Let's Talk about Climate Change: Mountain Region

Office of the Chief Ecosystem Scientist

Version 1.2 (August 28, 2017)

Parks Canada Parcs Canada

Canada

Let's Talk about Climate Change: Mountain Region

Scott Parker
Parks Canada, Office of the Chief Ecosystem Scientist
248 Big Tub Road, Tobermory, Ontario N0H 2R0
e. scott.parker@pc.gc.ca

Special thanks to: Gilles Seutin, Patrick Nantel, Ryan Eagleson, Yanick Laliberté Tremblay, Liz Nelson, Dan McKenney, Pia Papadopol, Jean-François Gobeil, Gavin Manson, Nicole Couture, Claire LaCroix, Derek Petersen, Brenda Shepherd, Shelley Humphries, Sarah Boyle, Robert Sissons, Jane Park, Jonathan Large, Denis Madsen, Danielle Backman and Elyse Mathieu.

This report is one in a series that provides a regional summary of observed and projected climate change trends and impacts facing all of Canada's national parks, national marine conservation areas and certain national historic sites. This is an internal document to Parks Canada and is intended to encourage and inform broader conversations and support place–based actions on climate change.

The "Let's Talk about Climate Change" series regions are defined by biogeoclimatic and operational similarities, and include: 1) Atlantic Region; 2) Quebec Region; 3) Great Lakes Region; 4) Prairie and Boreal Plains Region; 5) Mountain Region; 6) Pacific Region; 7) Northwest Region; and, 8) Arctic and Hudson Plains Region.

This report and others in the series are available at the Parks Canada Climate Change SharePoint Website: http://collaboration/sites/PD010/SitePages/Home.aspx

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. “Natural Solution” Concept 1
2. Observed and Projected Climate Trends 3
2.1 Methods 3
2.2 Regional Climate Change Summaries 3
2.2.1 Physical Effects 3
Banff National Park 10
Bar U Ranch National Historic Site 11
Glacier National Park 12
Jasper National Park 13
Kootenay National Park 14
Mount Revelstoke National Park 15
Waterton Lakes National Park 16
Yoho National Park 17
2.2.2 Other Effects 21
Ecosystems and Biodiversity 21
Visitor Experience 22
Assets and Infrastructure 23
Cultural Resources 24
3. Climate Change Actions 26
3.1 Adaptation 26
3.2 Mitigation 27
3.3 Possible Next Steps 28
4. References 29
Appendix 1. Climate Change Projections 40

1. Introduction

The fifth and most recent report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2014) establishes with certainty that the Earth's climate system is warming, "and since the 1950's, many of the observed changes are unprecedented over decades to millennia". Human activity has increased atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gas (GHG) to levels not observed in at least the last 800,000 years. Worldwide, a rapidly changing climate is having profound impact on our social-ecological systems, amplifying existing risks and creating new ones.

Canada's rate of warming is about double the global rate (even greater in the north) and the last three decades have been the warmest 30-year period in at least 1,400 years. Some of the observed changes include shifts in species distribution and abundance, glacier loss, thawing permafrost, decreasing sea ice, earlier ice break-ups, increasing wildfires, sea level rise along some coasts, changes to phenology, and an increase in extreme weather events such as heat waves, droughts, heavy rainfall and more (e.g., Lemmen *et al.*, 2016; Warren and Lemmen, 2014). The risks and impacts are felt across Parks Canada, from the ecosystems and cultural resources we protect, to the facilities and infrastructure we build and maintain, to the visitor experiences we offer - and most concerning, these impacts are projected to increase for decades to come. It is an uncertain and complex context, one which will test the adaptive capacity and effectiveness of policy, planning, and management frameworks.

To advance place-based climate response efforts, this document is one in a series of regional reports that provides accessible summary information about climate change in Canada's national parks, national marine conservation areas (NMCAs) and certain national historic sites. For some sites this will support preliminary conversations on climate change and for others the content will be eclipsed by a need for more detailed vulnerability assessments, adaptation strategies and decision support tools. Regardless, the intent is to encourage and equip individuals and sites to talk about climate change, both internally and externally, and consider the challenges in their own context.

