increase the trade in furs with the Indians pushed Hudson’s Bay Company men such as James Isham to favour exploration in the West.

Archives of the Hudson’s Bay Company, Provincial Archives of Manitoba, Winnipeg.


This Hudson's Bay Company trading post was the starting-point for two expeditions into the West: William Stuart's in 1715–1716 and Anthony Henday's in 1754–1755.

Archives of the Hudson's Bay Company, Provincial Archives of Manitoba, Winnipeg: G. 2/5.
Journal kept at Fort York by James Knight, governor of the Hudson's Bay Company, September 1715 to September 1716.

In 1719, sailing northwards, James Knight explored part of the west coast of Hudson Bay. He was hoping to discover gold and copper mines and find a passage to Asia.

Archives of the Hudson's Bay Company, Provincial Archives of Manitoba, Winnipeg: B.239/a/2.
The French and the Western Sea

The king’s abolition of trading licences in 1696 and the subsequent abandonment of most of the Western posts had brought French expansion northwest from Michillimakinac temporarily to an end.

The loss of Hudson Bay at the time of the Treaty of Utrecht and the restoration of trading licences revived in New France the search for the Western Sea, which was imagined to be a gulf or an immense bay forming part of the Southern Sea (the Pacific Ocean) somewhere in northern California.

The first person to set off was Zacharie Robutel de La Noue: he was to establish three posts, or relay stations, on the route to the West, the most distant one being at Lake of the Woods. But he did not get beyond the first station, Kaministikqua, northwest of Lake Superior, where he seems to have remained until 1721.

In the meantime Father François-Xavier de Charlevoix was charged by the Conseil de Marine with making inquiries about the Western Sea. The Jesuit left from Montréal and at the end of June 1721 was at Michillimakinac; from there he went to Louisiana, questioning French and Indians on the way. It was a long trip and in the end brought little in the way of results.

It was believed that somewhere in the West there was a sea or bay that joined the Mer du Sud (the Pacific Ocean), which was the way to Asia. The statements by the Indians and explorers furthered the belief that this Western Sea was not too far away from the territories already known. This matter interested the politicians as much as it did the scientists.
Deliberation of the Conseil de Marine upon a letter from Vaudreuil and Bégon, 7 December 1717.

"... last July M. de Vaudreuil sent off Sieur de La Noue, lieutenant, with 8 canoes to pursue the project to discover [the Western sea].” Complying with the decision of His Royal Highness (noted in the margin), he ordered him to build three posts, one on the Kaministiquia River, one at Rainy Lake, and one at Lake of the Woods.


Photo Studio Littré.
The Duc d’Orléans, regent of France, was interested in the question of the Western sea, which arose from his curiosity about the sciences and which would perhaps increase the possibilities of trade with Asia. He “resolved to send someone to the principal posts in Canada and Louisiana who would find out . . . how one should go about making the discovery that was anticipated and whether there was any likelihood of succeeding in it.” Father Charlevoix was “honoured with this commission.”

Pierre Gaultier de La Vérendrye

Trois-Rivières was the smallest town in New France. It nonetheless furnished coureurs de bois in large numbers and famous explorers, such as Jacques de Noyon and Pierre Gaultier de Varennes et de La Vérendrye.

La Vérendrye, who had first been a soldier, was over forty when, around 1728, on becoming commandant of a trading company at Kaministiquia, he began to be interested in the Western Sea and to dream of discovering it, a task with which he was officially entrusted in 1731 by Governor Charles de Beauharnois de La Boische.

The explorer, who was at the same time a merchant, was going to set up seven posts along the route to the Northwest. His partners, several of whom were close relatives, travelled all over the territory, while he himself remained more fixed and attended mostly to commercial and diplomatic relations with the Indians.

By order of his father, Louis-Joseph Gaultier de La Vérendrye went as far as Wyoming in 1742–43; in 1741–43 another son, Pierre, was charged by the explorer from Trois-Rivières with establishing the company on the Manitoba lakes. And it was precisely by the elder La Vérendrye’s merit — even if he was strongly influenced by economic ambitions — that the northwest frontier of New France was extended as far as that present-day Canadian province.
"Map with the recent discoveries made in the West of Canada . . . ."
[Anonymous]. 1740. Map: col. ms., 45.0 x 84.0 cm.

This map shows the forts established by La Vérendrye and his men: Fort Maurepas, at the mouth of the Winnipeg River; Fort La Reine (Portage la Prairie); Fort Bourbon, to the northwest of Lake Winnipeg; Fort Paskoya, on the Saskatchewan River, and others. These trading posts, which saved the prairie Indians long voyages to the Hudson’s Bay Company posts, hurt the English trade.

Service historique de la Marine, Vincennes, France; Service hydrographique, recueil 67, n° 23.
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"View and perspective of New Orleans, 1726." Lassus. 1726. View: col. ms., 48.0 × 150.5 cm.

The travels of the explorers, missionaries, and coureurs de bois led to the founding of several settlements from northwest of the Great Lakes to the Gulf of Mexico.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Louisiane, portefeuille V1A, n° 71.
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The limits of French expansion

On 27 May 1750, soon after La Vérendrye's death at the end of 1749, Governor Jacques-Pierre de Taffanel de La Jonquière ordered Jacques Le Gardeur de Saint-Pierre to go and take possession of the explorer's posts, establish new ones, and continue the search for the Western Sea.

Le Gardeur installed himself at Fort La Reine, in Manitoba, from whence he sent off Joseph-Claude Boucher de Niverville, whose men built a fort named La Jonquière in what is today Saskatchewan, in 1751. In 1754 Louis de La Corne, who had succeeded Le Gardeur, built Fort Saint-Louis, which was still farther to the west than the preceding fort. Never had the French pushed so far towards the Western Sea, and never, under the French regime, would they get beyond that point.

New France had reached her utmost limits beyond the Great Lakes: to the northwest, the region of Fort-à-la-Corne, near the forks of the Saskatchewan in the province of the same name, and to the southwest, on the Mississippi, Louisiana, which under the government of Jean-Baptiste Le Moyne de Bienville and his successors had made progress after a fashion as a separate entity of the Canadian colony.

But at the beginning of the 1750s these new lands were threatened by the growing rivalries for possession of the Ohio country, to which Le Gardeur de Saint-Pierre was sent in 1753.
Order from Governor General de La Jonquière to Jacques Legardeur de Saint-Pierre "to go and look for the Western sea with nine canoes, each with a crew of seven men," Montréal, 27 May 1750.

Société du Musée du Séminaire de Québec: Fonds Verreau, carton 5, no 37.
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On 29 May 1751 the Chevalier de Niverville "despatched ten men in two canoes, who went up the Pasquia River as far as the Montagnes des Roches, where they built a good fort that I named Fort La Jonquière." This post was located in the heart of present-day Saskatchewan.
In 1699 Bienville began his career in Louisiana by exploring the Lower Mississippi with his brother Iberville. Up until 1743 he would contribute largely to settling the French in that colony by encouraging exploration, by favouring the establishment of several posts, and above all by demonstrating great diplomacy in dealing with the Indians.
The Northwest Passage

After the failure of James Knight's exploration voyage by sea in 1719 and that of John Scroggs, who had sailed in 1722 to look for him, and with the exception of a half-hearted expedition mounted by the Hudson's Bay Company in 1737, England did not take an active interest in the Northwest Passage until 1740. Christopher Middleton, a former Hudson's Bay Company captain who had been dispatched by the Admiralty with George II's approval, sailed from England in 1741 and the following year ventured farther north than had anyone before him. Pushing on beyond Whale Point, which Scroggs had visited, he explored and named Wager Bay and continued on his route through Roes Welcome Sound, which, to his great disappointment, turned out to be a dead-end bay with a strait to the northeast that was completely blocked by ice floes. He named the bottom of the bay Repulse Bay and the inaccessible strait Frozen Strait.

In 1747 William Moor, a cousin of Middleton's who had accompanied him on his 1742 expedition and who was also a former Hudson's Bay Company employee, explored the west shore of Hudson Bay for private interests. He made observations to a distance of 150 miles inside Wager Bay, which ended in two non-navigable rivers; he did, however, discover and partially explore Chesterfield Inlet, which Middleton had not sighted.

In the end, despite the £20,000 reward promised by the British government in 1745 to whomever discovered it, the search for a Northwest passage was fruitless: the bay and the surrounding area apparently presented only openings with no outlets and impassable rivers, as the passages that were sighted one day would turn out the next day to be exasperating inlets without issue.
Middleton was the first person to produce a fairly accurate outline of the west coast of Hudson Bay, which he had explored as far as its northern end.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: National Map Collection (NMC 27782).
Henry Ellis, who took part in William Moor's expedition in 1747, drew this map of the west coast of Hudson's Bay, on which it can be seen that Wager Bay comes to a dead end.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: National Map Collection (NMC 21058).

"The French possessions are marked in blue, the English in yellow and the Spanish in red."

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: National Map Collection (NMC 21057).
The Hudson's Bay Company's domain

The domain to which, by virtue of its charter, the Hudson's Bay Company laid claim was enormous. It stretched both to the east and to the west of Hudson Bay and James Bay.

The eastern part (East Main) had been explored in 1744 by Thomas Mitchell and John Longland, who accompanied William Coats in 1749 to make a new examination of the coast from Cape Digges to Richmond Gulf.

It was, nevertheless, the western part that was of greater interest to the Company. Since La Vérendrye's push into the prairies, in fact, people at the Bay had been worried about the possibility of the French diverting the rich furs from the West to their own use. In 1754 Anthony Henday was sent into the interior to persuade the Indians to bring their pelts to the Company's posts. He got close to what is today the city of Edmonton in Alberta — the most distant point reached by a white man before 1760.

If the English and French risked colliding in the West, that was not the case in Labrador, where Louis Fornel made a voyage of discovery to Baie des Esquimaux in 1743.
Coats, a captain in the service of the Hudson’s Bay Company, was the first person to draw a fairly detailed map of the east coast of Hudson Bay, which he had explored over a distance of nearly 800 kilometres.

Archives of the Hudson’s Bay Company, Provincial Archives of Manitoba, Winnipeg: G.1/14.
Buffalo abounded on the Canadian prairies in this period, and the Indians obtained from them their food, clothing and even a piece essential for making their tents. Anthony Henday, a Hudson's Bay Company employee who traversed these vast plains, noted on 15 September 1754: "The buffalo were so numerous that we were obliged to chase them out of our way."
Population and Settlement

The territorial dimensions of New France had become so great that at the beginning of the eighteenth century the authorities no longer knew how to remedy the chronic shortage of manpower in the St. Lawrence valley. In 1706 the census enumerated 16,417 persons in the colony, of whom 7,751, that is to say 47.2 per cent, were under 15 years of age. And if the old people, the invalids, the coureurs de bois (numbering a few hundred) and the townspeople (who made up nearly a quarter of the population) are subtracted from the 8,876 men and women 15 and over, it is easy to understand why the governors and intendants were constantly looking for means to remedy the tragic situation in the rural areas, where no one was to be found to work the land. In 1700, for example, a year’s pay was still being given to soldiers who became “habitants”; in the autumn of 1701, instead of training the soldiers who had just arrived, Governor Louis-Hector de Callière found himself under the necessity of “supplying them to the habitants to lighten their burden with their harvest.” Once farm work was done, the soldiers were sometimes put to work building fortifications or “the roads most necessary for the convenience of the public.”

Despite the “fertility” of Canadian women, which Roland-Michel Barrin de La Galissonnière later praised, according to Intendant Jacques Raudot the inhabitants of Canada in 1705 had not “multiplied as much as one might hope, whether because of the wars or widespread maladies.” Epidemics made terrible ravages, more than did the wars: one might say that in the eighteenth century, smallpox cost Canada the lives of almost as many people as the country took in as immigrants. Whatever the truth of that estimate, around the period 1713–20 the shortage of workers was felt more cruelly than ever in the Canadian colony; on the other hand, off in Acadia a new chapter was beginning in the history of French colonization during the same period.
If people talked unceasingly in Canada of the need to increase the population, the authorities in the mother country, maintaining the policy adopted by Louis XIV in 1673, gave no thought whatsoever to organizing large-scale departures of settlers. Throughout the period of French rule in the eighteenth century, a niggardly policy was followed in that respect: naturalization of foreigners who wanted to settle in the colony; obliging captains of merchant vessels to bring from three to six indentured servants, according to the ships' tonnage, to New France at each crossing; sending recruits to replace the soldiers who settled on the land; shipping off to Quebec salt smugglers and poachers, but also young men from good families who had been banished from the kingdom for some wrongdoing. Except for criminals, few in number, who arrived in the 1720s, and to a certain extent the young men from good families, people were quite happy with these new arrivals, although, considering the needs, their number was insufficient.

And, to top it all, at the beginning of the eighteenth century the seigneurial system, the progress of which had been greatly hindered by the Iroquois wars, was suffering from various abuses, according to Intendant Raudot. In particular, not all the seigneurs and censitaires (settlers) were in a hurry to populate their fiefs or work their lands. This situation reached such a point that on 6 July 1711 the king published two edicts obliging those in both categories to carry out their respective engagements, on pain of forfeiting their properties. At first the colonial authorities were not very diligent in carrying out those edicts, being content to deal severely with a few recalcitrant settlers each year. Then between 1729 and 1732 Intendant Gilles Hocquart finally "pronounce[d] the reunion of 400 concessions to the seigneurs' domains because of failure by the settlers to take up residence." But it was not until 1741 that a score or so of abandoned seigneuries were incorporated into the royal domain. In the meantime, however, the Nouvelle-Beauce region on the Chaudière had been opened up with the granting of 7 seigneuries between 1736 and 1738, and between 1733 and 1739, 19 others had been granted on the Richelieu and in the Lake Champlain region. If Nouvelle-Beauce counted "about 120 families" in 1747, the Richelieu valley beyond Chambly and the Lake Champlain region made only a very small contribution to the total population of Canada, which in 1760 was estimated at 65,000.

On the east coast, after Acadia and Newfoundland had been ceded to England, the French authorities decided to occupy Cape Breton Island (Île Royale), where Philippe Pastour de Costebelle brought the garrison and entire colony of Plaisance (Placentia). At the same moment the "former" Acadians in Nova Scotia, who by virtue of the Treaty of Utrecht had "the freedom to withdraw elsewhere within the space of a year with all their personal possessions," were urged to settle on Île Royale. Very few of them, however, emigrated: on the one hand the Acadians were very much "undecided" and hesitated to leave their fertile lands for the rocky soil of Île Royale, and on the other, the English did everything they could to keep them from leaving, as much because they needed them for getting supplies as because they were afraid of reinforcing the new colony. Finally, France for her part was convinced that in the event of war the Acadians would rise en masse and would be more useful in Nova Scotia than on Île Royale. Île Saint-Jean (Prince Edward Island), which the
French also wanted to settle, would be a dependency of Île Royale, and more precisely of the fortified town of Louisbourg, which was the capital. At first granted in 1719 to Louis-Hyacinthe Castel de Saint-Pierre, who was required to render fealty and homage at the “Château de Louisbourg,” the island was placed under the direct authority of Louisbourg in 1730. In 1752 it counted 2,751 settlers, and Île Royale 5,845, of whom 4,174 were living at Louisbourg. In Nova Scotia at that time the Acadians numbered about 13,000 — considerably more than the English on the peninsula, of whom more than half, that is to say about 4,000, were concentrated at Halifax, which had been founded on Chebucto Bay in 1749. Moreover, 1749 marked the beginning of an important immigration movement in Nova Scotia, thus far populated almost entirely by Acadians: in a short time, English from the colonies to the south, Irish, Germans and Swiss settled there in large numbers — the first influx of British and foreigners to present-day Canada.

Thousands of kilometres away from Acadia, the population of Louisiana in 1746 reached about 4,000, in addition to whom there were 4,730 slaves, Indians and blacks (the latter were in the majority); Nouvelle-Orléans counted 800 inhabitants (women and children excluded) and 300 slaves. Incidentally the St. Lawrence colony also had slaves: blacks, of course, but also Indians (Panis, Foxes, Comanches), in much smaller numbers however than those in Louisiana. On the other hand, owing in part to the work of the missionaries, it had its “settled” Indians: Hurons at Lorette, near Québec; Abenakis at Bécancour and Saint-François, near Trois-Rivières; Iroquois at Saint-Louis, and Algonkins, Nipissings and Iroquois at Lac des Deux-Montagnes, near Montréal. These natives of the country, all of them Catholics, were considered an integral part of the population of Canada.
A colony to be populated

Between 1700, when it counted perhaps 15,000 inhabitants, and 1747, when it counted nearly 50,000, Canada progressed enormously from the demographic point of view. If the other colonies produced greater riches, wrote Roland-Michel Barrin de la Galissonière, this one produced men, thanks to the fecundity of the Canadian women.

But by itself the birthrate, as remarkable as it was, had not been and still was not sufficient for the manpower needs. The settling of the waterfront lands along the St. Lawrence was consequently not going to be more or less completed until the 1730s and 1740s. It is true that at the beginning of the century certain seigneuries were beginning to extend inland, but in reality the situation was less brilliant than it appeared. In 1713, for example, the governor and the intendant had to ask the minister to send newly-enlisted soldiers to make up for "the scarcity of workers." The situation was so bad, according to statements of the authorities, that for lack of manpower it was "hardly possible any more to work the land or to harvest the crop."

How could one prevent "most of the land from becoming useless"? That was the question that Governor Philippe de Rigaud de Vaudreuil and Intendant Michel Bégon were asking themselves in 1714.
La Galissonière was less inclined than were the authorities in the mother country to judge the value of a colony solely by its economic profitability: if "the other colonies produce greater wealth, this one produces men, a treasure far more worthy of esteem for a great king than sugar and indigo, or, if you wish, all the gold of the Indies."
Since, around 1750, New France was seriously threatened by the political expansion of the English, La Galissonière recommended, among other things, sending settlers and troops there "solidly establishing [increasing the population in] the vicinity of Fort Saint-Frédéric and the posts of Niagara, Detroit and Illinois," and erecting posts in the Ohio region.

Portrait of Roland-Michel Barrin, Marquis de La Galissonière (1693—1756), acting governor of New France from 1747 to 1749. Artist unknown. Oil on canvas.
Land grants in Canada were generally much longer than they were wide, which brought the settlers closer to one another, thus combatting isolation and increasing the number who had access to the waterways, which provided communications within the colony.
Population influxes from outside Canada

Since organized immigration had just about ceased after 1673, the authorities, both in the colony and in the mother country, had recourse to various means to populate the colony.

Many foreigners, most of them from New England and former prisoners of war, were naturalized; in addition, it became the practice to send salt smugglers to Canada, many of whom turned out to be excellent subjects.

Then, since the governors and intendants had noticed that the best soldiers willingly became settlers, the king regularly destined newly-enlisted soldiers for Canada to replace those who were taking up land.

Also, captains of merchant vessels were obliged on each voyage to transport to New France a certain number of indentured servants or soldiers. Considering the number of ships that cast anchor off Québec every year, the population of the colony must have been increased considerably through this measure, although the king's orders on the matter were not always respected.
Letters of naturalization by which the King of France recognized foreigners as his "true and natural subjects," expressing his will that "it will be permissible and open to them to live in New France," Rambouillet, June 1713.

Hundreds of foreigners settled in New France under the French regime.

Archives nationales du Québec, Centre d'archives de Québec: Insinuations du Conseil supérieur, vol. 4, fol. 10.
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Ordinance requiring merchant ships going in the future to New France to carry there indentured servants or soldiers, Versailles, 20 March 1714.

Merchant ships sailing to New France will have "to carry there 3 indentured servants for those of sixty tons burden or less, 4 for those of from sixty to 100 tons, and 6 for those of over 100 tons . . . . His Majesty permits [them] to carry army recruits in place of each indentured servant."


It was at La Rochelle that a good part of the persons who contracted to go to work in Canada, on Île Royale or on Île Saint-Jean, boarded ship. Many became settlers once their contracts ended.

Musée de la Marine, Paris.
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Letter from Governor de Beauharnois and Intendant Hocquart to the minister, Quebec, 5 October 1731.

"... the 60 salt smugglers and four smugglers who by your order had been put on board the king's ship ... have all turned out to be serviceable people." Several hundred salt smugglers settled in Canada between 1730 and 1749.
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"In accordance with the king's intentions it has always been the custom upon the arrival of the new recruits to discharge the soldiers who have gotten married. As a rule it is the best ones who take up residence . . . . " Of the 10,000 people who settled in Canada under the French regime, about 3,500 were soldiers.

Letter from Governor General de Beauharnois and Intendant Hocquart to the minister, Quebec, 14 October 1733.
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The towns

The people who landed at Québec in the eighteenth century were not always coming to settle on the land; merchants and craftsmen, senior judicial officers and minor officials, young men from good families and salt smugglers, many came to swell the ranks of the city dwellers.

By Jean Talon's time about a quarter of the population of Canada lived in the towns — an enormous proportion for the period. Throughout the French rule in the eighteenth century that proportion remained approximately the same, and even then it took Intendant François Bigot's intervention in the 1750s to curb the flight from the land.

In the period from 1700 to 1760, thanks above all to commerce and officialdom, Québec and Montréal became comparatively large towns. Trois-Rivières, which was less favoured in all respects, remained a small urban centre.

In the English colonies to the east, the three main towns — Halifax, founded in 1749, Lunenburg, founded in 1753, and St. John's, which was much older — were populated mostly by English, Irish or Germans.
Québec called to mind Old France with its buildings in the classical style, its port where European ideas and fashions circulated, and the lifestyle of its government officials, merchants and military officers, who were always inclined to imitate what was being done in the mother country.
"View of the town of Trois-Rivières in Canada . . . ." [Anonymous], 1721. View: col. ms., 15.3 × 38.8 cm. Page, also containing views of Chambly, Montréal, Québec and Niagara Falls.

According to Nicolas-Gaspard Boucault, Trois-Rivières had "a local governor, a king's lieutenant, and a town major and adjutant of the troops garrisoned there." The royal jurisdiction (court) of the town was "composed of a lieutenant-general for civil and criminal affairs, a king's attorney and a court clerk." The parish church was served by the Récollets, and the town had a hospital that was run by the Ursulines, who also concerned themselves with education for the girls.

The Newberry Library, Chicago: Edward E. Ayer Collection.
Since it was in contact with the West and the world of the Indians, Montréal seemed more open to the currents of influence circulating on the new continent than was Québec. Its townspeople were said to be more adventurous, more warlike and less "civilized" than those of Québec.


National Gallery of Canada, Ottawa.
Thirty-five years after it had been conquered by Great Britain, Nova Scotia was still populated almost entirely by Acadians. Since she could not trust this French population too much, Great Britain decided to bring in a large number of English, German, Swiss and other settlers. These immigrants founded settlements such as Halifax in 1749 and Lunenburg in 1753.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: National Map Collection (NMC 1012).
The country

Many Canadians, both seigneurs and settlers, perhaps preferring the life in the woods or town life to agricultural labour, let their lands lie fallow. On 6 July 1711, with an aim to developing the land in New France, the king obliged them all, under pain of confiscation of their properties, to keep to the terms of their land-grant obligations.

If not all Canadians displayed a strong liking for farm work, hardworking censitaires nevertheless represented the greatest number, and certain seigneuries, such as Batiscan, east of Trois-Rivières, were being settled at a very satisfactory rate.

Moreover, in the 1730s lands for settlement were opened up on one of the tributaries of the St. Lawrence, the Chaudière, and in the Lake Champlain region. It is true that beyond Chambly in the Richelieu valley and on the shores of Lake Champlain, settlement was scarcely pushed before 1760; but on the Chaudière, Nouvelle-Beauce would experience rapid growth.

Even at Detroit settlement had made great progress: despite being so far from Canada, the post counted some 600 inhabitants in 1751.
Decree by the Conseil d’État concerning the seigneuries in Canada, Marly, 6 July 1711.

“[Within one year, those who own] seigneuries, who have no cleared land on their domains and who have no settlers on them, will be required to bring them under cultivation and to put settlers on them, failing which, and the said period having elapsed, His Majesty wills that they be re-attached to his domain.”

Archives nationales du Québec, Centre d’archives de Québec: Insinuations du Conseil supérieur, vol. 3, fol. 75.
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Decree by the Conseil d'État concerning lands granted in the seigneuries, Marly, 6 July 1711.

"The settlers in New France who are not living on the lands that have been granted them will be required to take up residence on them and to work them, failing which [they will] have forfeited the property, and the said lands will be re-attached to the domain of the seigneuries."

Archives nationales du Québec, Centre d'archives de Québec: Insinuations du Conseil supérieur, vol. 3, fol. 76.

Photo Belvédère.
In the middle of the eighteenth century a third of the Canadian population lived on seigneuries that belonged to religious institutions and that were generally among the most progressive in the colony.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Colonies, G¹, vol. 461. Recensements (ancienne cote).
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At the beginning of the eighteenth century the Government of Quebec counted a population of about 9,000, that is to say, more than half of that of Canada. Its most heavily populated seigneuries were located a short distance from the town of Quebec. Throughout the colony the tendency was to settle near the towns, where various services and conveniences were to be found.
Letter from the acting governor, La Galissonière, and Intendant Hocquart to the minister, Québec, 24 September 1747.

In ten years the Nouvelle-Beauce region has experienced rapid growth, thanks above all to the dynamism of a few seigneurs, who have even had a road built there. Now this region "feeds those who live there, to the number of about 120 families who reside there, and about 100 young men who have begun to clear land."


Photo Studio Littré.

In Louis Franquet's "Report drawn from the observations made on the principal places that I travelled through in my tour from Montréal to Lake Champlain and other places in the period from 24 July to 23 August 1752."

The seigneuries in the Richelieu valley and the Lake Champlain region were lightly populated, partly because of the war, and partly because of the seigneurs' negligence. Beyond Chambly the most important population centre was at Fort Saint-Frédéric, near Lake Champlain.
"Map of the Detroit River from Lake Erie to Lake St. Clair." Gaspard-Joseph Chaussegros de Léry (the younger). 1752. Map: col. ms., 50.0 × 47.0 cm.

The land grants that appear on this map belonged above all to Canadians, who constituted the population of the post of Detroit. According to a report of the period (1758), "the settlers at Detroit harvest in a normal year 25,000 minots of wheat, a good deal of oats and corn . . . . In a normal year between 800 and 1,000 bales (of pelts) go out from here."

Service historique de la Marine, Vincennes, France: Service hydrographique, recueil 67, no 71.
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From one census to another

A certain number of censuses furnish fairly accurate figures on the population of Canada in the period of French rule in the eighteenth century.

In 1716, for example, the curé of Notre-Dame de Québec parish, which took in the town of Québec and its suburbs, recorded the names of some 2,300 people living there.

In 1737, 6,872 families and 39,970 persons — 20,708 males and 19,262 females — were counted in the colony. This population was very young: 17,486 boys and girls — 43.7 per cent of the total — were under 15 years of age.

A document from 1754 reveals that in that year, of the 55,000 people living in Canada, 42,200 lived in the country and 12,800 (22.2 per cent) in the towns. Interestingly, despite its strategic importance for the fur trade, Montréal, which counted 4,000 people, came after Québec, the capital of the colony and a seaport, whose population (8,000) had increased almost fourfold in 40 years.
The censuses, aveux et dénombrements, parish registers, notarial minutes and judicial registries give us information about the colonists and their way of life (family life, social life and financial status).

Extract from the aveu et dénombrement for the fief Yamasca, 3 June 1723.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 8, F 97, p. 16.
Extract from the general census of Canada for the year 1737.

From 1737 to 1760 the population of Canada rose from 40,000 to 65,000.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, série G1, vol. 460.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hommes adultes</td>
<td>5517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hommes enfants</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femmes adultes</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garçons adultes de 15 ans</td>
<td>4965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filles adultes</td>
<td>9067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garçons adolescents de 15 ans</td>
<td>4093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filles adolescentes de 15 ans</td>
<td>8419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terres en valeur</td>
<td>158 505 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Églises</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eglises de l'Hôtel-Dieu</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religieuses visites</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religieuses de l'Hôpital Gén.</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soeurs de la Congrégation</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moulins à froid</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moulins à froid (famille)</td>
<td>6872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homme âgé de 50 ans</td>
<td>1791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo Studio Littré</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"Plan of the town of Montréal, in New France," Gaspard-Joseph Chaussegros de Léry. 20 September 1728. Map: col. ms., 44.7 x 63.8 cm.

On this plan are shown the church (K), the Sulpician seminary (T), the Hôpital Général (Q), the Hôtel-Dieu (N), the houses of the Récollets, the Jesuits, and the sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame (O), the marketplace (H) and the prisons (S).

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Amérique septentrionale, 479 B.
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Plan for a poll-tax, 1754.

Three quarters of the Canadians lived in the country, where farming, livestock-raising, woodcutting, hunting, fishing and now and then a little trading afforded them a certain degree of comfort. On the whole, being squeezed less by taxes, seigneurial dues and tithes, they enjoyed better living conditions than did the peasants in France.
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The colonies in the East and Southwest

After the loss of Acadia, about all that remained to the French on the Atlantic coast were Île Royale and Île Saint-Jean, which were from then on called French Acadia, as opposed to the former Acadia, which came under British jurisdiction in 1713 along with its 1,800 or so inhabitants.

Because of Île Royale’s geographical situation, no time was lost in occupying it after the Treaty of Utrecht, with the Acadians being invited to settle there — an invitation that was not met with an overwhelming response. In 1734 a census recorded 3,407 inhabitants, most of them engaged in fishing and in coastal and ocean-going trade.

As for Île Saint-Jean, granted in August 1719 to Louis-Hyacinthe Castel, Comte de Saint-Pierre, who was to settle it along with Île Miscou (granted to him at the same time) and establish inshore cod-fishing on it, in 1739 it counted a population of only 422.

If, on the other hand, the English population of Newfoundland barely exceeded a thousand around 1700, it grew gradually, reaching some 7,300 in the 1750s, while the English colony of Nova Scotia experienced a great surge in population due to immigration.

And at the other end of the continent the French colony of Louisiana counted 3,987 persons, 44 per cent of whom were slaves, in 1726.
Letters patent from the king granting "the Comte de Saint-Pierre the islands of Saint-Jean and Miscou," with the obligation of bringing there "in the course of the next year 100 people to settle there, and 50 more each year during the following years," Paris, August 1719.

The Comte de Saint-Pierre's company established the first population nucleus on Île Saint-Jean (Prince Edward Island). The population of the island would grow appreciably only in the years 1749–1758, when some thousands of Acadians came to seek refuge there.

Archives nationales, Paris: Archives imprimées, série AD, VII, 2a, no 36.
Île Royale (Cape Breton Island) was above all populated by fishermen, workmen and tradespeople who came from Newfoundland (Plaisance), Nova Scotia (Acadia), and the maritime regions of the kingdom such as Brittany, Gascoyne, Normandy, Aunis, Saintonge, Poitou and Labourd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitants</th>
<th>318</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femmes</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garçons au-dessus de 15 ans</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garçons au-dessous de 15 ans</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filles</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servantes et autres domestiques</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marchots et pêcheurs au service des habitants</td>
<td>1644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total des habitants: 3405

Chaloupes des habitants pour la pêche: 259
Bateaux et goélettes: 28
Navires et autres bateaux des habitants pour le commerce et le cabotage: 48

Total des bateaux de mer: 335

---

Census of Île Royale, 1734.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, série G¹, vol. 466.
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Medal with head of Louis XV to commemorate the founding of Louisbourg, 1720.

The fortress of Louisbourg, which was built in the years 1719–1744, would be taken twice by the British, who landed troops west of the town, on the land side, and attacked it from the rear.
Address from 118 inhabitants of St. John's to the queen of England, 19 November 1705.

Up until about 1730, the population of Newfoundland was largely English. In the following decades, however, a great many Irish settled on the island.

From 1710 to 1748, the English in Nova Scotia were few. They settled mostly at Annapolis Royal and at Canso. From 1749 on, the thousands of immigrants sent over from England could establish themselves only in places that were well fortified (Halifax, Lunenburg, Lawrencetown), raids by the Indians (and later by the Acadians) totally preventing the dispersal of the population.

Public Archives of Canada: Picture Division (Negative no. C-2706).
Presque dans toutes les familles on voit cinq & six Enfants, & souvent beaucoup plus; il faut voir comme la marmaille y fourmille; & s'il on ne va point là comme ailleurs en Pellerinage pour en avoir, ils se suivent de près, & l'on dirait qu'ils sont presque tous d'un même âge.

Dans un Pays qu'on va rarement secourir, 
Et qui souffre souvent la dernière misère, 
On s'étonne de voir que le Père & la Mère 
De leur petit travail en puissent tant nourrir.

Mais c'est la richesse du Pays, quand ils sont en état de travailler, ce qu'ils font de bonne heure; ils épargnent à leurs 
Peres des journées d'hommes qui coûtent là vingt-cinq & trente solds, & cela 
va à une dépense qu'ils ne sçauroient faire. 
Il en coûte beaucoup pour accommoder 
les terres qu'on veut cultiver, celles qu'ils 
apportent Hautes, & qu'il faut défricher 
dans les Bois ne sont pas bonnes, le 
grain n'y levé pas bien, & quelque peine 
que l'on prenne pour le faire venir par 
des Engrais dont on a trés-peu, on n'y 
recette presque rien, & on est quelquefois contraint de les abandonner. Il 
faut pour avoir des Bleus de flecher les

Marais que la Mer en pleine marée inonde de ses eaux, & qu'ils apportent les 
Terres Bâties; celles-là sont assez bonnes, mais quel travail ne faut-il pas faire pour les mettre en état d'être cultivées? 
On n'arrête pas le cours de la Mer aisément; cependant les Acadiens en viennent à bout par de puissantes Diges 
qu'ils apportent des Aboteaux, & voici comment ils font; ils plantent cinq ou six 
rangs de gros arbres tous entiers aux endroits par où la Mer entre dans les 
Marais, & entre chaque rang ils couchent d'autres arbres de long les uns sur les autres, & garnissent tous les vides 
si bien avec de la terre glaise bien battue, 
que l'eau n'y sçauroit plus passer. Ils 
ajustent au milieu de ces Ouvrages un 
Esseau de manière qu'il permet à la marée 
bâtie, à l'eau des Marais de s'écouler 
par son impulsion, & défend à celle de 
la Mer d'y entrer. Un travail de cette 
nature qu'on ne fait qu'en certains temps 
que la Mer ne monte pas si haut, coûte 
beaucoup à faire, & demande bien des 
journées; mais la moisson abondante 
qu'on en retire dès la seconde année, 
après que l'eau du Ciel a lavé ces terres, 
dédommage des frais qu'on a faits. Comme 
elles appartennent à plusieurs, ils


From 1700 to 1748, the French population of Acadia (Nova Scotia) went from about 1,500 to 12,000. According to Dièreville, “in nearly all the families, one saw five or six children, and often many more.” Agriculture and stock-farming were the main activities of the Acadians, fishing not often being a good source of livelihood. Rather than clear the forest, they preferred to “drain the marshlands, which the sea at floodtide inundated with its waters,” to provide pastureland. To turn back the sea, they built “powerful dikes that they called aboteaux [aboiteaux].”

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Library (Negative no. C-125667).
“Gulf of St. Lawrence . . . .” Jacques-Nicolas Bellin. [1752]. Map: col. ms., 51.9 × 78.2 cm.

In Jacques-Nicolas Bellin “Cartes de la Nouvelle-France ou Canada.” 1752. (Manuscript atlas).

In the 1750s the population of the British colonies was a cosmopolitan one: English and Irish in Newfoundland; and Acadians, immigrants from New England, Irish, German and Swiss in Nova Scotia.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: National Map Collection (NMC 15012).
"General recapitulation of the entire Illinois country," 1732.

Many Canadians settled in this region of the Upper Mississippi, which in 1732 counted nearly 800 settlers. They lived on farming, hunting and trading with the Indians. They provided Lower Louisiana with flour, salted meats and animal skins.
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In Louisiana the population of Canadian and French origin lived above all in the north (the Illinois country) and the south (the Mobile and Nouvelle-Orléans regions as far as the country of the Natchez). Between the agglomerations in the north and south, which were separated by hundreds of kilometres, one encountered only small trading posts surrounded by Indian tribes that were not always favourable to the presence of the French.
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The Indians

In the eighteenth century, more than in the preceding one, the Indians were in evidence in the daily life of the French. In Montréal, groups belonging to various tribes were seen wandering about the streets, and at Québec the Hurons, who lived near the town, mingled readily with the whites, from whom they could often be distinguished only by the rather garish colours of their European-style clothes.

Trade with the West led to close relations with several new tribes, and the requirements of diplomacy led the authorities to maintain virtually constant contact with the Iroquois. Moreover, the often hostile relations that, as a result of expansion over the continent by the Europeans, were established among tribes that up till then had been strangers to one another, obliged the French to negotiate with many distant tribes such as the Illinois, Foxes, Chactas, Sioux and Crees.

Moreover, whether they were considered French subjects or enemies, the Indians had a way of life of their own, an original civilization, from which the French population in particular benefited as it established itself permanently in Canada.

The Iroquois and other Christian Indians living in the vicinity of the towns retained habits different from those of the Canadians, and they refused to obey French laws. Few of them consented to speak French.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-92419).
The various regions of North America were peopled with Indian tribes that were often different in language, form of government, and family and social structures. Batz's drawing depicts Indians from the Great Lakes region (Foxes), the Upper Mississippi valley (Illinois) and Lower Louisiana (Attacapas).

Indians from several tribes. Alexandre de Batz. Watercolour, 1735.

Peabody Museum, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
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Choctaw Indians tattooed as warriors and carrying scalps. Alexandre de Batz. Watercolour, circa 1732.