1.1. "Natural Solution" Concept

As a lead conservation and protected area agency, and the largest federal land owner and third largest federal asset manager in the country, Parks Canada's response to climate change is a matter of importance. Part of the response will involve recognizing and positioning protected areas as a "natural solution" to climate change in regional, national and international plans and programs (e.g., Dudley *et al.*, 2010; Lopoukhine *et al.*, 2012; NAWPA, 2012).

As a "natural solution", well-designed and effectively managed protected areas:

- Mitigate climate change through the sequestration and storage of carbon in forests, coastal wetlands ("blue carbon") and other natural ecosystems.
- Protect biodiversity by providing a safe haven for species.
- Enhance connectivity and species movements within and across protected area networks.

- Provide essential ecosystem goods and services, such as clean water, erosion control, flood/storm water protection, genetic diversity, cultural opportunities, etc…
- Serve as a benchmark for climate change related research and monitoring.
- Provide a context for social learning, good governance, and adaptive management.
- Help people and communities cope by supporting sustainable and resilient economies in and around protected areas and promoting social well-being (e.g., healthy parks – healthy people).
- Demonstrate environmental stewardship through "green" design and conservation practices.
- Create and facilitate meaningful experiences that help to inspire, inform, and guide actions in response to climate change.



Figure 1. National Parks (NP) and the National Historic Site (NHS) included in this regional assessment.

2. Observed and Projected Climate Trends

This section provides site specific summaries of historic observed temperature and precipitation trends as well as future climate projections. These are only a subset of the climate variables available for analysis and presentation (see Appendix 1).

2.1 Methods

Historic observed mean monthly temperature and total precipitation data was accessed from the Adjusted and Homogenized Canadian Climate Data website (http://www.ec.gc.ca/dccha-ahccd) for the climatological stations within or closest to each protected area. Temperature and precipitation stations were not always in the same location and preference was given to selecting stations with the longest and most current data for a protected area. All available years were plotted and the trend was determined using a generalized linear model (R Core Team, 2014) including 95% confidence intervals. For the analysis, winter = December, January and February; spring = March, April and May; summer = June, July and August; and, autumn = September, October and November.

A table with future climate change projections was determined for the centre of each protected area. Season by season descriptions were provided to complement the earlier park-based assessments by Scott and Suffling (2000) and to help inform seasonal operations and activities. The climate projections were determined following Price *et al.* (2011) and used the average of four General Circulation Models (GCMs) and the lowest and highest Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) GHG scenarios (Vuuren *et al.*, 2011). The RCP 2.6 (lowest) scenario assumes that we take action and GHG emissions peak in 2010-2020 and decline thereafter. The RCP 8.5 (highest) scenario assumes we take no action and emissions continue to rise throughout the 21st century. Figures 2 and 3 also include a projection based on the RCP 4.5 scenario, where emissions peak around 2040 and then decline.

2.2 Regional Climate Change Summaries

2.2.1 Physical Effects

- The average annual air temperature for the region has increased by 2˚C since the 1950's, which exceeds the global land surface average increase of 1.2˚C (DeBeer *et al.*, 2016). The warming has been slightly greater for the nighttime versus daytime period (Vincent *et al.*, 2012). Seasonally, the greatest warming has occurred in the winter (~3.9˚C) with spring arriving as much as 5 to 20 days earlier (Vincent *et al.*, 2015). This warming trend is projected to continue and model results indicate a further increase of 2-8˚C by 2100, depending on the location and RCP scenario applied.
- Extreme heat events have increased in many areas, while extreme cold events have decreased in virtually all areas (Mekis *et al.*, 2015). This trend is expected to continue, in particular, an increase in the frequency, intensity and duration of heat events (IPCC, 2012). For instance, the 1 in 20 year extreme heat event is projected to become a 1 in 5 year event by mid-century (Kharin *et al.*, 2007).