The French were absolutely dependent upon their Choctaw and Alibamu allies, among others, for holding their ground in Louisiana. But these allies were not at all trustworthy, particularly since the English in Carolina and Georgia worked continually at winning them over to their cause.

Peabody Museum, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
From the beginning of the eighteenth century, the colony of Canada had an administrative structure that had been tested in the previous century and that met its requirements. This structure would not undergo any notable changes until 1760; only certain adjustments, which were accompanied by an increase in the number of officials, would be made to alter it slightly as a result of the increase in the population, rapid expansion (particularly into the West) and growing economic activity.

In 1719, it is true, an Admiralty Court began sitting at Québec; if the court was newly instituted, it merely relieved the Provost Court of Québec (which since the 1680s had also been called the Provost and Admiralty Court of Québec) of the jurisdiction that it exercised over maritime affairs. It was, besides, the only new administrative institution set up during the century in the St. Lawrence valley — with the exception of a "Royal Jurisdiction of the South Shore" that was set up at the end of the French regime — and little is known of it.

Otherwise, the duties of the governor general and the intendant did not change, although the number of their assistants (local governors and king’s lieutenants for the governor, subdelegates and commissaries of the Marine for the intendant) increased along with the number of posts and "habitations" in New France. As for the Conseil supérieur, which had at first assumed important administrative responsibilities, it hardly ever intervened any more in extra-judicial matters — administrative regulations, for example — and then only with the help or consent of the governor and the intendant, or at the very least of the latter, who generally preferred to act alone. As the Conseil had again become above all the court of final appeal in Canada, justice continued to be exercised as previously, appeals from seigneurial courts being heard by the royal jurisdictions, and those from the latter by the Conseil supérieur, which also heard appeals from the Admiralty Court.
In the eighteenth century, however, something new could be noted: the pursuit within the administration, in particular the administration of justice, of greater stringency, and consequently of greater efficiency. Perhaps as a result of the strong concern voiced by Intendant Jacques Raudot around 1707, and despite the extreme difficulty in finding competent persons for judgeships, there was an attempt to bring order into the lawcourts, confirm land-titles, authenticate surveyors' and notaries' incomplete deeds, regulate the notarial profession, and so on. Inquiries were conducted on the state of notarial registries, where the archives of the courts and notaries were deposited, and appropriate measures for ensuring their preservation were prescribed. Negligence, together with ignorance and a certain casualness, had given rise to numerous abuses. To correct them there seemed to be only two means: teach those Canadians who displayed an aptitude for posts in the judicial system, and persuade the judges — even those of the Conseil supérieur — to "sacrifice" their personal interests to the service of the king and the welfare of the general public.

Within as well as outside the St. Lawrence valley, in the newly created colonies of French Acadia and Louisiana, new administrative institutions, copied pretty much from those in Canada, were seeing the light of day. Officially these colonies were put under the jurisdiction of Quebec, the governor general and the intendant having authority, by virtue of their commissions, over "Canada, Isle Royale, Isle Saint-Jean and others dependent on it, Louisiana, and other countries in New France"; in practice they corresponded directly with the mother country, took their orders from it, and attended to their own internal administration, although there was at times indispensable consultation on questions of common interest between Quebec and Louisbourg, or Quebec and Nouvelle-Orléans.

Île du Cap-Breton, which in September 1713 was inhabited by a single Frenchman and 25 or 30 Indian families, had scarcely been renamed Isle Royale and the population and garrisons of Newfoundland moved to it after the Treaty of Utrecht, than the king set up on the island at Port-Dauphin, Port-Toulouse and Louisbourg three royal bailliages, courts of first instance that would sit in judgment "in civil, personal, real and mixed actions, and criminal matters." Appeals from these courts would come before the Conseil supérieur of Louisbourg, which was set up by the same edict of June 1717 and which would deliver judgments "in both civil and criminal affairs." The following year — a year before Quebec had one — an Admiralty Court was sitting in Louisbourg. Needless to say, Isle Royale had its local governor and its financial commissary, both members of the Conseil supérieur, the latter acting as first councillor on it.

This judicial system, it is true, never materialized entirely. The bailliages of Port-Toulouse and Port-Dauphin never saw the light of day — subdelegates dispensed justice in both places — and the baillage of Louisbourg was not set up until 1734. In the meantime the Conseil supérieur had been hearing cases of the first instance there.

Not far from Île Royale, the Acadians living on the peninsula, although under British rule, remained virtually without any form of government until their expulsion. In that regard all of Nova Scotia was for a long time in the same situation, because
scarcely any English were encountered outside the garrisons. In 1720, it is true, Governor Richard Philipps, mitigating somewhat the military regime, established a kind of civil administration by creating a council of twelve members (including himself) made up of officers and civilians. Nothing changed for the Acadians: they had representatives — at first appointed by the governor, then elected by their compatriots — who played the role of intermediaries between them and the authorities and who sometimes even rose to the rank of negotiators, but they had absolutely no power. It took the founding of Halifax, which replaced Annapolis Royal as the capital in 1749, and a sudden influx of English-speaking settlers, to accelerate the evolution of the province. In 1758 Nova Scotia had its House of Assembly, its executive and legislative councils, and its judicial system, which was presided over by a chief justice, the first British institutions in the territory that is now Canada. At that time, and although it had acquired an embryonic judicial system, Newfoundland was still under the rule of the "commodores" and "fishing admirals" — and the Acadians had been chased out.

Finally, far away from the valley of the St. Lawrence, Louisiana followed the model of the other French colonies as far as administration was concerned: a local governor, a financial commissary and a Conseil supérieur. Independent of Québec, pursuing an economic policy that annoyed the Canadian authorities, Louisiana had scarcely any relations with them unless it was obliged to, or unless concerted action seemed imperative because its security was threatened. Among the sovereign countries, there was no collaboration in the face of a common enemy.
Authority, gentleness and humaneness

Throughout the period of French rule in the eighteenth century, the governor general and the intendant continued to hold supreme authority in the colony. On every occasion the king reminded them of the need to "live on good terms" and how to do so, which was "for each to confine himself to his specific functions" and to collaborate in those "that were shared."

The king and the minister insisted still more frequently that they should govern "the settlers with gentleness and humaneness . . . preventing any oppressive measure from being imposed upon them by the officers" of the armed forces and generally "by looking into the needs of the settlers," so that "the little man is not hurt by the powerful one, and officers of the law do not use their authority abusively."

If the governor general and the intendant might have seemed somewhat inaccessible to the simple settlers in the rural areas, they were nonetheless represented in each parish or seigneury by the militia captain, a local inhabitant who received their orders and saw to it that they were carried out.
As the holder of the supreme power, the king decided upon the most important matters concerning the colonies. His will was carried out above all through the agency of the minister of Marine, to whom he had entrusted administration of the colonies. It was generally the minister who defined policy, adopted the important measures and ordered them to be applied.
Royal arms of France. Attributed to Noël Levasseur. Wood carving, circa 1727.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division.
Portrait of Jean-Frédéric Phélypeaux, Comte de Maurepas (1701-1781), secretary of State (minister) for the Marine from 1723 to 1749. Artist unknown. Engraving.

Maurepas was probably the best minister for New France in the eighteenth century. He provided large sums of money from his ministry to strengthen the defences of the North American colonies and assure their economic development. He took a particular interest in Canada, seeking through various means to increase its trade and diversify its economy.

Bibliothèque nationale, Paris: Cabinet des estampes.
"Memoir from the king to serve as a guide" for Governor General de La Jonquière and Intendant Bigot, Versailles, 30 April 1749.

Being anxious to govern "as a good head of a family," the king regularly recommended treating "the settlers with gentleness and humanity," and the governor general and the intendant regularly treated them thus: their personal convictions were reinforced by the desire not to antagonize a people whose "spirit of independence" they feared.
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Militia captain's commission granted to Pierre Guy by Governor General de Beauharnois, Montréal, 30 July 1743.

Within his parish, the militia captain was responsible for transmitting orders from the governor and the intendant and seeing that they were carried out. He was in charge of the corvées for public works, and called the men up for military exercises.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 23, G III, 28.
Portrait of Charles de Beauharnois (1671–1749), governor general of New France from 1726 to 1747. Oil on canvas.

The concentration of political and military power in the hands of the governor general as a rule permitted him to react quickly and efficiently in the face of difficulties incurred with the English or the Indians. This centralization guaranteed the colony a certain superiority over the British colonies, where the division of power often hindered action.

Musée de l'île Sainte-Hélène, Montréal.
On the judicial system

The Conseil supérieur sat as usual, but the number of judges, which had been increased to seven in 1675, was "too small" for it, and was often "smaller than what had been set by the [royal] ordinances"; on 16 June 1703 Louis XIV therefore increased by five the number of councillors, among whom there would always be an ecclesiastic. The composition of the Conseil would be changed twice more, in 1733 and 1744.

In the three governments the royal courts remained as they were at the end of the seventeenth century, except for the Provost Court of Québec, which in 1719, after an Admiralty Court had been created, officially lost the jurisdiction that it had exercised for some forty years over maritime affairs.

Administration of justice was under the intendant's jurisdiction. Now, on 10 November 1707 Jacques Raudot had asked the minister to "afford the settlers in this country a great measure of relief in their lawsuits by reducing the number of levels of jurisdiction." As it was, they had to appeal decisions of the seigneurial courts (where they existed) to the royal court in their government, and from there to the Conseil supérieur at Québec. According to the intendant, that entailed a great deal of lost time.
The Conseil supérieur would "henceforth be composed of the governor, our lieutenant general in the aforementioned country; the bishop of Québec; the intendant for justice, public order and finances; and twelve councillors, that is to say eleven laymen and one member of the clergy."

Declaration by the king to increase by five the number of councillors on the Conseil supérieur de Québec, Versailles, 16 June 1703.
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The palace housed a good part of the colony's administrative services: offices of the intendant, the Conseil supérieur, and the Provost and Admiralty Courts of Québec.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-360).
Statute concerning the admiralty courts that the king desires to be set up in all the ports of the French islands and colonies. . . .

The Admiralty Court that was set up at Québec was a court of first instance to judge suits concerning the sea service and sailing. It issued sailing permits to captains and shipowners. Its officers maintained law and order in the port by inspecting ships and cargoes.

Archives nationales, Paris: Archives imprimées, série AD, VII, 1, n° 150.
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Letter from Intendant Jacques Raudot to the minister, 10 November 1707.

Raudot asks for a reduction of the "levels of jurisdiction" that the settlers "had to undergo. First, they had to go before the seigneurial court in the places where these were established, then appeal to the appropriate provost court [or royal jurisdiction] and finally as a last resort to the Conseil" supérieur.

Archives nationales, Paris: Fonds des Colonies, série C, vol. 26, fol. 159-159B.
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Seigneurial justice

In asking for a reduction in the number of "levels of jurisdiction," the intendant was proposing abolition of the royal jurisdictions, so that a settler would appeal a decision of the seigneurial court directly to the Conseil supérieur. It is difficult to see how the situation would have been improved by this. However that may be, if there was any change, it was to the detriment of the seigneurs: since the final years of the seventeenth century, in fact, the administration had no longer been willing to grant seigneuries with the right of high justice; quite to the contrary, in 1707 the exercise of high justice in two fiefs was transferred elsewhere, to the Provost Court of Québec in one case, and to the Royal Jurisdiction of Trois-Rivières in the other.

Since competent candidates for judgeships were very scarce, we can understand the authorities' concern for limiting the right to exercise justice in the seigneuries, and their distrust of the judges and other officers who did so.

Consequently, on 23 February 1750, following a complaint by one of its members, according to whom administration of justice in the jurisdiction of Château-Richer "was not being carried out with all the attention that one would wish to see brought to it," the Conseil supérieur charged one of the councillors with conducting an inquiry; and on the following 16 March it ordered that a whole series of measures to correct the abuses be put into effect.
The first councillor went to Château-Richer "to examine the manner in which" justice "is exercised there . . . whether there is a location assigned for hearings, and whether in the aforementioned location there is a convenient place where the clerk can secure the acts of jurisdiction and the records of deceased notaries."
Canada had few villages. Château-Richer had a church, a presbytery, a girls' school that was run by the sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, and a seigneurial manor-house "where justice was dispensed for the whole of the Côte de Beaupré."
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The representatives of the law

Besides the law officers themselves (judges, attorneys, court clerks), there were a certain number of representatives of the law in the colony: notaries, surveyors and, at the bottom of the scale, process-servers, including the personnel of the constabulary — the Maréchaussée.

The notaries, who were relatively numerous in the eighteenth century, could nonetheless not cover all the territory being settled because of distances and the climate. In 1722, therefore, the missionaries in the colony, whether they belonged to the secular or the regular clergy, were authorized to receive wills — a privilege that French law accorded only to curés of fixed parishes. Similarly, in 1733 parish priests, captains and other officers of the militia were authorized to draw up marriage contracts in localities remote from the towns and in the absence of a notary.

Surveyors for their part did not have any deputies. All were appointed by the intendant, and some of them who worked "in the côtes" — the concessions along the St. Lawrence — covered great distances by canoe or on snowshoes to practise their profession. They were less numerous than the notaries, nevertheless they left a great number of plans that are often very useful for shedding light on notarial deeds.

The duty of the Maréchaussée was to serve criminal justice. Consisting of a provost marshal, police officers and soldiers of the watch, it constituted the police force of the time and was attached to the royal jurisdictions.
Ordinance by Intendant Bégon authorizing “by confirming letters secular or regular priests who are carrying out the duties of parish priests as missionaries in the parishes of this colony to receive wills by the settlers in their parishes,” Québec, 30 April 1722.
Commission from Intendant Bigot granting "Jean-Baptiste Perrot the office of sworn surveyor, to be exercised by him throughout the Government of Montréal," Québec, 12 January 1753.

Canadians held mainly junior posts in the civil administration: judges and attorneys in the lower jurisdictions, bailiffs, clerks of court, clerks, notaries and surveyors.

Archives nationales du Québec, Centre d'archives de Québec: Ordonnances des intendants, cahier 40, fol. 51v.
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"Horizontal plan of the fort at Sorel."
Jean-Baptiste Chevrefils Belisle.
22 December 1757. Map: col. ms., 40.9 x 52.0 cm.

In New France many representatives of the ruling class sought to become rich through trade or industry. The Ramezays are a good example of this, because of the diversity of their enterprises: developing seigneuries (including Sorel), the wood business, milling and the tanning trade, among others.
Letter from Governor General de Beauharnois and the financial commissary, Hocquart, to the minister, Québec, 15 October 1730.

The Maréchaussée – the constabulary – was responsible for hunting down and arresting criminals; now, "as the population of the colony is growing every day, crimes are multiplying. It would be quite necessary ... to augment the Maréchaussée by an officer of the watch and three or four soldiers of the watch in Montréal; the cost would not be very great and would be of great service in maintaining order."

Archives nationales, Paris: Fonds des Colonies, série C114, vol. 52, fol. 84v.
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Portrait of Gilles Hocquart (1694–1783), intendant of New France from 1731 to 1748. Artist unknown. Oil on canvas.

If in the 1730s Canada made unprecedented strides, it was partly thanks to Hocquart who, in addition to convincing the mother country to increase the funds it invested in the colony, multiplied initiatives in many areas: land development, creation of industries, road construction, extension of trade outside the country and expansion of the fur trade, among other things.

Musée régional de Vaudreuil-Soulanges.
Local administrative personnel

According to a plan for a poll tax, in 1754 Québec’s administration counted, in addition to the governor, the intendant, and their assistants, 19 law officers, a grand-voyer or chief road officer, a provost marshal, a comptroller of the Marine and a port captain. The comptroller of the Marine had under his orders a treasurer, a storekeeper and 21 clerks. The port captain was assisted by a lieutenant and a port master, and he collaborated with the master shipbuilder. In all there were 49 employees. For its part Montréal had 4 law officers and 8 officiers de plume or recording officers, whereas Trois-Rivières had in all only 3 law officers and a storekeeper.

The administration of the Domaine d’Occident, which oversaw the King’s Domain, consisted of a director, a receiving agent, and a comptroller, 3 visiteurs (inspectors), 6 clerks and a captain of the guards (the number of the latter is not known).

Many other inhabitants of Canada worked from time to time for the State, either conducting hydrographic surveys (many people who were not port captains made such surveys), or being requisitioned for tasks that were sometimes more irksome than they were remunerative.
Plan for a poll-tax, 1754.
This plan mentions several of the colony’s administrators and civil servants such as members of the Conseil supérieur and the Provost and Admiralty Courts, the comptroller of the Marine, the head of shipbuilding, the director of the Domaine d'Occident, the port captain and the chief road officer.
### Domaine du Roy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Le Laitier</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Nautre</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Saison de Construction</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Compagnie des Indes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Le Baptême</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Couronnement</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Consulat</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Fautre</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sainct</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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According to Hocquart, the Domaine du Roi extended "from Île aux Coudres to two leagues below Sept-Îles, in which area were the posts of Tadoussac, Chicoutimi, Lac Saint-Jean . . . Sept-Îles and the Moisie River," among other places. The revenue drawn from the exploitation of this Domaine (the fur trade, hunting, fishing and agriculture) served to defray part of the colony's expenses.

Service historique de la Marine, Vincennes, France: Service hydrographique, recueil 67, n° 10.
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Petition from Richard Testu de La Richardière, port captain of Québec, 1 April 1737.

This port captain "has applied himself carefully to making known the navigation of the St. Lawrence River," to making it less dangerous, and to "training pilots . . . . Besides, it is he who has the responsibility of piloting the king's ships on this same river . . . ." He was also in charge of getting the port of Québec into shape to receive ships.
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Louisiana and Île Royale

On 27 September 1717 the King's Council of State incorporated the Illinois country into the government of Louisiana, a colony that had come under the jurisdiction of the Compagnie d'Occident the month before.

Louisiana was joined anew to the royal domain in 1731. Nouvelle-Orléans, which had been the capital of the colony since 1722, was the place of residence of the governor and the financial commissary as well as the seat of the Conseil supérieur.

To the east, in June 1717 the king endowed Île Royale with three royal bailliages (each consisting of a judge, an attorney and a court clerk) and a Conseil supérieur — a court of final instance that would sit at Louisbourg and would be composed, in addition to the governor general and the intendant of New France, of the local governor for Île Royale, a first councillor (the financial commissary), the king's lieutenant, two other councillors, an attorney general and a court clerk.

But this fine system was premature: only the Conseil supérieur, and in 1718 an Admiralty Court, were set up, institutions that would do until 1734.
Around 1750 Nouvelle-Orléans had a parish church, a hospital, an Ursuline house, a Jesuit house and a Capuchin convent. The governor's mansion, the financial commissary's house and that of the Conseil supérieur attested to the fact that this city was the capital of Louisiana.
Decree from the king’s Conseil d’État incorporating the Illinois country into the Government of Louisiana, 27 September 1717.

This decision was taken “to be profitable and of service to the Compagnie d’Occident,” which owned Louisiana and which wanted to exploit the mineral resources of the Illinois country. The territory would remain incorporated in Louisiana.
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Under French rule, Port-Royal, the seat of the government of Acadia, scarcely looked like a capital. In 1699–1700 the traveller Diéreville found there only "some very badly built thatched cottages" and a church that he "took for a barn rather than for a temple of the true God."

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Amérique septentrionale, 61 B.
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Edict by the king creating a Conseil supérieur at Louisbourg and three "bailliages for the whole of Île Royale, namely a court seat and bailliage royal at the port of Louisbourg, another at Port Dauphin, and a third at Port Toulouse," Paris, June 1717.
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"View of the town of Louisbourg from inside the port." Claude-Étienne Verrier. 1731. View: col. ms., 36.3 × 103.1 cm.

Around 1740 Louisbourg gave the impression of a large town with its gigantic fortifications and the large number of ships in its harbour. The capital of Île Royale had a hospital, a convent of the sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, a Recollet house and . . . several taverns. The most imposing building, the Bastion du Roi, supplied lodgings for the governor, the military officers, and part of the troops.

When Governor General Louis-Hector de Callière learned in 1702 that war had just been declared in Europe, he refused to take any military action that would compromise the results of the Great Peace of Montréal, which had been signed the previous year, and above all that would prompt the Iroquois to abandon the neutrality they had promised to observe at that time. He persuaded the French minister that the Five Nations and their former allies in the colony of New York had to be dealt with tactfully, so as to avoid a new Iroquois war. At the same time attacks would be carried out against the New England colonies, in particular through the intermediary of the Abenakis, who it was feared might otherwise go over completely to the English side in the East. That policy was pursued by his successor, Philippe de Rigaud de Vaudreuil, who through raids into the enemy's territories, and particularly into Massachusetts, succeeded in retaining the Indians' loyalty to France by keeping them occupied.

It was in Newfoundland that hostilities in the War of the Spanish Succession were opened in America. Beginning in 1702 English and French in turn ravaged one another's fishing installations and engaged in privateering operations around the island. Plaisance remained until the end in the hands of the French, who seized Bonavista in 1705 and razed St. John's to the ground in 1709. During that time expeditions launched from Acadia and Canada made brutal surprise attacks on several New England settlements. In retaliation the English acted in the same manner in Acadia, where they destroyed Abenaki villages in 1703 and part of Pentagouet in 1704; that same year they laid waste Les Mines (Grand Pré) and Beaubassin (Chignecto); finally, after failing twice before Port-Royal (Annapolis Royal) in 1707, they forced the fortress to surrender on 13 October 1710. The great offensive against New France was already under way. In 1711 a powerful fleet under the command of Admiral Hovenden Walker was sailing towards Québec, while an army corps under
the command of General Francis Nicholson was on its way to invade the colony along the Richelieu route. Eight of his ships having been wrecked on the reefs of Île-aux-Oeufs, Walker turned back, and on hearing this Nicholson did the same.

The Treaty of Utrecht, which put an end to the war in 1713, proved extremely costly for New France. Newfoundland, Acadia, Hudson Bay and its entire hydrographic basin were handed over to England. In addition the Iroquois became British subjects, while the Indians in general received the right to trade with either the French or the English as they wished. From then on a serious threat hung over the French fishing industry in the East and the fur trade in the West. Furthermore France no longer had the assurance that her ships could sail freely in the gulf, and the security of Lake Ontario, to which the colony of New York would have access through the Iroquois territories, appeared seriously compromised.

What remained of New France had to be fortified with the utmost urgency. On the Atlantic side the project for occupying Cap-Breton, renamed Île Royale, was followed up, and in 1719 the construction of the fortress of Louisbourg was begun. In 1716 a start was made on building a surrounding wall in stone around Montréal, although the king refused until 1745 to improve Québec’s fortifications. Then attention turned to blocking the principal invasion routes at the frontiers: in the Ohio region, where the English were already trying to establish themselves, Fort des Ouiatanons was built in 1719, Fort des Miamis in 1722, and Fort Vincennes in 1731-32; in the Illinois country Fort de Chartres was built in 1720; Fort Niagara was built on the south shore of Lake Ontario in 1726 and Fort Saint-Frédéric on Lake Champlain in 1731.

A certain number of dangers threatened New France, not the least of them being the expansion of the English from Pennsylvania, Virginia and Carolina towards the Ohio region and the Illinois country. If they were to get established there, then New France would be cut into two sections: if Louisiana were isolated, she would not long resist the enemy’s covetousness; if deprived of the furs from the West, Canada would not have survived economically. Now, the forts that were built in those regions could not by themselves assure that France would remain in possession of them; it was absolutely essential to ensure the loyalty and constant support of the allied Indians, whom the English were courting assiduously. Strengthening the alliances, maintaining peace among some thirty tribes, several of which were hereditary enemies, controlling the Foxes in particular, who several times were on the point of setting the West ablaze — all this required great firmness, and even greater diplomacy, on the part of the authorities in Canada and the post commandants.

After the War of the Austrian Succession, which was marked by the loss of Louisbourg in 1745 and two attempts by the French to regain Nova Scotia, and after the Treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle, which handed Louisbourg and Île Royale back to France in 1748, the authorities again lost no time in fortifying Lake Ontario and the Ohio region. In the latter region the construction of Fort Duquesne caused the renewal of hostilities with the English in 1754, right in the middle of a period of peace. The war of the conquest was beginning. If Coulon de Villiers had seized Fort Necessity, in the Ohio country, in 1754, Dieskau suffered a setback the following
year at Lac Saint-Sacrement (Lake George), in the Lake Champlain region. Again in 1755 the French were victorious on the Monongahela, in the Ohio country, but in Acadia Fort Beauséjour and Fort Gaspereau fell, and in Nova Scotia the deportation of the Acadians was beginning.

During the Seven Years' War the French at first won some great victories in America: the capture of Oswego in 1756 and of Fort William Henry in 1757, followed by the brilliant victory at Carillon in 1758; in that year, however, they surrendered at Louisbourg and Fort Frontenac. In 1759 the end of French power in North America could be foreseen by the month of July: the French had to surrender at Niagara, on Lake Ontario, and in the Lake Champlain region they abandoned Fort Carillon and Fort Saint-Frédéric, which they blew up, to fall back upon the Richelieu. At that moment James Wolfe was besieging Quebec. On 13 September, after an unremitting bombardment of the town that lasted two months, he won the battle of the Plains of Abraham; on 18 September Quebec surrendered. Montréal followed suit on 8 September 1760. The last French feat of arms, the victory of Sainte-Foy in the spring of 1760, had not been able to ward off fate.

New France was no more.
The War of the Spanish Succession

In Newfoundland the War of the Spanish Succession was the occasion for the renewal of hostilities between the French at Plaisance and the English on the island. In 1705 Jacques Testard de Montigny took the field by the command of Daniel d’Auger de Subercase. The settlers along the coasts were disarmed and their properties burned; Bonavista surrendered. But the French victory did not have any lasting effect, and St. John’s remained in English hands until 1709.

The English in New England mounted several expeditions against Acadia and the Abenakis, who were allied with the French: in 1703, 1704 and 1707 they destroyed villages and sowed terror. Finally, on 13 October 1710, Port-Royal surrendered and passed into British hands for good.

Exasperated by the raids into their territory by the French and their Indian allies, the New England authorities planned nothing less than the conquest of Canada. But in 1711, after the shipwreck of several of his ships on the reefs off Île-aux-Oeufs in the St. Lawrence, Admiral Hovenden Walker, who had the mission of besieging Québec, turned about with his entire fleet. The rejoicing there was as great as the fear had been.

The Treaty of Utrecht, which was disastrous for New France, put an end to this war in 1713.
Extract from the journal of Jacques Testard de Montigny's expedition against the English settlements in Newfoundland, 1705.

On 15 March Montigny "set out to burn and destroy the bays, ports and settlements of Conception, Trinity, Carbonear and Bonavista." His campaign was crowned with success.
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Letter from Governor General de Vaudreuil and Intendant de Beauharnois to the minister, Québec, 17 November 1704.

It was necessary to "set the English and Abenakis at odds." This was achieved by having the Indians take part in Leneuf de Beaubassin's expedition, which ravaged several settlements in Maine in 1703, and Hertel de Rouville's, which took the market town of Deerfield in Massachusetts by surprise the following year, taking "more than one hundred and fifty prisoners, men and women."
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Memoir of Samuel Vetch, 1708.

Vetch explains that the French colonies must be conquered because they are slowing down the development of the British colonies: through the empire that they have carved for themselves out of an immense part of the North-American territory, the French are "quite encompassing and hemming in betwixt them and the sea, all the British Empire upon the said continent of America, by which they have already so mightily obstructed the British trade, all America over, and must in time totally ruin the same . . . ."
Terms of the surrender of Port-Royal, Acadia, 13 October 1710.

In the face of British troops whose numerical superiority was too great, Governor Subercase was forced to surrender. “... the [French] garrison will march out with all its equipment and with drums beating and flags flying.”

Archives nationales, Paris: Fonds des Colonies, série C[110], vol. 7, fol. 95.
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“In less than half an hour, eight of the largest vessels [of Hovenden Walker’s squadron] smashed with terrible violence on the rocks and reefs [of Île aux Oeufs]. Not thinking it advisable to risk the rest of his fleet,” Walker gave up the idea of attacking Québec.
Medal with head of Louis XIV commemorating the Treaty of Utrecht. Struck in bronze, 1713.

By the Treaty of Utrecht France surrendered to Great Britain "the island of Newfoundland," "Hudson Bay and Hudson Strait" and "Nova Scotia, in other words Acadia in its entirety, in keeping with its former boundaries."

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative nos. C-14900 obverse and C-14901 reverse).
Treaty of Utrecht, 11 April 1713.

Article 15 of this treaty opened the way to the West for the English: first, it allowed them to get a foothold on the Great Lakes region by stipulating that the Iroquois "cantons" (south of Lake Ontario) were "subject to Great Britain"; then it recognized the right of the English, as well as of the French, to trade with the Western tribes.

Archives du ministère des Affaires étrangères, Paris.
“Fortify Canada”

It was clear that the English were aiming to take over all the French possessions in North America. At the beginning of 1716, therefore, Governor Philippe de Rigaud de Vaudreuil issued the watchword: take advantage of the peace to “fortify Canada.”

Québec, which had been attacked by William Phips in 1690 and threatened by Admiral Walker in 1711, and whose fall would be fatal to the colony as a whole, ought to have received large defence works. In the period 1716–45 plans for building fortifications kept following one another, but in actual fact very little was done to ensure the town's security.

Off in the direction of the Great Lakes, the Niagara River was taking on special importance strategically. In the seventeenth century a post had already been built there, at the point where the French colony and the Iroquois territories met; in 1720 a trading post was established there, then in 1726–27 a stone fort was put up on the right bank of the river.

Fortifying Canada also meant maintaining and tightening the alliances with the Indians, on whom gifts were lavished and who were further rewarded on occasion with medals for services rendered. This policy was all the more necessary because the Indians contributed greatly to “guarding the approaches” to the colony, and also because peace between rival tribes in the West or between one or another of them and the French, whether in Canada or in Louisiana, was never assured.
In the period from 1700 until about 1745, several plans were put forward for fortifying Quebec, but little or no action was taken on them. In 1746 a beginning was made on building a surrounding wall, but the work advanced slowly and would never be completely finished.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, 410.
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"Plan and elevations of the machicolated building situated . . . at the entrance of the Niagara River." Gaspard-Joseph Chaussegros de Léry (the younger). 9 December 1738. Plan: col. ms., 47.2 × 31.5 cm.

In the 1720s the French built a trading post and a fort at Niagara. They were hoping thus to curb New York State's growing trade in the Great Lakes region and divert towards the St. Lawrence the Indians who passed by on their way to trade at Albany.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, 542 C.
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"Entrance to the Rivière Choueguen . . . " Gaspard-Joseph Chaussegros de Léry (the younger).
8 October 1749. Map: col. ms., 50.3 x 44.0 cm.

According to La Galissonière, in the Great Lakes region, it was at Chouaguen (Oswego) "that the English attract all the Indian tribes [to trade there] and that they try through giving them presents not only to win them over but also to urge them to murder the French traders."

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Amérique septentrionale, 532 C.
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"Plan of the new machicolated fort and redoubt located in the strait of the Pointe-à-la-Chevelure . . . ." Gaspard-Joseph Chaussegros de Léry (the elder). 15 September 1735. Plan: col. ms., 88.6 × 58.0 cm.

The construction of Fort Saint-Frédéric (or Fort de la Pointe-à-la-Chevelure) was designed to prevent the British from establishing themselves in the Lake Champlain region and to close to them the invasion route leading from New York to Montréal.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer. Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Amérique septentrionale 510 A.
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Because she is “very much inferior in numbers in the proportion of 1 to 15” to the British colonies, New France can “last only through the attachment of the Indians.” But the Indians are conscious of the degree to which trade with the French “is onerous for them and how much they gain by trading with the English.” There is a good chance that they will take up “the hatchet of those with whom they will be carrying on a profitable trade.” Since the beginning of the century the inability of the French to offer deals as good as those of the English had imperilled, if not ruined, many of their alliances.
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Medal of Louis XV, distributed to the Indian chiefs for services rendered. Struck in silver, circa 1740.

Present, medals and trading goods were used to win the Indians' support. Fairly often, however, the main reason that incited them to side with the French was the fear and respect that the latter inspired in them through their shows of force and feats of arms. "When they feel that we are the stronger, they will all be our friends," wrote La Galissonière.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative nos. C-62181 obverse and C-62182 reverse).
During the thirty years of peace that followed the Treaty of Utrecht, the rivalries between the English and French settlers showed up in various ways:

"... the English, who are jealous of the trade that the French carry on with the Indian tribes in the pays d'en haut, try all sorts of ways to take this trade away from the French ..." They sent "underground belts to all the tribes on whose territories the French have posts or establishments to incite them to get rid of them and to massacre the garrisons."
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The English were making "attractive propositions" to the Abenakis with a view to "taking possession of their country," where they had begun to establish garrisons and settlers. This expansion might well give them control of the buffer zone (present-day Maine and New Brunswick) that separated Canada from New England.

Letter from Governor General de Beauharnois to the minister, Québec, 10 October 1730.
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"Map of the fort where the Foxes were defeated . . ." 26 March 1731. Map: col. ms., 29.5 × 44.6 cm.

Because they were hurting the fur trade by attacking French voyageurs and the allies of the French in the West, the Foxes were to be exterminated. In 1730 hundreds of them were killed at the Rivière Saint-Joseph des Illinois by French and Indian forces led by Groston de Saint-Ange, Noyelles de Fleurimont, and Coulon de Villiers.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Louisiane, portefeuille V1C, 47.
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The War of the Austrian Succession

As soon as it was learned at Louisbourg in 1744 that war had broken out in Europe, François Du Pont Duvivier led an expedition against Annapolis Royal in the hope of recovering the former Acadia. But the bid failed.

The following year it was Louisbourg's turn to be besieged by troops from New England and a British fleet under command respectively of William Pepperrell and Peter Warren. After 47 days Louis Du Pont Duchambon surrendered: the "impregnable" fortress, the town and their dependencies passed into the hands of the English.

The loss of Louisbourg was a major disaster for France. In 1746 a fleet under command of Jean-Baptiste-Louis-Frédéric de La Rochefoucauld, Duc d'Anville, was dispatched with the task of recovering Louisbourg, Nova Scotia and Placentia. Bad weather and sickness wrecked the plan.

Louisbourg was nevertheless going to become a French possession again with the signing of the Treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle in 1748.
Letter from Commandant Louis Du Pont Duchambon to the minister, 13 August 1745.

"... on 27 June last I was forced to hand Louisbourg with its outlying areas over to the English after sustaining a siege for 47 days ... lacking powder and men to defend it ... ."

Archives nationales, Paris: Fonds des Colonies, série C118, vol. 27, fol. 34.
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"... the peace concluded at Aix-la-Chapelle only proves the need in which England and France found themselves to end a war that was equally onerous for both powers . . . ." But this peace was only a truce; "... England is only waiting for a favourable situation . . . to make war on us in America, take all our possessions there, utterly destroy our trade . . . ."
From one war to another

English competition was making itself strongly felt on Lake Ontario, particularly through the post of Oswego (Chouaguen). But the threat extended much farther, into the immense territory situated between Canada and Louisiana. If the English were successful in establishing themselves there, it would be all over for the Mississippi colony, and Canada’s internal trade would collapse.

In addition to tightening the alliance with the Indians in the region, it was then necessary to fortify the West. In the space of a few years Fort La Présentation, west of Montréal, and Fort Rouillé on the north shore of Lake Ontario were built, as were Fort Presqu’île, Fort Le Boeuf, Fort Venango and Fort Duquesne, from Lake Erie to the Ohio.

The construction of Fort Duquesne, at the junction of the Ohio and the Monongahela, was going to set off hostilities in the region. On 3 July 1754 Fort Necessity, commanded by George Washington, surrendered to Louis Coulon de Villiers; a year later, on 9 July 1755, on the Monongahela, the French repulsed Major-General Edward Braddock, who had come at the head of an army of nearly 2,000 men to capture Fort Duquesne.

Even before war was declared in Europe, another battle was taking place on Lac Saint-Sacrement (Lake George), in the colony of New York, in which the French troops commanded by Jean-Armand, Baron de Dieskau, suffered a partial defeat.
In the period 1749–1755 the Acadians left Nova Scotia by the thousands to settle in the French colonies of Île Royale and Île Saint-Jean. The arrival of foreign immigrants in their country, rumours of deportation and the oath of allegiance that was being forced upon them were just so many factors that prompted them to emigrate.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 18, K 5.
Quelque chose de bon et de vrai dans
quelle j'ai marqué, et depuis l'ai
quitté dans ses lettres.

C'est aussi de là que j'ose une
remarque essentielle au sujet. Si la
colonne illinoise fort de monde per-
venant les colonies Angloises, elles
s'approcheront moins de facilité à temporiser
des Illinois qu'en l'espérance sans cesse
languis de aujourd'hui, c'est le tout le
prison que nous occupions, et où je
pourrais venir avec plaisir et qui
de foin, et je croirais une fois
venu, qui foin et ainsi entre nos
droits coloniaux la porté de Mississippi
et la ruine de commerce interne du
Canada en même temps, et les colonies
Espagnoles comme la Mexique un
tent grand danger.

Le résultat de tout cela qu'on se dispense
elles illinoise au Canada en gagnera peu

Letter from Acting Governor de La
Galissonière to the minister, Québec,
1 September 1748.

If the English colonies seized the Illinois
country, succeeding in “thus getting in
between our two colonies [Canada and
Louisiana], the loss of the Mississippi
and the ruin of Canada’s inland trade
would be assured, and the Spanish
colonies, even Mexico, would be in very
great danger.”