- Precipitation patterns have been variable, with a general increase in total annual precipitation of ~14% (50 mm) being observed in many areas in the region (Chun *et al.*, 2013; DeBeer *et al.*, 2016; Millett *et al.*, 2009). In winter there is a clear distinction between an increase in precipitation in the north and a decrease in the south (as much as 50%). Increasing temperatures have resulted in an increase in the fraction of precipitation that falls as rain versus snow. Snowpack in the region is declining (Pederson *et al.*, 2011). Change in frequency and intensity of heavy rainfall events are difficult to identify at the regional scale given the highly localized nature of such events and the low density of climate stations in the region (Mekis *et al.*, 2015). However, the persistence of multi-day rainfall storms at many sites has increased and the number of single day events has decreased (Shook and Pomeroy, 2012).
- Drought conditions appear to be variable on a decadal-scale. In the future the southern prairie region, in particular, is expected to have a higher likelihood of drought conditions (Bonsal *et al.*, 2013; Sushama *et al.*, 2010).
- Permafrost has an isolated distribution (affected by elevation and latitude) in the region and there is evidence of its on-going degradation (Smith, 2010; Warren and Lemmen, 2014). In some areas the thawing permafrost is leading to decreased substrate stability, surface subsidence, waterlogging, and thermokarst development (e.g., Baltzer *et al.*, 2014; Walvoord and Kurylyk, 2016; Warren *et al.*, 2013).
- Snow cover duration and extent has declined in recent decades (e.g., Derksen and Brown, 2012).
- Since the mid-20th century the duration of ice cover on lakes and rivers has generally reduced and spring breakup is occurring one to several weeks earlier (Beltaos and Prowse, 2009; Prowse, 2012). This trend is expected to continue with breakup dates advancing by 1 to 3½ weeks and freeze-up dates being delayed by up to 2 weeks (Dibike *et al.*, 2012; Warren and Lemmen, 2014).
- Trends in river flow vary regionally and over time. A general increase in autumn and winter flows have been observed, while spring and summer flows appear to be inconsistent. Decreased flows are expected in the Athabasca River, tributaries of the Saskatchewan River and other rivers along the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains (Kerkhoven and Gan, 2011; Kienzle *et al.*, 2012; Peters *et al.*, 2013; St Jacques *et al.*, 2013; St Jacques *et al.*, 2010).
- Glacier ice melt (excluding seasonal snow cover) contributes to river flow throughout the region. For instance, glacial melt was found to supply 3% of the annual flow and as much as 8-20% of the late summer flow to the Bow River in Calgary (Bash and Marshall, 2014; Naz *et al.*, 2014) and similarly, glacial melt supplied 6% of the annual flow and as much as 25-35% of the late summer flow to the upper Columbia River (Jost *et al.*, 2012). Reduction in river flow is



expected to be commensurate with a reduction in glacier area (e.g., Comeau *et al.*, 2009). The Canadian Rockies Hydrological Observatory maintains real time data for glaciers in Banff and Jasper (http://www.usask.ca/hydrology/CRHO.php).

- Adding to the complexity of climate change and river flows, positive phases of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (~60year cycle), positive phases of the El Niño-Southern Oscillation and/or strong Aleutian lows increase the likelihood of a warm and dry winter and spring in the region, and therefore decreased river flows (St Jacques *et al.*, 2014). Summer and autumn correlations are weaker. A cool and wet winter and spring tends to be associated with the opposite phases (Fleming and Whitfield, 2010).
- Glaciers across Canada are mostly retreating and showing a negative net mass balance (Moore *et al.*, 2009). Peyto Glacier (Banff NP) is perhaps the most studied glacier in the region and has retreated 1.6 km between 1952 and 2014 and lost over 32 m of water equivalent depth since 1965 (DeBeer *et al.*, 2016; Demuth and Ednie, 2016; Hopkinson and Demuth, 2006). Within the Columbia Mountains (e.g., Glacier and Mount Revelstoke NPs) the rate of glacier mass balance decline in the last 30 years exceeds that observed over the last 250 years (Wood and Smith, 2013). It is estimated that by 2100, "the volume of glacier ice in western Canada will shrink by 70 +/- 10% relative to 2005" (Clarke *et al.*, 2015).
- A study of the regional change in avalanche activity remains inconclusive (Bellaire *et al.*, 2016).
- Areas currently prone to high wind events will continue to be vulnerable. There is some suggestion that extreme events will increase slightly in the region, at least in the autumn (Cheng *et al.*, 2014; Haughian *et al.*, 2012).



Figure 2a. Jasper, Banff, Kootenay, Yoho, Glacier and Mount Revelstoke NPs. Temperature projections represent a composite (average) of four spatially interpolated downscaled Global Circulation Models: CanESM2, CESM1CAM5, HADGEM2ES and MIROCESM, using three greenhouse gas scenarios (RCPs) for three future time periods. Climate data provided by Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste. Marie (http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/projects/3).