Archives nationales, Paris: Fonds des
Colonies, série C118, vol. 91, fol. 121.
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This map shows most of the forts or posts that had been built since 1713 in the Illinois country and the Ohio valley. The French posts helped consolidate trade with the Indians and the alliances with them, as well as check the expansion of the English in the West.

Essay on the course of the OYO (Ohio), with the French and English forts . . .

Archives nationales, Paris: Section des cartes et plans, NN 173, n° 46.
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The surrender of Fort Necessity, signed by George Washington and James Mackay, 3 July 1754.

In forcing Washington and his Virginia militiamen to surrender, Coulon de Villiers accomplished a double mission: “to avenge the assassination of one of our officers [his own brother, Jumonville] who was bearing an injunction, and of his escort, and also to prevent any establishment” of the English in the Ohio valley.
The Acadians’ tragic fate

If Europe was still at peace, the war was already raging in North America, where the Ohio country, the Lake Champlain region and Acadia were being fought for. In this part of the French empire, Fort Beauséjour and Fort Gaspereau, on the Nova Scotia frontier, surrendered on 16 June and on 17 June 1755 respectively.

In Nova Scotia itself the Acadians’ future was far from being assured, as a result of their constant refusal to take the oath of allegiance to England. Faced with the prospect of a general war in America, the authorities of the colony were worried about the so-called neutrality of these settlers who, in their very great majority, and because they had not taken the oath of allegiance, were not even British subjects.

The English also wanted to protect themselves against eventual attacks by the Acadians and their Indian allies. They did this by building forts at Les Mines and Pisiquid in 1749 and 1750, and by fortifying the new towns of Halifax, Lunenburg and Lawrencetown.

The idea of deporting the Acadians consequently resurfaced. It would fall to Governor Charles Lawrence to carry it out in 1755, when between 6,000 and 7,000 “French Neutrals” were dragged from their homes and scattered, most of them in the American colonies. The cruel operation went on until 1762, and after Louisbourg fell in 1758, the settlers on Île Royale and Île Saint-Jean did not escape it.

Not only were the Acadians deported, they were also dispersed. Too often even families were separated: children were taken from their parents, husbands from their wives, brothers from their sisters. Evangeline would spend a long time looking for Gabriel . . . .
In 1751 Fort Beauséjour and Fort Gaspereau were built on the border of Nova Scotia to prevent the British from spreading beyond the colony. By bringing them closer to Canada, such an expansion into present-day New Brunswick would enable them to cut the ground link between Quebec and Louisbourg.


Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 18, K 5.
In 1749–1750, the English of Nova Scotia built forts at Mines and at Pisiquid (Fort Edward), which served to keep an eye on the Acadians. They erected Fort Lawrence (opposite Fort Beauséjour) to prevent the French from strengthening their positions in the Isthmus of Chignecto. The new settlements of Halifax, Lunenburg and Lawrencetown were likewise fortified, to protect the settlers from raids by Indians allied with the French.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-2708).
Lawrence explains the reasons for the deportation of the Acadians: They "have continually furnished the French and Indians with intelligence, quarters, provisions and assistance in annoying the government"; it is necessary to "rid ourselves of a set of people, who would forever have been an obstruction to the intention of settling this colony."
Lands, and any further favour from the
government; called together his Majesty's
Council (at which the Honble. (i.e.) Admiral
Baron (i.e.) (Admiral) Brock (i.e.) (governor) to
consider by what means we could with
the greatest secrecy and effect, aid ourselves
of a set of People, who would forever have
been an obstruction to the intention of
settling this Country, and that it was now
from their refusal of the Oath absolutely
incumbent upon us to remove.

At their numbers amount
to near twenty thousand Persons the driving
themselves with leave to go whether they please
would have doubtless strengthened Canada
with so considerable a number of Inhabit
ants, and as they have been cleared
land to give them at present, such as
were.
arl des Acadiens,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lieu</th>
<th>Nombre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liverpool</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southampton</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>En France</td>
<td>1 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>En Amérique</td>
<td>1 020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18 862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Données plus une ruche ou un
jumeau, ou deux êtres ou la
famille à célébrer et dont
l'État des Acadiens

1755 à 1762, plusieurs milliers
Acadiens déportés aux colonies
britanniques et à la France. Deux
dizaines d'entre eux se réfugièrent
au Canada, en Louisiane, aux îles de
Saint-Pierre et Miquelon, et même
aux Antilles. Deux cent motiers,
800

1763.

"État des Acadiens," February 1763.

From 1755 to 1762, several thousand
Acadians were deported to the British
colonies, to Britain and to France. Many
took refuge in Canada, in Louisiana, in
the islands of Saint-Pierre and
Miquelon, and even in the West Indies.
Some of them ended up staying "in
their country" and others returned later.

Archives du ministère des Affaires
étrangères, Paris: Correspondance
politique, Angleterre, vol. 449,
fol. 352v.

Photo Jean-Loup Charmet.
An indecisive struggle

In 1756 war broke out again in Europe; that same year the French besieged Oswego, on Lake Ontario. The fortress, which consisted of three forts, including Chouaguen, had to surrender in the face of the troops, superior in number, led by François-Pierre de Rigaud de Vaudreuil and Louis-Joseph, Marquis de Montcalm.

In the autumn of 1755 and after, the French, accompanied by Indian allies — when the latter were not virtually alone — multiplied their raids against the English settlements, some of them as far away as Carolina. In ordering these raids Governor General Pierre de Rigaud de Vaudreuil had two objectives in mind — tiring the English of the war, and keeping them so busy at home that they would be unable to go on the offensive against New France.

On 8 August 1757 Montcalm received the surrender of Fort William Henry. The following year, on 8 July, taking advantage of blunders by James Abercromby, even though the latter was leading larger troops, Montcalm won the celebrated victory of Carillon, a few kilometres from Lac Saint-Sacrement.

But in 1758 two defeats would offset these French victories: on 26 July Louisbourg surrendered after a sixty-day siege; and on 27 August Fort Frontenac, on Lake Ontario, also surrendered. Finally on 24 November, in the Ohio region, François-Marie Le Marchand de Lignery blew up Fort Duquesne to prevent it from falling into the hands of the English.
Medal with head of Louis XV commemorating the French victories, including the capture of Oswego. Struck in silver, 1758.

The capture of Oswego (Chouaguen), on Lake Ontario, marked the realization of an old dream on the part of the Canadians. For thirty years they had wanted to destroy this commercial centre that had been doing immense harm to their diplomatic and trading relations with the Indians.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative nos. C-62197 obverse and C-62198 reverse).
Vaudreuil was trying to increase the number of raids against the English colonies, for "nothing is more apt to disgust the populations of those colonies and make them wish for the return of peace."
anglais ont perdu cent élots. Contre nous, je dis même que le peuple en est grandement consterné et les anglais ont bien de la pêne à les faire mouvoir parqu'ils soient pénibés de l'injustice de leur prétention, mais les colonie anglaises sont si peuplées qu'elle fournissent aisément le nombre d'hommes qui leur sont nécessaires. Je souhaiterais fort éloigner quelque jour pour faire jusio de plus en plus à ce peuple combien il serait leur avantage de ne se pas prés à ces ains si odieux et dont les suites peuvent être si funestes pour eux même, mais la chose n'est point aisée et je ne la mettrai en usage qu'autant que je devrai atten de ne s'en compromettre.

Je suis avec un très profond respect,

Monseigneur,

Votre très humble et obeissant serviteur.

P. André.
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Letter from Governor General de Vaudreuil to the minister, Montréal, 18 April 1757.

Since 1755 the French and their Indian bands had been relentlessly harassing the frontier settlements “from Carolina to New York,” sowing death and destruction everywhere.


Photo Studio Littré.
View of Louisbourg "seen from the lighthouse during the late siege of 1758." Captain Ince. Etching by P. Canot, printed by Thomas Jefferys, 1761.

In 1745 the English had good reasons for launching an offensive against Louisbourg: this fortress assured the predominance of the French fisheries in America; its privateers were attacking the New England merchant ships, and its troops had tried to capture Annapolis Royal, in Nova Scotia. In 1758 the capture of the fortress was a step towards the conquest of Canada.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-5907).
Letter from François de Lévis, Second Commander of the Regular French troops, to the King of Poland, Carillon, 12 July 1758.

At Fort Carillon (south of Lake Champlain), the French forces were but "two thousand nine hundred French regulars and about four hundred others that included troupes de la Marine and Canadians. Nevertheless, this 'small number worked wonders of valor and obliged the English [15,000 men] to beat a hasty retreat," leaving behind 2,000 dead and wounded.

Public Archives of Canada: Manuscript Division, MG 18, K 8, vol. 11, p. 243.
According to Bradstreet, the capture of Fort Frontenac, on Lake Ontario, was a severe blow for the Canadians: “their troops to the southward and western garrisons will suffer greatly, if not entirely starve, for want of the provisions and vessels we have destroyed.”

During the Seven Years' War the Ministry of the Marine increased its troops in the colonies. The Ministry of War supplied a certain number of battalions from the Régiment de Béarn and the Régiment du Languedoc, along with some from other provinces. French America could also count on its militiamen and its Indian allies.
The conquest of Canada

The year 1759 marked the beginning of the end for the French in Canada. On 25 July Fort Niagara, on Lake Ontario, surrendered after vainly resisting for three weeks; the next day, in the Lake Champlain region, Louis-Philippe Le Dossu d'Hébecourt blew up the powder magazine at Fort Carillon; and on 31 July, in the face of Amherst's seemingly irresistible advance, François-Charles de Bourlamaque destroyed Fort Saint-Frédéric in the same way.

Now, since 23 June James Wolfe had been besieging Québec with a sizable fleet and army; he was applying a policy of pillaging the surrounding countryside and setting it afire; and from 12 July on he bombarded the town night and day, sowing death, destruction and confusion, without succeeding, however, in bringing the French troops out into the open as he was hoping. There seemed no way out of the situation, when he learned of the existence of a path by which he could ascend the cliff and take the town from the rear. During the night of 12–13 September he landed troops at Anse au Foulon and had them drawn up in battle order on the Plains of Abraham. In the morning the undue haste of the French resulted in a bitter defeat for them. On 18 September the town surrendered.

A few months after the brilliant but short-lived victory by François-Gaston, Chevalier de Lévis, at Sainte-Foy on 28 April 1760, Montréal, which was threatened by three army corps, surrendered on 8 September. The fall of this last centre of resistance led to the surrender of the whole colony and its occupation by the British army until 1764.
Whereas France concentrated her forces in Europe, the British minister Pitt, who was in charge of conducting the war, directed the main effort to the colonies. According to him, taking America meant assuring Great Britain of supremacy on the sea and in trade, in other words supremacy world-wide.


This map shows the English and French positions on 13 September 1759, the day of the Battle of the Plains of Abraham. It serves to emphasize the importance of the forces who took part and the scale of the operations.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: National Map Collection (NMC 54105).

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-27665).
First and last pages of the terms of the surrender of Québec signed by Charles Saunders, George Townshend and Jean-Baptiste-Nicolas-Roch de Ramezay, Québec, 18 September 1759.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-3916).
Terms of the surrender of Montréal signed by Vaudreuil and Jeffery Amherst, Montréal, 8 September 1760.
Letter from Voltaire, 6 September 1762. "I am like the public. I much prefer peace to Canada, and I believe that France can be happy without Quebec." Voltaire was right: the ceding to England of a colony that had a bad reputation throughout France (it was considered expensive and unproductive) would not give rise to many protests in the kingdom.
The king would one day explain to the colonial authorities the distinction between “special policy” and “general policy”; the latter, which rested with the governor general and the intendant, aimed at “increasing the population, the amount of land under cultivation and trade.” But at the beginning of the eighteenth century this program might well have seemed extremely difficult to carry out.

To begin with, the War of the Spanish Succession exhausted France’s financial resources and prompted the king and the minister to reduce expenditures in the colony; soon the State was incapable of paying for the goods and services that it obtained for itself in Canada; combined with the normal rise in shipping costs in time of war, this temporary insolvency caused uncontrollable inflation in the entire territory that was disastrous for trade, as well as a financial crisis that was only resolved in the period between 1716 and 1720. The State then withdrew playing-card money, which it redeemed at half its face value: the Canadians lost tidy sums of money in that operation.

The financial crisis was made still worse by the precarious nature of the fur trade: saturation of the European market had led the king to do away with fur-trading licences in 1696; it had also caused a drop in the prices offered for Canadian beaver, the elimination of castor gras and, naturally, a decided drop in demand. To keep their businesses going, Canadian tradesmen turned in large numbers to trading illegally with New England and New York, where Albany became an active smuggling centre. The result of all this was the bankruptcy of the Compagnie de la Colonie, which had been set up in the early years of the century.

After the War of the Spanish Succession had ended, and for a period of about thirty years, the colony would enjoy peace, which would bring it the security and stability needed for its economic growth, all the more so since, after the peace of Montréal in 1701, it no longer had to fear the Iroquois, who had held up settlement
greatly in the previous century. Furthermore, the notable increase in population in those three decades was going to lead many enterprising men to give up agriculture (which was not very profitable) and the fur trade (which could not absorb all the available manpower) in favour of seeking other means of prospering, such as fishing, the lumbering industry, shipbuilding and trading among the colonies.

Besides, as early as 1714 and 1715 demand for Canadian beaver pelts — and gradually for other furs — increased with the result that little by little beaver lost its relative importance compared to that in the seventeenth century. No time was lost, therefore, in re-establishing the fur-trading licences. Two factors were going to work powerfully in favour of the fur trade: exploration in the Northwest, particularly by the La Vérendryes, who established numerous trading posts there; and the building of a network of military forts (which most often were also trading posts) intended to prevent economic and territorial expansion by the English into the Great Lakes region, the Upper Mississippi and the Ohio country.

Once the impetus had been given, the colony had the good luck to have at its head in the 1730s and 1740s an active and intelligent intendant, Gilles Hocquart, who endeavoured, supported by an enlightened minister, Jean-Frédéric Phélypeaux, Comte de Maurepas, to diversify the Canadian economy, and who was of great assistance to Canadians already engaged in the operation. Often under Hocquart’s urging, Maurepas, for whom the colonies and sea-borne trade were a source of enrichment and power for France, facilitated trade between Canada, Île Royale and the West Indies; he gave subsidies to certain Canadian undertakings and granted privileges to Canadian traders and entrepreneurs, ordered the purchase on the king’s account of Canadian products, had large warships built at Québec and agreed to the takeover by the State of the Forges du Saint-Maurice, ironworks that private interests had not been able to keep running at a profit.

Unfortunately the best of efforts were not always crowned with success in this colony, at grips with a certain number of chronic problems, among them lack of capital, scarcity and dearness of manpower, particularly of specialized manpower, the great distance from overseas markets, the closing of navigation for six months of the year, and the high costs of transport and of maritime insurance. In addition the home authorities, being overly sensitive to the kingdom’s needs, sometimes made bad judgments concerning the colony’s possibilities; in the shipbuilding area, for example, the kinds of wood found in Canada were hardly suitable for building large warships. To that was added the fact that not all Canadian merchants had a liking for adventure: the fur trade entailed few risks, while being profitable, and the majority preferred to stay with it. A similar attitude could be seen amongst the farmers: the smallness of the home market and the risks of overseas trade scarcely incited them to produce much more than what was sufficient for their own needs.
The resumption of war and some bad harvests one after another in the 1740s slowed down or suspended activity in several industrial and commercial undertakings. A peace economy was succeeded by a war economy in which the State greatly increased its expenditures for military purposes in the colony — particularly during the 1750s. Many entrepreneurs and tradesmen who were suppliers to the State, and even government officials and military officers, took advantage of this to make their fortune — often dishonestly — out of public funds.

In fact, despite some successes, on the economic level the period of French rule in Canada in the eighteenth century remains a striking illustration of the fundamental impotence of all the colonies in New France. Versailles wanted these colonies to serve the immediate interests of the mother country exclusively, whereas the colonies were often a prey to the greed of local government officials.
The Canadian merchants organize

At the beginning of the eighteenth century the merchants in the colony, becoming more and more aware of their common interests, began to organize themselves and take their affairs in hand.

At Québec for example, some 80 merchants and notables of the colony ratified on 10 October 1700 the transactions that had been concluded earlier in France by their delegate, Antoine Pascaud. This solemn deed, which the governor general, the intendant, the two bishops and the procurators of all the religious orders in Canada also signed, marked the creation of the Compagnie de la Colonie, which was mostly made up of merchants living in the colony.

In a political regime under which any public meeting that was not authorized by the governor and the intendant was considered a seditious act, the merchants nonetheless obtained in 1708 the creation at Québec of a bourse, an organism similar to our boards of trade, and in 1717 permission was granted merchants in Québec and Montréal to meet every day in a suitable place to carry on their business.

The new-found solidarity of these merchants, who unabashedly asserted themselves as Canadians, led them to fight against the competition of the non-resident merchants, who did not share the country's expenses but who came from La Rochelle, Bordeaux and other port cities in France every year, carrying off through their trading a good part of the profits realized in the colony.
Ratification by the settlers in Canada of the conventions concluded in France between their representative Pascaud and the beaver tax-farmers, Québec, 10 October 1700.

The conventions with the beaver tax-farmers were approved by "the undersigned (governor general, intendant, bishop, merchants, etc.) to the number of eighty-eight acting on this part for all of the aforementioned colony of this country of Canada." The Canadians thus acquired the monopoly of the sale of beaver in France and Holland. Five days later they founded the Compagnie de la Colonie.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 18, C 3.
Letter from the Intendants Raudot to the minister, Québec, 15 November 1708.

"We have the honour to inform you that the merchants of this town [Québec] have established a bourse; they have clubbed together to pay for the house that they have rented for that purpose . . . ."
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Decree from the king’s Conseil d’État allowing the “merchants to meet every day ... in each of the aforementioned towns of Québec and Montréal, there to conduct their trading business, and to appoint one of them in each of the aforementioned towns to make in the name of all of them the representations that will be necessary for the benefit of their trading,” Paris, 11 May 1717.

The merchants had begun to meet well before this decree that authorized them to do so. On occasion they delegated one of their members to meet with the authorities, either in the colony or in the mother country, to defend their interests.


Photo Studio Littré.

According to Pehr Kalm, the merchant vessels that were sent to New France "leave mainly from the following French cities: first and foremost La Rochelle and Bordeaux, but also Marseille, Nantes, Havre-de-Grâce [Le Havre], Saint-Malo and others. The French navy, which brings goods and other things here every year, sails from Brest or Rochefort."

Musée de la Marine, Paris. Photo Musées nationaux.
Currency and playing-card money

In the 1720s, after playing-card money had been done away with, merchants in Canada met with many difficulties in disposing of their wares because of competition from the non-resident merchants, certainly, but above all because of the extreme scarcity of currency in the colony.

In 1728, therefore, the merchants living in the country requested that Canada be supplied with a money that would allow trade, essential to the country's survival, to continue. Promissory notes and letters of exchange, they explained, were "suitable only for wholesale trade"; now, because of the lack of currency, the habitant and the craftsman, on whom retail trade depended, could not get essential commodities or receive payment for the products of their labour. The merchants consequently expressed the wish that "paper money of some sort... in denominations from 20 livres to 10 sols," be put into circulation.

Indeed, it had to be recognized, and the king admitted himself, that since the "extinction" of playing-card money the gold and silver coin that was sent to the colony returned "year after year to France." The tradesmen had reason to complain; that was why on 2 March 1729 the king decreed that playing-card money be reissued — money whose value would reach 400,000 livres and increase further in the years following.
Ordinance by the king re-establishing playing-card money in Canada, Marly, 2 March 1729.

His Majesty is “informed that the gold and silver currency that he has sent there over the past 10 years for the expenses of the country has returned to France year after year, which, by causing the destruction of the colony's domestic trade, prevents the growth of its establishments.” To cope with these difficulties no means seemed “more suitable than establishing playing-card money.”


Trade brought into New France French and English currencies, and even Spanish coinage. However, specie remained relatively scarce.

National Currency Collection, Bank of Canada, Ottawa.

Photo Zagon.
In New France there were numerous ways of making payment. There were, for example, bills of exchange, specie, playing-card money and letters of credit. Sometimes payment was also made in kind (grain, furs, goods) or in services.

Furs and fish

In the eighteenth century, as in the preceding one, furs — particularly beaver furs — were perceived as the most important exportable Canadian resource. If the fur trade were threatened, then the entire colony would be visibly rocked. Furs, the economic prize for the rival powers in North America, had also become through the years a military prize of prime importance.

The trade, which was conducted far from Québec and Montréal and which called for the services of the Indians, the coureurs de bois, and to a certain degree the garrison commanders, was very difficult to control, all the more so as the very people on whom the responsibility fell often manipulated situations for their own personal gain, in defiance of orders from the king and the minister.

Fish constituted another essential resource. If we are to believe the king himself, it was first of all to ensure her hold on this resource that France occupied-and fortified Cap-Breton after the Treaty of Utrecht. Indeed, fishermen were able to keep on coming every year looking for cod, but also for seals, porpoises and walrus, in the gulf, which Canadian fishermen also frequented. Other Canadians fished closer to Québec, while others again worked fishing grounds on the Labrador coast; but the greatest concentration of fishermen in America was to be found on Île Royale and Newfoundland.
More than two thirds of Canada's yearly exports consisted of skins and pelts: beaver, moose, bear, deer, otter, marten, fox, mink and others.
Declaration by the king stating that every year the governor general would grant "twenty-five licences for going to trade with the Indians in the posts," Paris, 28 April 1716.

The recovery that was getting under way in the fur trade after a long period of saturation of the European market made possible the re-establishment of the trading licences, the re-opening of former trading posts and the creation of new ones. It was hoped that in this way relations could be restored with various Indian tribes in the West, and the expansion of the English checked.
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After mentioning several French posts from which came "a great quantity" of furs, Hocquart admitted that many Indians preferred to go to trade at Chouaguen (Oswego), on Lake Ontario: "They are drawn there by the distribution of spirits that the English sell them in unlimited quantities . . . they find the goods they need there at much lower prices, and the English offer them a price for beaver that is much above what the French give them . . . ."
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Even if the authorities in the mother country "absolutely [forbade] it," the Canadians carried on a lot of trade with the British colonies. They brought furs there and returned with blankets, clothing, yard goods and various other products. Part of the trading was carried out through the mediation of the resident Indians. Moreover, "merchants from New England [and New York] made a habit of coming to Montreal every year," under various pretexts, but in fact "to form commercial ties with the French merchants."

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 18, G 6.
Grant of the Baie des Châteaux post in Labrador to Jean-François Gaultier, investing him with the right, to the exclusion of all others, to “fish for seals as well as to hunt and trade with the Indians, and furthermore with the right to fish for cod there,” Québec, 12 September 1749.

In Labrador there were in certain years more than a thousand Frenchmen and Canadians who fished or engaged in trading with the Indians and Eskimos.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 8, F 101.
Report on "the present state of Canada," prepared by Nicolas-Gaspard Boucault, 1754.

"The Québec merchants send people off to the cod fishing, in which they also have a large trade. This fishing is done around the island of Newfoundland in the Gulf of Canada and in the lower St. Lawrence. ... fishing is carried on in the same places for porpoises and seals, which supply a large quantity of fish..."
"Avour, on pescbe aussi dans le golfe du Canada des
margueraux, que ce sont des bœufs ; on y fait des
autres et dans le bas du fleuve la pescbe des bœufées, mais
on n'y en voit pas plus. Les basques qui pourraient
recommencer cette pescbe aux environs de l'île de
Sueur depuis 1780 jusqu'en 1783 que la guerre les
a obliger de s'arrêter.

C'est au bord de la Plaine jusqu'à mon do lignes
au dessus de Québec, une pescbe bien abondante
de harsang et de cœurs, pendant le printemps
et l'automne, on y pescbe aussi ci dans les rivières
qui sont décharge, de l'Amoone, de l'Elozer des
cerfs, de la broche, de l'huître, de la Perceuse, de
l'Epée, de poissons d'oreille, de poissons blancs,
de la barbe, de l'archer, de la masquinongé, de l'
Étoile, de l'arquiflix.

Le pays en abondance en toutes espèces de
 gibier a poit et a plume, à l'exception du lapin
à peine il y en aux que de domestiques ; les perdreaux
qui sont une espèce de gelinottes de bois ; les
outardes et des sous connus aussi les canards
également et il y en que de perdreaux qui vont dans les
branchues ; ils sont excellents et ont du bel
plumage. On trouve encore dans les pays balluim
Factories and large-scale industries

The mercantilism practised by France had resulted in her always being opposed to the establishment in Canada of factories that would have competed with those in the mother country. Action was to be taken so that "everything that this country produce[d] [would be] taken to France for manufacturing there." In 1736, for example, it happened that the king forbade the making of "hats of any sort whatsoever" in the colony, and ordered in consequence the destruction of any "fulling benches" that might be found there.

Consequently the production was encouraged of raw materials, which were destined in part for the mother country, and also of materials (boards, joists, planks) for internal needs as well as for the overseas trade, and of products (tar, cordage, iron fittings) needed in shipbuilding.

For Canada, after all, had its large-scale industry in the 1730s: shipbuilding and the Forges du Saint-Maurice. But from 1740 on shipbuilding was almost completely a State monopoly, and it did not have the success that had been anticipated; large-scale industry, whose operation was at first entrusted to private interests, was saved from bankruptcy only after it had been taken over by the State in 1743.
Letter from Pontchartrain, minister of the Marine, to the Intendants Raudot, Versailles, 30 June 1707.

As every colony must first serve to make the kingdom wealthy, "it is not too advisable . . . that manufactories be established in Canada . . . a course of action must be followed so that what that country produces is brought to France to be manufactured." The authorities in the mother country, however, favoured those industries that corresponded to the needs of the kingdom "without doing any harm" to its manufactories.
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Ordinance by Governor General de Beauharnois and Intendant Hocquart "to forbid all hat-making" in Canada and "as a consequence to have the fulling benches that exist in this country destroyed," Québec, 6 September 1736.

It was the king who insisted upon these measures "for the maintenance of the manufactories in the kingdom and for the good of trade in general."
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Several Canadian women ran industrial or commercial firms. Among others we find Madame de Ramezay, who operated a sawmill, a brick factory and a tile works; her daughter Louise, who was the owner of a flour mill, a tannery and sawmills; Madame de Repentigny, who set up a factory for making canvases and fabrics; and Madame Fornel, who, in addition to operating the Tadoussac trading posts, started a pottery works.

Musée du Château Ramezay, Montréal.
Grant to Abbé Louis Lepage de Sainte-Claire of a piece of land adjoining his seigneurie of Terrebonne, Versailles, 10 April 1731.

Lepage de Sainte-Claire was one of the most dynamic of Canadian entrepreneurs. He "has spent considerable sums on clearing the lands that he has settled and is having settled in the whole area of the aforementioned seigneurie, as well as for the flour and saw mills and the churches that he has had built on it." He had "undertaken to supply His Majesty with supplies of pine and oak boards and planks."
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In the period from 1715 to 1738, private enterprise in the Government of Québec (the territory extending from Les Éboulements to Grondines and from Deschaillons to Rimouski) had built some forty merchant vessels "of between 50 and 300 tons burden." In the period from 1739 to 1759 the king had about fifteen warships built there, seven of them of more than 500 tons, and it was Levasseur who directed the operations.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 18, H 58.
King’s warrant authorizing François Poulin de Francheville “to open up” some “iron mines” on his seigneury of Saint-Maurice and the surrounding area and “to have the ironworks, furnaces and other appropriate works built there,” Versailles, 25 March 1730.

After they were taken over by the State in 1743, the Forges du Saint-Maurice enjoyed a certain period of rapid progress; various products were manufactured there: stoves, pots, cannons, cannonballs, iron fittings for ships, axes, nails and so on.
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A furnace, in which would be cast the pieces needed for building the king's ships, was built at the Cul-de-Sac shipbuilding yard.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Amérique septentrionale, 427 C.
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Letter from Governor General de Beauharnois and the financial commissary, Hocquart, to the minister, Québec, 25 October 1729.

Two factors, among others, were often mentioned to explain the weakness of the Canadian economy: "... labour is so expensive and the settlers have so little money that they cannot build up big enterprises."
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Communication and transportation

During the entire French regime transportation was mainly by means of the St. Lawrence and its tributaries. While there were small roads linking up the seigneuries fairly early, it was not until the 1730s that a road 24 feet wide was built on the north shore between Québec and Montréal. In 1737 the journey was already being made on horseback in four days. Bridges were built over all the rivers less than 40 feet wide; the others were crossed on large rafts.

Once the first "king's road" had been finished, the chief road officer, Jean-Eustache Lanoullier de Boisclerc, went to work on a second one in 1739, this time on the south shore. Starting at Montréal, it ran along the St. Lawrence and the Richelieu, passed through Fort Saint-Jean, and ended at Fort Saint-Frédéric, which was reached in 1747.

Thanks in large measure to Lanoullier's energetic efforts, around 1740 towns and seigneuries were provided with streets, roads and bridges that greatly facilitated the traffic of cartage wagons. Although generally stony and sometimes muddy, as several travellers noted, these roads were of considerable influence on the economy of the country, while permitting new regions to be opened up to settlement.
Letter from the chief road officer Lanoullier de Boisclerc to the minister concerning the construction of a route between Québec and Montréal, Québec, 17 October 1733.

“This work establishes communication by land from Québec to as far as Montréal, and the distance can at the present time be covered in four days by the same horse . . . . next year carriages will come and go between Québec and Montréal. Since this piece of work several settlers have taken up new grants both around the lake [Saint-Pierre] and at the back of the neighbouring seigneuries.”
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In the middle of the eighteenth century, Canada had a good number of roads used by horses, carriages, wagons, sleighs and sleds. This map shows part of the road network in the Québec region. Roads are indicated by brown lines.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: National Map Collection (NMC 10842).
Sea-borne trade

Every year many ships crossed the Atlantic laden with a wide variety of goods and products from the mother country and destined for local consumption or the fur trade, and cast anchor off Québec. Some of them returned directly to France with a full cargo of pelts, while others carried Canadian products to Île Royale or the West Indies.

Canada, however, did not export only furs; it supplied the garrison of Louisbourg and the settlers on Île Royale with flour and vegetables. But it also supplied the French West Indies not only with flour and peas, but also with salt and dried cod, fish oils, boards, planks, lathing and shingles, stave wood, tiles and bricks, and sometimes even horses. Most often, it is true, the Canadian exporters unloaded their cargoes at Île Royale, where local businessmen took charge of sending them to the West Indies, while pocketing the best part of the profits.

Although it was relatively small, this overseas trade nonetheless gave a stimulus to Canadian agriculture: production increased appreciably through the years, flour mills and sawmills multiplied, and above all, after having long been satisfied with subsistence farming, the Canadian habitant understood that it was to his interest to diversify his activities.
Hocquart was sending to France “about 400 barrels of tar supplied by the settlers of Baie Saint-Paul, Rivière Ouëlle and Chambly... 5,000 boards and 272 planks of pine and spruce... [and] 60 barrels of fish oil from the Tadoussac trading concession.”

Canada was sending to the West Indies “eight or ten ships each year of 80 to 250 tons burden, of which five or six belong” to Canadians “and are loaded by [them]... The cargoes of these ships consist of flour and various kinds of meal, biscuits, boards, shingles, stave wood, vegetables and some small items of food.”
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Periodical crises in agriculture disturbed the Canadian economy. In 1738, for example, because of the bad crops, trade with "Ile Royale and the West Indies was interrupted," and "it was impossible to send to Ile Royale the usual supply of flour and meals."
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"Plan and profile of the lighthouse tower at the entrance to the port of Louisbourg . . . " Etienne Verrier. [1733]. Plan: col. ms., 42.0 × 53.5 cm. Louisbourg carried on trade with France, Canada, the West Indies, New England, and Nova Scotia. Through its location this town made it possible to increase exchanges between Canada and the West Indies by serving as a forwarding centre and trading warehouse for these two colonies.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Amérique septentrionale, 172 B.
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For a certain period Canada exported ginseng, but from 1752 on the Chinese would not take any more of it: because their requirements concerning the season for picking and the drying of the roots were not complied with, they had lost all confidence in its aphrodisiac and curative properties.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Library.

Ginseng. Etching.

"Recapitulation of the census of the colony [Canada] for the year 1735–1736."

The number of acres brought into production tripled from 1721 to 1739. The main crop continued to be wheat, but production was fairly well diversified: oats, barley, rye, tobacco, flax, hemp, peas, carrots, turnips, onions, cabbage, beans, corn, lettuce, watermelon, pumpkin, etc.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, série G¹, vol. 460.
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The economy in the outlying regions

To the east of the Canadian colony trade was flourishing on a large scale. In 1733, for example, Île Royale was visited by 53 ships from France, 31 from Canada and 19 from the French West Indies; 55 were engaged in cabotage and trade at Île Royale itself. The previous year 193 ships of all sorts had visited the island. These figures are sufficient to justify on economic grounds the decision to occupy Cap-Breton after 1713.

There was no less fishing activity in Newfoundland, where 1,200 ships and small craft took 483,000 quintals of fish from the sea in 1748.

But in the Southwest, Louisiana did not begin to enjoy prosperity until the end of the 1740s. Her trade with France, the French West Indies and the Spanish colonies then increased prodigiously, thanks to the exportation of wood, indigo, wax, flax, pitch, tar and furs.

During the same period the Hudson's Bay Company continued to reap rich harvests of furs every year despite the presence of the La Vérendryes and their successors on the western rivers that emptied into the bay. In those northern regions, as in the South, the English were competing fiercely with the French for control of the fur trade. They had the advantage over their rivals of being able to offer the Indians better goods at a much lower cost.
"Fishing and trade for the year 1734" at Île Royale.

"It seems that there were 158 ships that were engaged in fishing and in trade at this island" of Cap-Breton: 53 came from the French ports, 31 from Canada and 19 from the French West Indies.

Every year hundreds of ships from France and England came to Newfoundland to fish for cod. Europeans and North American settlers also fished in the area of Île Royale, Île Saint-Jean, Gaspé, Labrador, Chaleur Bay and Acadia (Nova Scotia).
Report on fishing by the English at Newfoundland for the years 1708, 1709 and 1710.

Cod and salmon fishing, seal-hunting and the fur trade constituted the major part of the economic activity of the English at Newfoundland.

Up until 1713 the posts on Hudson Bay and James Bay were divided up between the English and the French. But after the Treaty of Utrecht the Hudson's Bay Company alone occupied this immense territory, profitably operating trading posts such as York Factory and Fort Churchill on Hudson Bay, and Moose Factory and Fort Albany on James Bay.
In the period of 1701 until the conquest the State's grip on the colonies in New France, and more particularly on Canada, made itself felt even more, if possible, than in the preceding century. But the king’s wish was that a form of paternal authority be exercised, one that was concerned with the well-being of every settler and was quick to correct injustices. At all costs situations had to be avoided in which the powerful took advantage of the weak and the rich left the poor without resources. Everyone in a position of power was constantly reminded of these principles. The king thus played the role of father to his people, which had a distinct effect on the quality of life in the French colonies in America.

The omnipresence of the State in Canada contributed greatly to the maintaining of aristocratic spirit and values, which were fostered as well within the colonial regular troops. Here one put his sword at the service of the monarch, from whom one expected in return promotions and rewards such as gratuities and pensions, perhaps even the prestigious cross of the Order of Saint-Louis.

Now, it was above all from among the nobility, real or self-styled, that the officers of the colonial regular troops were recruited in Canada. As in France, this social class generally saw no vocation for itself other than serving the king, and most often it did so through soldiering.

As for the bourgeoisie, which was composed mainly of merchants and entrepreneurs of rather modest means, it applied itself very seriously and very energetically to gaining recognition in the colony and becoming rich. Some amassed handsome fortunes, thanks often to their relations with military officers who commanded trading posts or else with some administrator in a position to grant or influence contracts for them. Besides, these Canadian merchants lacked neither dynamism nor ability and generally proved to be more inclined to be thrifty than to engage in ill-considered expenditures.
More than any other group, the Canadian merchants and businessmen, proud of the part they played in the country's growth, knew how to organize and work together. They were perhaps the only class that had a policy of their own on colonial matters, and they succeeded in having it applied. It is true that the economic interests of this class coincided with those of the colony, whose existence was based entirely on commercial exchanges with the mother country and the other French colonies. The fact remains that the merchants were successful in asserting their views, thanks in part to the relations that some of them maintained with the colonial administrators or with correspondents in France who, for their part, belonged to networks capable of extending their influence all the way to the court.

A fair number of those merchants, moreover, could not have done without the aid of the State, which, while strictly regulating their activities, was also their principal client in the colony and their source of subsidies for the development of natural resources.

Nevertheless, the fact remains that most of the trades-people were not much richer than the mass of farmers, craftsmen and workmen who made up the bulk of the population. Lacking capital, the Canadian settler displayed great resourcefulness; he built his own house, made his tools and furniture, worked at several crafts and took on the most varied tasks to ensure for himself a certain degree of comfort. Hard-working and inventive, he was also sturdy; he was said to be cheerful, hospitable, well-mannered and a good and courageous soldier, although sometimes arrogant and inclined to drinking bouts, and even to lasciviousness. The civil and ecclesiastical leaders were somewhat fearful of his "spirit of independence," and his "indocility," for he did not submit easily to orders when they were not to his liking. It must be added, perhaps, in his defence, that in most instances the Canadian was subject to the authority of Frenchmen, whom he considered somewhat as strangers despite their benevolent attitude towards him.

Indeed, conscious of their social responsibilities, the king and his representatives in the colony were anxious to meet the people's essential needs. The teaching establishments, primary schools, hospitals, charitable institutions and even the poor parishes subsisted in large measure thanks to the king's acts of generosity. In times of scarcity, for example, it was again the State that stepped in to alleviate the public's distress and prevent speculation on wheat.