Figure 3b. Waterton Lakes NP and Bar U Ranch NHS (dot). Temperature projections represent a composite (average) of four spatially interpolated downscaled Global Circulation Models: CanESM2, CESM1CAM5, HADGEM2ES and MIROCESM, using three greenhouse gas scenarios (RCPs) for three future time periods. Climate data provided by Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste. Marie (http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/projects/3).

Total Annual Precipitation

Change from 1980-2010 Baseline



Figure 3a. Jasper, Banff, Kootenay, Yoho, Glacier and Mount Revelstoke NPs. Precipitation projections represent a composite (average) of four spatially interpolated downscaled Global Circulation Models: CanESM2, CESM1CAM5, HADGEM2ES and MIROCESM, using three greenhouse gas scenarios (RCPs) for three future time periods. Climate data provided by Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste. Marie (http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/projects/3).



Figure 3b. Waterton Lakes NP and Bar U Ranch NHS (dot). Precipitation projections represent a composite (average) of four spatially interpolated downscaled Global Circulation Models: CanESM2, CESM1CAM5, HADGEM2ES and MIROCESM, using three greenhouse gas scenarios (RCPs) for three future time periods. Climate data provided by Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste. Marie (http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/projects/3).

Banff National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Banff Climatological Station (3050519) from 1887 to 2015. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter (0.013°C/yr), spring (0.011°C/yr), summer (0.013°C/yr) and autumn (0.008°C/yr).

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Banff Climatological Station (3050519) from 1894 to 2007. No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, spring, summer or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: 116° 07' 25.31" W, 51° 32' 35.18" N; elevation 2247m

Increase / decrease in:	**2011-2040**			**2041-2070**			**2071-2100**		
Mean Winter Temperature (°C)	0.2	to	0.6	1.1	to	2.9	1.6	to	5.2
Mean Spring Temperature (°C)	1.3	to	1.4	2.2	to	3.5	2.3	to	5.9
Mean Summer Temperature (°C)	1.7	to	1.8	2.4	to	4.2	2.2	to	7.5
Mean autumn Temperature (°C)	1.2	to	1.3	1.9	to	3.4	1.8	to	6.6
Precipitation in Winter	28%	to	31%	33%	to	37%	33%	to	49%
Precipitation in Spring	14%	to	18%	27%	to	34%	25%	to	45%
Precipitation in Summer	-1%	to	7%	4%	to	9%	-8%	to	10%
Precipitation in Autumn	13%	to	14%	17%	to	20%	21%	to	25%
Number of days of growing season	17.0	to	18.0	27.0	to	45.0	26.0	to	80.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	68%	to	77%	103%	to	203%	6%	to	424%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	13.0	to	13.0	19.0	to	24.0	18.0	to	44.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)[2]	15.5	to	17.3	12.0	to	18.2	-0.8	to	18.2

Bar U Ranch National Historic Site

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at High River Climatological Station (3033250) from 1902 to 2007. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter (0.024°C/yr) and spring (0.016°C/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for summer or autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Highwood Climatological Station (3053250) from 1903 to 2010. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for autumn (0.4mm/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, spring or summer.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Historic Site Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Increase / decrease in:	**2011-2040**			**2041-2070**			**2071-2100**		
Max Winter Temperature (°C)	12.1	to	12.4	12.9	to	14.5	13.4	to	16.6
Max Spring Temperature (°C)	1.2	to	4.0	2.0	to	3.3	2.1	to	5.7
Max Summer Temperature (°C)	2.4	to	2.7	3.0	to	5.1	2.8	to	8.7
Max Autumn Temperature (°C)	7.9	to	8.1	8.6	to	10.0	8.5	to	13.4
Min Winter Temperature (°C)	-0.2	to	-0.6	0.4	to	2.5	0.9	to	5.1
Min Spring Temperature (°C)	1.2	to	1.3	2.0	to	3.5	2.1	to	5.8
Min Summer Temperature (°C)	1.4	to	1.5	2.0	to	3.8	1.9	to	6.9
Min Autumn Temperature (°C)	-3.4	to	-3.4	-1.3	to	-2.8	-2.7	to	1.9
Precipitation in Winter	26%	to	27%	31%	to	35%	29%	to	49%
Precipitation in Spring	5%	to	7%	-42%	to	24%	14%	to	33%
Precipitation in Summer	-9%	to	-16%	-7%	to	-12%	-7%	to	-22%
Precipitation in Autumn	-10%	to	17%	-6%	to	-8%	-2%	to	-5%
Number of days of growing season	NA	to	23.4	14.8	to	17.2	23.6	to	72.2