The very obvious presence and role of the State did not prevent the settlers in New France from putting some distance between themselves and France and her American policy. They were conscious of having their roots in a new land that they knew and loved better than anyone else, and when the occasion arose they knew how to uphold their views openly and firmly. These settlers had become Acadians, Canadians and Louisianans.

Beginning as offshoots from the mother country, new social structures were born in New France.
An aristocratic sort of society

In the eighteenth century noblemen were numerous in New France, particularly in Canada. As in France at the same period, many of them owned at least one seigneury; generally they placed their talents at the service of the State, either in the administration or in the army, where they were commissioned officers.

These government officials and military officers were attached to the aristocratic and military values of the mother country: a keen sense of hierarchy, studied elegance in manners and dress, a propensity for spending money for prestige, the aspiration to a higher social rank and the constant quest for honours.

Now, obtaining the promotions and honours that allowed one to rise in the social scale depended most often on the relationships and backing one had managed to acquire among the rulers of the colony as well as within an influential group overseas. But recommendations alone were not enough: one had to give proof of one's military valour or administrative competence, as the competition was keen, particularly among the military.

The pursuit of personal distinction and social prestige was not limited to the nobility, and was the source of incessant rivalries that showed up strikingly in the quarrels over precedence, which were even vented in church.
Letters of nobility granted the Canadian François Hertel, Paris, April 1716.

“We have ennobled and we ennable the aforementioned François Hertel . . . together with his children and descendants . . . .” This ennoblement constituted an exception in New France in the eighteenth century. For the Canadians the most common ways to rise in society were through military promotions and through trade. Few of them succeeded in obtaining important posts in the civil administration.

Archives municipales, Rochefort, France.
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The Canadians were unequalled in the raiding warfare that was practised in America. The most meritorious received handsome promotions in the forces. For example, Hertel de Rouville, who distinguished himself in several raids against English settlements, was promoted successively to ensign, lieutenant and captain, and in 1721 received the cross of Saint-Louis.
Regulation from the king "concerning honours in the churches of New France, [to] prevent all the disputes that arise daily on the subject," 27 April 1716.

Colonial society was organized on the hierarchical system. Civil and military officers sought to maintain the social barriers and class privileges that existed in the mother country. The more or less strict division by rank and precedence created many quarrels among them.
The Canadians

In Canada the top civil and religious positions were for the most part filled by native-born Frenchmen; in the colonial regular troops (troupes de la Marine), however, all the officers' positions were little by little entrusted to Canadians.

Because of the low rate of immigration, the proportion of the population born in Canada was very great. Through their dynamism the group consisting of the merchants and entrepreneurs succeeded in securing for themselves a certain degree of comfort and, on the social level, an unquestionable respectability; minor officers of the law and civil servants, craftsmen and even some workmen also reached a satisfactory living standard.

Three quarters of the Canadians, however, lived in the country; a good number of them were still at the stage of clearing new land and led a rather wretched existence; those who were established on cleared lands had an easier life: if some of them were content with simply satisfying their immediate needs, others (perhaps ten to twenty per cent of the farmers) produced large surpluses. All the same, on the whole the Canadian habitants enjoyed, according to observers of the period, a standard of living that was superior to that of the peasants in France, and they were less unhappy.

To be sure, adventuresome individuals, coureurs de bois or soldiers, were to be found among the population, but the majority of Canadians lived quietly on their lands.
Petition by the Canadian merchants to the governor general and the intendant, circa 1719.

The Canadians were more and more aware that they formed a distinct people with its own history, traditions and interests. The merchants pointed out that they "have had great-great-grandfathers, great-grandfathers, grandfathers, their fathers, in this colony, or they came to settle there, that they have their families there, most of which are large, that they were the first to contribute to establishing it, that they have opened up and farmed lands in it, built churches . . . had fine homes built, contributed to fortifying the towns, supported the war."
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Even if he found certain faults in them, Father Charlevoix acknowledged that the Canadians also had some excellent qualities: "They have a great deal of intelligence, especially the persons of the (female) sex, who are brilliant, quick-witted, steadfast, resourceful, courageous . . . . We do not have any province in the kingdom where the race is generally so handsome, taller, or better proportioned . . . . Their nimbleness and dexterity are unequalled . . . . no one can dispute their rare genius in mechanical matters . . . ."

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Library
Letter from Governor General de Vaudreuil to the Conseil de Marine, Québec, 17 October 1717.

The settlers resisted in all sorts of ways orders they did not like. Their opposition could go so far as to look like a revolt: "... in the habitants of the seigneury of Longueuil I did not find the same submissiveness when I went to set out the corvées to them. They were under arms to receive me... some of the latter having been lacking in respect to me in their replies..."

In the foreground, we see some Canadians in a field. In the background is the convent, housing some 50 Ursulines, of whom a good number, according to a 1753 account, “see to the instruction of some sixty boarders and about 150 day-students.”

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-358).
Entertainment

In Canada people knew how to enjoy themselves, as they did also on Île Royale and in Louisiana.

From time to time there were great festivities when all classes of society celebrated some important event together. These merrymakings were appreciated all the more because of their rarity.

High society could attend dinners and dance to the sound of music in the mansions of the governor and the intendant, and sometimes they could even applaud a minor theatrical entertainment there. Card games and games of chance were very much in fashion, and in Intendant François Bigot's circle, people gambled with abandon.

The common people also had their ways of enjoying themselves, which provoked a bishop of Québec, Pierre Herman Dosquet, to complain on at least one occasion. In particular the settlers liked to compose and sing satirical songs and to play tricks even during parish processions — practices that the intendant was frequently forced to forbid. Other songs of popular origin marked a happy event, such as the capture of Chouaguen (Oswego) in 1756.

Sleigh rides, outings "en calèche," skating, ninepins, bowls and billiards were also popular forms of entertainment.
"Account of what took place at Québec . . . on the occasion of the birth of His Royal Highness the Dauphin," 1730.

The Recollets "celebrated a High Mass; a member of their order delivered an address on the occasion of the birth of His Royal Highness the Dauphin that was universally applauded. The Te Deum was sung to music on that occasion, accompanied by the din of the entire artillery . . . . the signal was given to set off the fireworks, which was done in a very orderly manner."
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High society in the colony went in for the same worldly pleasures as did the nobility in the kingdom: gay parties, balls, delicate suppers and games of chance. On leaving "two very fine balls," where were present "more than 80 very agreeable and very well dressed ladies and young ladies," Montcalm wrote: "Québec struck me as a very elegant town . . . ."

Extract from the Marquis de Montcalm’s diary, 2 January 1757.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 18, K 8, vol. 1.
Letter from Bishop Dosquet to the minister, Québec, 4 September 1731.

Many of the citizens liked to enjoy themselves in public places: "The lower-class people of both sexes meet right under my windows after their supper, they sing and engage in very free talk . . . . That is where the drunks come to sober up . . . . On feast days and Sundays the noise that the people make there playing ninepins and bowls is head-splitting."
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View of the bishop’s palace and its ruins, as they looked on the top of the height, seen from the lower town. Richard Short (known 1759–1761). Etching by A. Benoist, printed by Thomas Jefferys, 1761.

The children enjoyed themselves, even among the ruins.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-350).
Song composed on the occasion of the capture of Chouaguen (Oswego), 1756.

By and large, the Canadians liked to sing. According to Kalm, many women saw to their household duties “while humming some ditty or other.” Wits even composed songs to mark happy or comic events.

Education

Whether one was from nobility, the bourgeoisie, or the lower classes of craftsmen and farmers, theoretically one had equal access to the institutions in the colony that provided teaching for children and adolescents of both sexes.

Until the end of the French regime the Jesuit college in Québec furnished a classical education while at the same time conducting preparatory classes. Besides grammar, the humanities and philosophy, which formed part of the regular curriculum, hydrography and theology were also taught.

For some twenty years Attorney General Louis-Guillaume Verrier taught courses at Québec in law — a sort of initiation to ordinances, customary law and jurisprudence.

If boys could count upon the primary schools for acquiring their early education, and then on the Jesuit college, girls could count on the Ursuline convents at Québec and Trois-Rivières, and several establishments run by the sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame both in the towns and in the country. At Louisbourg, the sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, and at Nouvelle-Orléans, the Ursulines also had schools.

There was also the Séminaire de Québec, a school for future priests whose students followed courses at the Jesuit college.

According to Father Germain, at the Collège de Québec, "everything . . . is or is done as in our colleges in Europe, and perhaps with greater attention to rules and greater rigour and with better results than in many of our colleges in France."

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-354).
Letter from Louis-Guillaume Verrier, attorney general of the Conseil supérieur, to the minister, Québec, 15 October 1738.

"I spare no pains to make the lectures on law, which your Lordship advises me to give, as useful as they are necessary." These courses, which contributed towards training officers of the law, would continue until the 1750s.
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The sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame "are always very useful to this colony because of the schooling that they give the children in the towns and in the countryside." They had schools at Montréal, Québec, Lachine, Boucherville, Pointe-aux-Trembles and Champlain, among other places.
Arts and science

Literature was virtually non-existent in New France. Except for travel accounts and a few plays in verse written for special occasions, one can scarcely mention anything for the eighteenth century other than the correspondence of Madame Bégon (Marie-Élisabeth Rocbert de La Morandièrie) and a certain number of funeral orations such as those by Abbé Joseph Serré de La Colombière.

On the other hand, the sciences were quite popular in New France: Jean-François Gaultier, for example, and especially Michel Sarrazin did research that was carried to a fairly high level for the period in the area of natural science. They corresponded with scientists and scientific institutions in Europe. At the end of the 1740s the acting governor general, Roland-Michel Barrin de La Galissonière, would give considerable impetus to research throughout New France.

In the field of painting, sculpture and architecture, the number of artists and craftsmen born and trained in Canada increased greatly during the century. Some of their works are clearly distinguishable from their European models by their freshness, simplicity or naivety. They are truly original examples of some of the earliest forms of expression by the Canadians.

New France had never had a newspaper, or even a printer. So it was an event for the land that is now Canada when a newspaper, *The Halifax Gazette*, which would run for many years, first came off the press in 1752.
This Canadian letterwriter reported virtually day by day the doings of Montréal society in the middle of the eighteenth century. Her letters were crammed with details of society life: festivities, society gatherings and other amusements. Her comments on the behaviour of her fellow citizens are often spicy.

A gift for oratory was displayed by a few preachers, such as Abbé de La Colombière, several civil or military officers, and even some missionaries and interpreters.

Monastère des augustines de l'Hôtel-Dieu de Québec.

Photo W.B. Edwards.
In New France, observations and research in fields such as astronomy, meteorology, botany, zoology and medicine increased. Two of the most active researchers were the doctors Michel Sarrazin and Jean-François Gaultier, who sent many reports and many specimens of animals, plants and minerals to members of the Académie royale des Sciences in Paris.

Musée de l'île Sainte-Hélène, Montréal.
Letter from Doctor Jean-François Gaultier to the minister, Quebec, 1 November 1749.

"... I have handed over to Monsieur Bigot a considerable collection of fruits and tree and plant seeds for the Jardin royal des Plantes in Paris. I shall not fail to give my attention to these areas of research and to everything that may concern natural history."
Ex-voto offered by the three shipwrecked persons from Lévis. Artist unknown. Oil, 1754.

It was particularly the Church that encouraged artistic creation in New France. Churches, hospitals and convents owned many works by Canadians: ex-votos commemorating miraculous events, portraits of religious and notables, carvings, silver plate, and so on.

Musée historial, Basilique de Sainte-Anne de Beaupré.

Photo Kedl.
Charitable institutions

The three hospitals founded at Québec, Montréal and Trois-Rivières in the seventeenth century continued to play an indispensable role in the eighteenth century — to the great satisfaction of the governors and intendants — particularly during the great epidemics that periodically devastated the Canadian colony.

No less important was the role of the Hôpital Général in Québec and the Hôpital Général in Montréal. The two institutions took in the old, the chronically ill, the indigent and the mentally ill. In Montréal, towards the end of the French regime, the widowed Madame d'Youville, born Marie-Marguerite Dufrost de Lajemmerais, and her companions also took in prostitutes, whom they endeavoured to protect and rehabilitate.

Moral standards being less severe in the colony, care had to be provided for illegitimate children in the eighteenth century: the State committed them to the care of couples who promised before a notary to look after and bring up the children and treat them as if they were their own.

In Louisiana, Ursulines, who had arrived directly from France, ran a hospital in Nouvelle-Orléans, as did the Brothers of Charity at Louisbourg. In 1759, Halifax also benefited from charitable institutions.
Letter from Intendant Hocquart to the minister, Québec, 3 October 1733.

The civil authorities regularly praised the hospital nuns. In this letter Hocquart describes "the zeal with which the nuns hospitallers in the three towns in this colony have gone to the relief of the sick; their charity and their cares have known no limits."


Photo Studio Littré.
Petition to the governor general, the intendant and the bishop from Madame d’Youville and her associates, 1751.

Under the administration of the Brothers Hospitallers (Charon Brothers), the Hôpital Général of Montréal sheltered only males. When Madame d’Youville and her “Grey Nuns” took charge of the institution in 1747, they decided to receive the poor of both sexes: old people, waifs and strays, “incurables,” “mad women” and “debauched women.”

Archives des soeurs grises, Montréal.

Photo Impact.
"Plan and profile of a building beside the Hôpital Général of Québec for locking up the insane." Gaspard-Joseph Chaussegros de Léry. 30 September 1721. Plan: col. ms., on a page 35.6 x 46.0 cm.

In addition to providing shelter for the aged, the insane and fallen women, the Hôpital Général of Québec began in 1725 to run a boarding school for girls.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Amérique septentrionale, 407 C.

Photo Studio Littré.
Agreement by which the deputy king’s attorney put “into the hands of the aforementioned Fontene an illegitimate child” to “feed and keep him . . . bring him up and educate him” until he “reaches the age of eighteen.” Montréal, 25 September 1730.

In 1736, 390 illegitimate children were brought up in Canada at the king’s expense.

 Archives nationales du Québec, Centre régional de Montréal: Minutes of the notary Joseph-Charles Raimbault.

Photo Diapolab.
When crops were very bad, the colonial administration found itself "in the necessity of having bread, meat and vegetables supplied regularly" to "the destitute and needy invalids," to avoid exposing "entire families to starvation."

Letter from Intendant Hocquart to the minister, Québec, 12 May 1738.


Photo Studio Littré.

In 1759, Halifax had three churches, a hospital and an orphanage. Some school teachers taught the Protestant children. The Great Pontack Hotel was the centre of social life in this city.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-2482).
In Nova Scotia, The Halifax Gazette had been appearing since 1752. One could find in it news of England and the British colonies, and some local news and announcements.

Indian society

There existed within the society of New France, whether in Acadia, Canada or Louisiana, several groups of Indians, whose presence seemed much more normal in the eighteenth century than in the previous one.

While continuing to live in their own way, these Indians did not completely escape the influence of the white men, some of whose ways they adopted, along with a great variety of their products; the reverse was equally true, however, and the settlers of New France seem to have been very strongly marked by their almost daily contacts with the original inhabitants of the country.

However, the Indians had not changed greatly; on the contrary, the closer their relations with the white man, the more they were conscious of their own identity. Consequently they maintained their traditions and attempted to protect themselves against a cultural assimilation that would have been irreversible.

In the eighteenth century the French in New France were also in contact with the Inuit (Eskimos), without, however, yet reaching with them the degree of familiarity that characterized their relations with the Indians.
"Method of making maple sugar."
Etching.


Father Lafitau described the method used by the squaws to make maple sugar and maple syrup: they "make transversal incisions with their axes on the trunk of these trees, from which a juice runs plentifully that they collect in big bark receptacles; then they boil this juice over a fire, which consumes all the phlegm in it and thickens what remains to the consistency of syrup, or even of loaf sugar."

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Library.
Indian games. Etching.


The upper drawing shows Indians playing lacrosse. The lower one shows some Indians practising shooting with bows.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Library.
At the beginning of the century, the Eskimos from Labrador ventured into the Strait of Belle-Isle and along the north shore of the St. Lawrence to hunt seal and caribou. But the presence of the increasingly aggressive and hostile French and Canadian fishermen forced them to forsake these hunting grounds, and even to progressively retreat from southern Labrador.

Eskimos. Etching.


Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Library.
Religion

If the Canadian Church had made rapid progress in the previous century, in the eighteenth century it would undergo a critical period in its growth and adaptation, if not its identity. This was complicated by the too frequent absence of a bishop and the equally frequent intervention of the State in its internal affairs.

The Church also suffered from the enormous size of the diocese of Quebec and the difficulty of knowing exactly what was going on in the former Acadia and on Île Royale to the east, as well as in Louisiana and the Illinois country to the west; these two farthermost points of its jurisdiction were largely beyond the control of the bishop of Quebec.

The Canadian colony continued to be served by the secular clergy, assigned mainly to parish work, by the Jesuits, who pursued their apostolic work with the Indian tribes while at the same time keeping up the college in Quebec, by the Récollets (Grey Friars), who served as garrison chaplains and missionaries in certain parishes, and by the Sulpicians, who devoted themselves to service on Montréal Island.

A number of Sulpicians carried on their ministry in the former Acadia (Nova Scotia), some Récollets, who had recently come from France, established themselves on Île Royale and Île Saint-Jean, while some Capuchins landed in Louisiana. This latter colony was long racked by jurisdictional disputes between the Jesuits, who had arrived there first, and the priests who had been sent by the Séminaire de Québec (in particular to the Tamaroa country) at the beginning of the century, and between the Jesuits and Capuchins from 1720 onwards.

Even at the heart of the Canadian colony there were many worrisome problems. First, for nearly half a century there were difficulties concerning the status of the Séminaire de Québec, an institution which, because it was dependent on the Séminaire des Missions Étrangères in Paris, claimed that it did not come under the authority
of the bishop of Québec — an interpretation that neither Bishop de Saint-Vallier
nor his successors would accept. In addition the Cathedral Chapter, which by that
time was composed mainly of Canadians, for a long time opposed the bishop, not
even hesitating to bring its quarrel before the Conseil supérieur to have its rights
confirmed and to obtain justice. This ill-considered step in a way furnished the State
with justification to meddle in the Church’s affairs, on the pretext that it was
incapable of resolving its internal problems.

Again, immediately within the Chapter and the parish clergy there were subjects
of discord, the Canadian canons and priests deeming it inadmissible for their Church
to be entirely under the direction of French ecclesiastics. The lower clergy in particular
showed its opposition so openly and displayed such an obvious spirit of independence
that Bishop Dosquet considered setting up a flanking system of French priests for
the Canadian priests so as to prevent them from communicating easily among
themselves. Being in the majority, the Canadian members of the secular clergy finally
saw certain of their members reach the top echelons in the diocese, which did not,
however, change completely — nor permanently — the French character of the senior
administration of the diocese.