Glacier National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Glacier Climatological Station (1173191) from 1908 to 2014. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter (0.023°C/yr), spring (0.013°C/yr) and summer (0.01°C/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Glacier Climatological Station (1173191) from 1909 to 2014. No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, spring, summer or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: 117° 31’ 27.54” W, 51° 16’ 06.85” N; elevation 1252m

Increase / decrease in:	**2011-2040**			**2041-2070**			**2071-2100**		
Mean Winter Temperature (°C)	0.9	to	1.3	1.8	to	3.4	2.3	to	5.7
Mean Spring Temperature (°C)	1.6	to	1.7	2.5	to	3.8	2.7	to	6.3
Mean Summer Temperature (°C)	1.9	to	2.1	2.6	to	4.5	2.5	to	7.8
Mean Autumn Temperature (°C)	1.4	to	1.5	2.1	to	3.6	2.1	to	6.9
Precipitation in Winter	12%	to	14%	16%	to	19%	16%	to	27%
Precipitation in Spring	-1%	to	2%	9%	to	14%	7%	to	22%
Precipitation in Summer	-8%	to	-13%	-5%	to	-10%	-4%	to	-22%
Precipitation in Autumn	-1%	to	-1%	2%	to	4%	6%	to	9%
Number of days of growing season	18.0	to	21.0	31.0	to	48.0	32.0	to	85.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	35%	to	35%	49%	to	85%	47%	to	165%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	10.0	to	12.0	18.0	to	28.0	19.0	to	46.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	-4.2	to	-5.4	-3.1	to	-10.5	-2.5	to	-25.9

Jasper National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Jasper Climatological Station (3053536) from 1926 to 2015. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for spring (0.016°C/yr) and summer (0.015°C/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter or autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Jasper Climatological Station (3053536) from 1936 to 2007. No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, spring, summer or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: 117° 59’ 03.14” W, 52° 51’ 05.24” N; elevation 1478m

Increase / decrease in:	**2011-2040**			**2041-2070**			**2071-2100**		
Temperature - Winter Mean (°C)	-0.1	to	0.3	0.8	to	2.6	1.3	to	4.9
Temperature - Spring Mean (°C)	1.2	to	1.2	2.0	to	3.3	2.2	to	5.8
Temperature - Summer Mean (°C)	1.2	to	1.3	1.9	to	3.7	1.8	to	6.9
Temperature - Autumn Mean (°C)	0.9	to	1.0	1.6	to	3.1	1.6	to	6.3
Precipitation in Winter									
Precipitation in Spring	12%	to	14%	22%	to	29%	20%	to	45%
Precipitation in Summer	5%	to	11%	11%	to	12%	-3%	to	15%
Precipitation in Autumn									
Number of days of growing season	21.0	to	22.0	32.0	to	44.0	30.0	to	74.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	28%	to	29%	45%	to	85%	41%	to	173%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	8.0	to	8.0	14.0	to	22.0	14.0	to	41.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	-6.4	to	-7.6	-6.9	to	-12.2	-6.1	to	-26.4

Kootenay National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Golden Climatological Station (1173210) from 1902 to 2015. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter (0.029°C/yr), spring (0.013°C/yr) and summer (0.021°C/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Golden Climatological Station (1173210) from 1908 to 2015. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for spring (0.26mm/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, summer or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: 116° 02’ 28.97” W, 50° 57’ 43.25” N; elevation 1911m

Increase / decrease in:	**2011-2040**			**2041-2070**			**2071-2100**		
Mean Winter Temperature (°C)	2.1	to	2.3	3.0	to	4.7	3.0	to	7.6
Mean Spring Temperature (°C)	2.4	to	2.5	3.4	to	4.6	3.0	to	7.2
Mean Summer Temperature (°C)	1.7	to	1.8	2.4	to	4.2	2.4	to	7.2
Mean Autumn Temperature (°C)	2.0	to	2.3	2.9	to	4.3	2.8	to	7.7
Precipitation in Winter	19%	to	19%	27%	to	31%	25%	to	45%
Precipitation in Spring	13%	to	19%	18%	to	28%	22%	to	35%
Precipitation in Summer	1%	to	2%	-1%	to	0%	-2%	to	4%
Precipitation in Autumn	1%	to	2%	0%	to	4%	-6%	to	2%
Number of days of growing season	19.0	to	20.0	27.0	to	34.0	24.0	to	60.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	20%	to	22%	30%	to	49%	29%	to	87%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	10.0	to	11.0	16.0	to	20.0	13.0	to	37.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)[2]	-6.2	to	-6.2	-8.1	to	-13.9	-6.6	to	-26.8

Mount Revelstoke National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Glacier Climatological Station (1173191) from 1908 to 2014. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter (0.023°C/yr), spring (0.013°C/yr) and summer (0.01°C/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Glacier Climatological Station (1173191) from 1909 to 2014. No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, spring, summer or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: 118° 02’ 41.22” W, 51° 05’ 36.09” N; elevation 1765m

Increase / decrease in:	**2011-2040**			**2041-2070**			**2071-2100**		
Mean Winter Temperature (°C)	0.9	to	1.3	1.8	to	3.5	2.3	to	5.8
Mean Spring Temperature (°C)	1.4	to	1.5	2.3	to	3.6	2.5	to	6.1
Mean Summer Temperature (°C)	1.9	to	2.0	2.6	to	4.4	2.4	to	7.8
Mean Autumn Temperature (°C)	1.5	to	1.5	2.1	to	3.6	2.1	to	6.9
Precipitation in Winter	8%	to	10%	12%	to	15%	12%	to	21%
Precipitation in Spring	0%	to	3%	10%	to	14%	8%	to	22%
Precipitation in Summer	-6%	to	-11%	-1%	to	-6%	-19	to	-19%
Precipitation in Autumn	0%	to	0%	4%	to	5%	8%	to	9%
Number of days of growing season	19.0	to	21.0	31.0	to	46.0	30.0	to	81.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	47%	to	49%	66%	to	121%	64%	to	238%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	10.0	to	11.0	17.0	to	25.0	17.0	to	45.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	17.1	to	18.2	13.7	to	20.7	0.1	to	21.2

Waterton Lakes National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Pincher Creek Climatological Station (3035198) from 1893 to 2015. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for summer (0.007°C/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, spring or autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Pincher Creek Climatological Station (3035206) from 1915 to 2007. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for summer (0.76mm/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, spring or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: 113° 55’ 59.35” W, 49° 04’ 52.83” N; elevation 1655m

Increase / decrease in:	**2011-2040**			**2041-2070**			**2071-2100**		
Mean Winter Temperature (°C)	1.3	to	1.6	2.1	to	4.0	2.6	to	6.3
Mean Spring Temperature (°C)	1.2	to	1.4	2.1	to	3.4	2.2	to	5.6
Mean Summer Temperature (°C)	1.3	to	1.6	2.0	to	3.9	1.8	to	7.3
Mean Autumn Temperature (°C)	1.6	to	1.8	2.3	to	3.8	2.3	to	7.1
Precipitation in Winter	35%	to	36%	40%	to	44%	37%	to	54%
Precipitation in Spring	29%	to	31%	41%	to	49%	38%	to	58%
Precipitation in Summer	-5%	to	-11%	-2%	to	-10%	-4%	to	-18%
Precipitation in Autumn	7%	to	14%	9%	to	12%	11%	to	16%
Number of days of growing season	16.0	to	18.0	25.0	to	41.0	27.0	to	73.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	26%	to	28%	38%	to	74%	36%	to	148%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	7.0	to	9.0	13.0	to	24.0	15.0	to	44.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	-7.5	to	-8.4	-6.8	to	-14.4	-6.3	to	-27.5

Yoho National Park

A. Mean Temperature



Seasonal mean temperature at Golden Climatological Station (1173210) from 1902 to 2015. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter (0.029°C/yr), spring (0.013°C/yr) and summer (0.021°C/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for autumn.