These priests, spread about the colony’s parishes, were poor, and most of them
owed their subsistence entirely to the annual subsidies from the king. The parishes
were too sprawling and too thinly populated; often the habitants, who were still at
the stage of clearing the land, were as poor as their pastor; the tithes brought in
scarcely anything, and the means were unavailable to build a church, much less a
rectory. Consequently it was impossible to “fix” the parish charges, as the king and
his representatives wanted. This problem of parish charges remained without a
solution throughout the period of French rule in the eighteenth century, and at
certain moments it antagonized relations between Church and State.

In the grip of internal problems, lacking priests, and in large measure living
off the king’s generosity, the Church withdrew somewhat into itself and lost some
of its former enthusiasm for the Indian missions. To be sure, the Jesuits continued
their work, but under different conditions, and less ostentatiously. In place of the
long missionary expeditions of the previous century they often preferred the formula
of “réductions,” — villages where it was easier to keep the Indians faithful to
Christianity and loyal to the French. The greatest successes of the century were
perhaps the missions established within the populated area of the colony at Lorette,
Saint-François and Sault Saint-Louis, where the Indians lived in parishes that had
been created for them.
The settlers probably had little knowledge of all the difficulties that faced their Church in the eighteenth century. Although they chafed at authority, even that of their priests, they were very much attached to their faith. Thus when the conquest came about, freedom of religion was a major concern for them, as it had been for the Acadians after 1713.

In 1760 another subject of anxiety tormented the Canadians: Bishop de Pontbriand having died in Montréal three months before the colony surrendered, they wondered whether the British would let them have a bishop if New France were to be ceded to them permanently.

Also, there was no doubt that the Protestant religion was establishing itself — at least provisionally — in the St. Lawrence valley, as it had not long ago in Nova Scotia. In this latter colony, which had been expanding at a great rate for the last ten years, the influence of the Anglicans, Lutherans and Methodists was evident, and churches and temples had been built at Halifax and Lunenburg.

What then would relations be like between the Catholics and Protestants in Canada?
The bishops

In the eighteenth century the Church in New France too often found itself without a bishop, either because the see was vacant or the incumbent was in Europe. If we except Bishop François de Laval, who was retired and who died in 1708, and Bishop François-Louis de Pourroy de Lauberivière, who died a week after his arrival at Québec in 1740, over a period of sixty years only three bishops resided at Québec. Consequently the bishop’s palace, which was falling into ruin because it was unoccupied, had to be repaired twice.

Bishop Jean-Baptiste de La Croix de Chevrières de Saint-Vallier distinguished himself through his pastoral work. In particular, in 1703 he published a catechism for the use of his diocese that is considered a model of religious pedagogy and that was well adapted to the character and mentality of the Canadian settlers.

Bishop Pierre-Herman Dosquet struggled in the midst of the Church’s internal quarrels and finally returned to France in 1735; Bishop Henri-Marie Dubreil de Pontbriand, who came to Canada in 1741, found a Church that was relatively pacified, but he had to direct it during the critical period of the Seven Years’ War.

The Canadian Church nevertheless continued slowly to progress: the number of parishes increased, and the religious communities grew in size, as did their charitable works — although the difficulties and problems were numerous.
Bishop Dosquet and the other bishops of the eighteenth century, with the exception of Bishop Pontbriand, distinguished themselves by their long absences, intended or unintended. Various ills were attributed to this absenteeism: decline in moral standards, quarrels among the members of the clergy, the postponement of the ordination of priests and of Confirmations, and so on.

Société du Musée du Séminaire de Québec.

Photo Pierre Soulard.
Portrait of Bishop Henri-Marie Dubreil de Pontbriand (1708–1760), bishop of Québec from 1741 to 1760.

Société du Musée du Séminaire de Québec.

Photo Pierre Soulard.

Kalm described the bishop’s palace as "a big, handsome building, with a large courtyard and a vegetable garden on one side of it, the whole being surrounded by a wall."

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-352).
Bishop Saint-Vallier edited a catechism and a ritual of the diocese of Québec, because he wished "to see a uniform manner of teaching the Christian doctrine" and of celebrating religious worship. These works remained in use for a long time in Canada.
The parishes

If the parishes in Canada had not sprung up entirely at random, they were generally so large that it was difficult and often arduous for a priest to serve them by himself, all the more so as he was sometimes responsible for more than one mission.

Consequently, at the king's request an inquiry was conducted in 1721 into the advantages and disadvantages of church locations with a view to effecting the necessary changes. This objective was achieved in 1722, with the intendant's assistance, through the creation of eighty-two parish districts.

Nevertheless, it remained virtually impossible to establish fixed parishes served by resident priests and supported by tithes levied in the parishes, which would have their own churches and rectories. The difficulty lay in the sparseness and poverty of the population in most of the parish districts. As late as 1742, Bishop de Pontbriand was still pointing this out to Maurepas.

Of the some 120 parishes or missions in Canada at the end of the French regime, perhaps about 40 could provide for the upkeep of their priest and church. Even at Québec Bishop de Pontbriand had to go heavily into debt in the 1740s to obtain the reconstruction of his cathedral church, which also served as the parish church: who in the rural areas could have done the same?
"Reports on expediency or inexpediency drawn up in each of the parishes of New France by Monsieur Collet, king’s attorney general to the Conseil supérieur of Quebec," 1721.

Collet inquired of the settlers everywhere about "the present size" of their parish and of the "number of heads of families that constitute it," and asked whether some of them "are hindered in attending divine service by the difficulty of the routes or the distance." The inquiry resulted in the ruling of 20 September 1721, delimiting 82 parish districts.


Photo Studio Littré.
In addition to conducting his ministry, the parish priest might carry out many other duties, such as teaching the children, looking after the poor, visiting the sick, advising parishioners, keeping the registers of births, marriages and deaths, or even drawing up contracts when a notary was not available.
"It is not possible to make all the parish charges permanent [that is to say, to name parish priests with appointment for life], either because the parishes are not completely constituted, or because a single priest is serving several parishes, or because the income from some of them is too small . . . ." Furthermore, permanence of appointment would make the parish priests "independent" and would hinder the "making of the necessary partitionings."


Photo Studio Littré.
List of the “parishes that can do without a supplement” in the Governments of Québec and Montréal, 1731.

Because of the small incomes coming from the tithes, most of the parishes could not do without the “supplement” granted by the king.


Photo Studio Littré.
The settler's social life took place mainly within the parish. There he received the orders of the civil and religious authorities and took part in military exercises, corvées, festivities and ceremonies. The church became a centre for social gatherings and community activities.
Service historique de l'Armée, Vincennes, France: 7 B 68.
"Plan, profile and elevations of a new cathedral and parish church being proposed for the town of Québec . . . ." Gaspard-Joseph Chaussegros de Léry. 4 January 1745. Plan: col. ms., 83.5 × 56.0 cm.

Bishop Pontbriand decided to have his cathedral, which was too small and nearly in ruins, rebuilt. Work was begun in 1745, and four years later work was still going on "to decorate it."

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, Dépôt des fortifications des colonies, Amérique septentrionale, 424 A.

Photo Studio Littré.
Nationalism and the Canadian clergy

The Canadian priests who carried on their work in the colony had for the most part boarded at the Séminaire de Québec while following the courses given by the Jesuits at their college. They owed their training therefore to French priests and religious; now, around the 1720s, they became aware of the fact that their entire Church was still under the direction of the French.

With the spirit of independence and the inborn indocility that characterized them as much as their compatriots, these priests protested strongly against their subordinate status and caused the bishop great concern. Rather than giving in to demands that, after all, were legitimate, Bishop Dosquet sought a way to keep in check that part of the clergy of the diocese that was to his mind too turbulent.

The Chapter, in which Canadians were now in the majority, fought, sometimes fiercely, to have Canadian priests promoted; the canons attacked all the ecclesiastical leaders who were from France: the bishop, the superior of the Séminaire de Québec, the Jesuits and even their own dean. The situation changed somewhat: at the end of the 1730s the dean and the official of the Chapter, the vicar capitular and the vicar general in Montréal were Canadians. But in the 1740s, under Bishop de Pontbriand the French gradually resumed direction of the Church, and at the time of the conquest they held all the senior posts except within the Chapter and except for the office of vicar general at Trois-Rivières.
The colony "feels particularly obliged to the Séminaire de Québec . . . for the care that it has taken to bring up the youth; it owes to it the number of priests, parish priests and missionaries who applied themselves zealously, as those at the present time apply themselves to ministering to the majority of its parishes and even of the missions to the Indians."
appliqués comme ceux d'apporter à divertir la plus grande partie de
ses passions et même ses misères pour les sauvages elle doit par conséquent
renoncer vivement à cette et l'embarquer où il se trouve aujourd'hui, pour le
lieu qui tout le monde en apprécie avoir été contractée en conséquence des
malheurs qui l'ont amenée ou déportes considérables qu'il a subies, et
mieux ou moins; les attribuer à la têtu grandit, mais, habitable générale
des personnes qui ont provenu jusqu'à présent. Cette communauté est
visiblement prise à soulever tous les points des 8 belles qui l'accablent et qui
l'empêchent de faire actuellement au pire autant de bien qu'elle y en a fait
par le passé et qui, cependant un luy en est plus qu'il ne la volente ains
qu'il évidemment et par un luy qu'elle fait tous les jours est pour que
Monsieur, les Signées vous prions très humblement de vous aider bien
au luy du Roy notre seigneur Monarque dont la nouvelle ferme pro-
chaste par les saints et liberalités Royales honore d'une protection
spéciale et particulière, cette communauté, est laquelle qu'en reconna
ce de sa valeur vous demandent tous les suppliants avec confiance et avec
un très profond respect.

à Paris le 13 octobre 1730

[Signature]

Brotouchia Nâbâgâmen

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
"Recapitulation of the census of the colony [Canada] for the year 1735–1736."

The Church had rapidly been Canadianized: in 1760, 50 per cent of the clergy was Canadian; as for the nuns, not one had been born in France.

Archives nationales, Paris: Section Outre-Mer, série G¹, vol. 460.
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Chartier de Lotbinière, who was appointed in succession archdeacon, vicar general and dean of the chapter of the cathedral of Québec, was one of the few Canadians to hold a high post within the ecclesiastical hierarchy.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Picture Division (Negative no. C-100376).

Portrait of Mother Louise Soumande de Saint-Augustin (1664–1708), religious hospitaller, first superior of the Hôpital Général of Québec. Artist unknown. Oil on canvas, 1708.

Like Mother Saint-Augustin, several Canadian nuns would be elected superior of their communities in the eighteenth century.
Letter from Bishop Dosquet to the minister, Quebec, 11 September 1731.

Bishop Dosquet found that the Canadian priests were "of an unruly and independent mind." As the colony lacked priests, he suggested "bringing some from France" and "putting a Frenchman as parish priest in between two parishes governed by Canadian priests." That, he said, would be a good way "to inspire in the people the loyalty, love and zeal that subjects owe their king."


Photo Studio Littré.
Portrait of Father Emmanuel Crespel (1703–1775), provincial commissioner of the Récollets in Canada from 1750 on. Father François. Oil on canvas, circa 1755.

The Recollets carried on their work on Île Royale, Île Saint-Jean, in Canada and in certain distant posts. They might be military chaplains, parish priests, teachers or missionaries.

Musée du Québec, Québec.
The Canadians' religion

The Canadians were "devoted to their religion," and in their own way they held their bishops and priests in esteem, even though they sometimes had difficulty in submitting to their directives. The display of feeling by the people that followed Bishop de Laval's death clearly demonstrated the depth of their religious sentiments.

If on occasion they celebrated religious feast-days somewhat too irreverently, and if their pilgrimages, inspired as they were by piety, might nevertheless give rise to disturbances, the fact remains that the Canadians, moved by a sincere faith, liked to surround themselves with religious symbols, such as wayside shrines and holy pictures.

If one had escaped from any peril — particularly from shipwreck — after invoking the aid of a saint, he painted the scene in which his life had been threatened, or had it painted, and placed this ex voto in a church dedicated to the saint who had come to his aid.

The Canadians were pious, but they were not prudish for all that; Bishop de Saint-Vallier, with his greater austerity, certainly had reasons to reproach them on questions of vanity, excessive luxury, immodest dress, drunkenness and — the word keeps coming back constantly — indocility.
The great majority of religious led exemplary and devoted lives. Some, like Bishop Laval, had even acquired a reputation for saintliness. At the latter’s death “the people canonized him, as it were, having had the same veneration for his body as for those of the saints. Having come in crowds from all parts . . . to touch him with their chaplets and prayer-books, they even cut off pieces of his robe.”


Photo Studio Littre.

The Canadians held in particular veneration the Blessed Virgin, Saint Joseph and Saint Louis. The devotion to Saint Anne was also very widespread, and several ex-votos were dedicated to her in recognition of favours received.

Musée historial, Basilique de Sainte-Anne-de-Beaupré.

Photo Kedl.
Pastoral letter from Bishop de Saint-Vallier denouncing "the bad habit . . . of appearing against decency in nothing but a shirt, without underpants or breeches during the summer to avoid the extreme heat." Montréal, 26 April 1719.

Rigid in its morality, the clergy regularly condemned certain weaknesses or ordinary occasions for sinning, such as dancing, games of chance, immodesty, drunkenness and fornication. But many settlers were more willing to submit to their inclinations than to the directives from the religious authorities.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Manuscript Division, MG 18, H 25.
Ordinance by Intendant Bégon forbidding "taking or sending spirits to the aforementioned Côte de Beaupré in the aforementioned locality of Sainte-Anne to be sold and served to customers" on the feast day of Saint Anne, Québec, 20 July 1719.

The Church could count not only upon material aid from the State but also upon its support to enforce respect of its precepts and directives. In return it had to submit to the authority of the king and the minister, who sometimes intervened in its internal affairs.

Archives nationales du Québec, Centre d'archives de Québec: Ordonnances des intendants, cahier 6, fol. 344v.

Photo Belvédère.
The Indian missions

In the eighteenth century the Jesuits were still the main support of the missionary effort in New France. Their missions covered the entire territory: they were present in what are today Maine and the southern part of New Brunswick, at Tadoussac and Chicoutimi, Michillimakinac, and various Great Lake posts, and in the Kaskaskia and Chacta countries, among others, in Louisiana.

Certain Jesuits accompanied explorers such as La Vérendrye, but most of them preferred to carry on their work with the Indians who had already been converted and to evangelize the semi-sedentary tribes. The constant missionary expeditions of the preceding century therefore disappeared almost entirely in the eighteenth century.

On the Atlantic coast, on Île Royale, Île Saint-Jean, and in French Acadia (the southern part of New Brunswick), some secular priests and some Spiritains sent out by the Séminaire des Missions Étrangères succeeded in keeping the Micmacs loyal to the Church and the king.

Within the Canadian colony a certain number of missions were flourishing: at Lorette (Hurons), Saint-François and Bécancour (Abenakis), Sault Saint-Louis (Iroquois), and Lac des Deux-Montagnes (Algonkins, Nipissings and Iroquois).
According to the engineer Franquet the Jesuit mission at Sault-Saint-Louis (Caughnawaga), near Montréal, had a population of about "200 warriors and 10 to 11 hundred souls."


Photo Studio Littré.
"View of Chicoutimi from the West-South-West," taken from "The Saguenay River going upstream as far as Chicoutimi." [Anonymous. 1748]. Map: col. ms., 46.0 x 58.5 cm.

Chicoutimi was both a trading post belonging to the King’s Domain and a Montagnais mission run by the Jesuits. The religious also ministered to other posts belonging to the Domain, such as Tadoussac and Sept-Îles.

Report from the missionary Jean-Louis Le Loutre, circa 1763.

On the Atlantic coast (Maine and the present-day maritime provinces) the missionaries worked at “strengthening [the Indians] in the faith and in their attachment to the king their father.” Some, such as the Abbé Le Loutre, even went so far as urging them to war against the British colonists: if they sided with the British, would these Indians not run the risk of losing the faith?

Séminaire des Missions étrangères, Paris.
Around 1740 there were a few Indian missions and five French parishes in the Illinois country (the Upper Mississippi valley) that were served by the Jesuits or by priests from the Séminaire des Missions Étrangères. The latter carried on their work at the Tamaroa mission, where they attended not only to the Indians but also to the Canadians who had created a village nearby.
Indian practices. Etching with line engraving.


The Indians believed that a multitude of spirits, good or bad, lived in men, animals and even objects. To win their good will they had recourse to various practices: sacrifices, sweating sessions, sacred dances, feasts, etc. Their medicine men, who were in a way intermediaries between men and the spirits, interpreted dreams, forecast the future and cured illnesses.

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Library.
The Church in Acadia and Louisiana

At the beginning of the eighteenth century some Récollets exercised their ministry among the settlers in Acadia; after the territory had been ceded to England the Acadians were ministered to by secular priests, in particular Sulpicians. The situation of this greatly reduced clergy proved to be extremely delicate: if they succeeded in establishing good relations with the conqueror, the French authorities considered their conduct "not very patriotic"; if they displayed greater favour for the French cause, the English rulers then regarded them as hostile.

On Île Royale and Île Saint-Jean, Récollets from the provinces of Paris and Brittany were in charge of parishes until 1731, when "being unable to keep up these establishments," the Récollets from Paris withdrew, leaving the entire care of the ministry to the others. At Louisbourg the hospital was put in the hands of the Brothers of Charity, and teaching was entrusted to the sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame.

In Louisiana, from the 1720s on it was mostly Capuchins who ministered to the capital city and the French territories along the Lower Mississippi; they often quarrelled with the Jesuits, who had charge mainly of the French posts in the North (in particular in the Illinois country), and who claimed wider jurisdiction to the South. In Nouvelle-Orléans Ursulines from France assumed responsibility for hospital care and education.
Letters patent from the king permitting "the Récollets of the province of Brittany to establish themselves in the ports of Dauphin, Toulouse and other places on Île Royale, as well as at Île Saint-Jean, to replace the Récollets from the province of France," Fontainebleau, July 1731.

These Récollets "will serve as chaplains" to the troops "and perform certain functions of parish priests" in the French parishes.


Photo Studio Littré.
In Louisiana the Jesuits were responsible mainly for the French posts in the North and the Indian missions. Beginning in the 1720s Capuchins were assigned to “the regions of the lower Mississippi” where there were a greater number of French settlements. “At the same time provision was made for the education of the French girls in the capital and the surrounding region by bringing in some Ursulines . . . . The same nuns were put in charge of running the hospital.”

Public Archives of Canada, Ottawa: Library.
The settlers (English, German, Swiss, etc.) who colonised Nova Scotia around the 1750s were for the most part Protestant: Anglicans, Lutherans, Congregationalists, Calvinists and Presbyterians, among others. At Halifax, they built an Anglican church (St. Paul), a "Protestant Dissenter's Meeting House," and a little church (St. George) for the German Lutheran community. At Lunenburg, the German and Swiss settlers built a temple (St. John), where an Anglican pastor practised his ministry.
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