B. Total Precipitation



Seasonal total precipitation at Golden Climatological Station (1173210) from 1908 to 2015. A significant trend (P<0.05) observed for spring (0.26mm/yr). No significant trend (P<0.05) observed for winter, summer or autumn.

C. Climate Change Projection for Centre of Park Relative to 1981-2010 Baseline Mean (Appendix 1)

Geo-centroid: 116° 31’ 34.94” W, 51° 23’ 02.22” N; elevation 1205m

Increase / decrease in:	**2011-2040**			**2041-2070**			**2071-2100**		
Mean Winter Temperature (°C)	1.1	to	1.5	2.0	to	3.7	2.5	to	6.0
Mean Spring Temperature (°C)	1.8	to	2.0	2.8	to	4.1	2.9	to	6.5
Mean Summer Temperature (°C)	1.8	to	1.9	2.5	to	4.3	2.3	to	7.6
Mean Autumn Temperature (°C)	1.7	to	1.7	2.4	to	3.9	2.3	to	7.1
Precipitation in Winter	-5%	to	-7%	-3%	to	0%	-3%	to	8%
Precipitation in Spring	-1%	to	1%	12%	to	16%	9%	to	27%
Precipitation in Summer	0%	to	7%	4%	to	10%	-9%	to	11%
Precipitation in Autumn	-15%	to	-15%	-11%	to	-12%	-7%	to	-9%
Number of days of growing season	26.0	to	29.0	39.0	to	57.0	39.0	to	87.0
Growing degree-days during growing season	32%	to	33%	45%	to	77%	44%	to	150%
Advance in start of growing season (days)	12.0	to	15.0	21.0	to	34.0	22.0	to	49.0
Climate Moisture Index (sum May-Sept)	-17.8	to	-19.4	-17.7	to	-25.1	-17.4	to	-40.8



Figure 4. Projected increase in wildfire season length in days from baseline (1981-2010) by 2071-2100 under RCP 2.6 and 8.5 scenarios (data source: http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/fc-data-catalogue).



Figure 5. The complex ecosystem linkages and interactions to climate change (from Nantel *et al.*, 2014)


All Species (% Turnover)
2011-2040
2041-2070
2071-2100
0
1 - 25
26 - 50
51 - 75
76 - 100
> 100
No species
Amphibians (% Turnover)
2011-2040
2041-2070
2071-2100
0
1 - 25
26 - 50
51 - 75
76 - 100
> 100
No species



Figure 6. Percentage of projected species turnover (50 km x 50 km grid) relative to current species occurrence, assuming full dispersal (i.e., species can move into new areas) using ten coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulations models (AOGCMS) as in Lawler *et al.* (2009) and the A2 emission scenario. **Species turnover** is calculated as a composite measure of **species loss** (i.e., % of species currently in a cell whose projected future range does not include the cell) and **species gain** (i.e., % increase in species due to range expansion). Data and analysis discussed further in Lindsay *et al.* (2016).

2.2.2 Other Effects

Ecosystems and Biodiversity

- The length of the wildfire season, annual area burned and seasonal severity rating are all projected to increase for the region (Flannigan *et al.*, 2013; Haughian *et al.*, 2012; Seidl *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2015).
- Drought stress is a concern in some areas, e.g., Jasper's aspen and low-elevation spruce forests (Brett, 2016).
- Species response to climate change will vary with abiotic (e.g., isolated by fragmented landscape or island context, thermal conditions, etc…), biotic (e.g., competition, habitat) and physiological stresses. Some species, or variant forms, will survive and adapt, while others may move or face extinction (e.g., Pecl *et al.*, 2017).
- From a global perspective, vertebrate population abundances have declined by 58% between 1970 and 2012 (WWF, 2016). Projections estimate that ~60% of plants and ~35% of animals will lose over half of their range by 2080 due to climate change (Warren *et al.*, 2013). The median rate of northward migration, for those species where migration is even attainable, is ~16.9 km/decade (Chen *et al.*, 2011).
- Increasing stream and lake temperatures (e.g., less glacial inputs, warmer air temperature) will alter habitat conditions and may exceed the thermal tolerance for some cold/cool-water fishes (e.g., Poesch *et al.*, 2016), insects (e.g., Treanor *et al.*, 2013) and plankton communities (e.g., Hobbs *et al.*, 2011; Messner *et al.*, 2013; Strecker *et al.*, 2004).
- Thermal tolerance extends to terrestrial species as well. For instance, American pikas appear to lack the physiological ability to cope with high ambient temperatures (e.g., >28°C)(Otto *et al.*, 2015).
- Climate change will influence environmental chemistry and pollutants, including an exacerbation of the effects of acid deposition (lower pH due to higher CO_2 levels), nutrient loading (precipitation events), and mercury toxicity (released under anoxic conditions, warmer waters increase the rate of methylation) (e.g., Michalak, 2016; Noyes *et al.*, 2009).
- "Temperature velocities" for forest biomes are estimated to move northward at the rate of 0.43 km/yr for the boreal forest and 0.35 km/yr for the temperate broadleaf forest (McKenney *et al.*, 2011; Warren *et al.*, 2013). However, colonization success will vary with (micro)topography, permafrost conditions, dispersal competition, soil, precipitation patterns, disturbance regimes, pollinators and many other factors (e.g., Jacobs *et al.*, 2014; Lafleur *et al.*, 2010; McKenney *et al.*, 2007; Warren *et al.*, 2013). Nationally, it is suggested that vegetation distribution (biomes) will change in over half of Canada`s national park (Scott *et al.*, 2002).
- Langdon and Lawler (2015) found that projected climate change, biome shifts and species turnover in protected areas increased along a longitudinal gradient from low along the Pacific Coast, to higher in the Rocky Mountains.
- Increasing aridity may decrease dominance of spruce, fir, western hemlock, etc. in favour of species such as ponderosa pine, aspen, etc. (Hogg and Bernier, 2005). In extreme events, even drought-resistant parkland species may be replaced by scrub or grassland (Allen *et al.*, 2010; Michaelian *et al.*, 2011).

- Cerney *et al.* (2008) observed an increase in forest cover from 1914 to 2005 in Waterton Lakes NP through vertical migration and infill of conifers and aspen. Similar photographic comparison work was completed in the montane ecoregion of Jasper NP (Rhemtulla *et al.*, 2002).
- Wildlife species will continue to experience range shifts and changes in abundance (e.g., National Audubon Society, 2015).
- Asynchrony between life history events has been observed. Although photoperiod is not changing, other ecological cues are, such as temperature, river flow, etc. For example, earlier peaks in insect and plant biomass have been observed, mismatching with migrant bird hatchling growth and development (Knudsen *et al.*, 2011; Nituch and Bowman, 2013).
- The distribution and impacts of pathogens and parasites are expected to increase with warmer temperatures and the northward migration of species (Marcogliese, 2008; 2016; Pickles *et al.*, 2013).
- A warmer, drier climate is expected to be more favourable for forest insect and disease outbreaks such as mountain pine beetle, western spruce budworm, spruce beetle, forest tent caterpillar, etc… (e.g., Gauthier *et al.*, 2014; Haughian *et al.*, 2012; Sturrock *et al.*, 2011; Weed *et al.*, 2013). Brett (2016) provides a current update for forest insect and disease status for the regions national parks.
- A study of grizzly bear plant food presence and climate change was undertaken. Results provided an idiosyncratic response, with some plant species persisting and/or increasing while others declined and/or shifted their range (Roberts *et al.*, 2014).
- The extent of alpine tundra ecosystem will decrease with warming temperatures (e.g., shrinking permafrost, vertical encroachment of new species). This ecosystem provides important habitat for pika, water vole, least chipmunk, ptarmigan, caribou, grizzly bear, bighorn sheep, mountain goat and many other species.
- The upward movement of the treeline can be facilitated by whitebark pine colonization (e.g., pioneer "tree islands"), however, this process is increasing confounded by incidences of drought and blister rust and bark beetle infestation (Tomback *et al.*, 2014). Wong and Daniels (2017) explain the multiple factors, including climate, that resulted in whitebark pine decline in Waterton Lakes NP.

Visitor Experience

- Due to an earlier spring and warmer summer and autumn conditions, annual visitation for the regions national parks are projected to increase by 3-29% for 2020s and 4-56% for 2050s depending on the park and climate scenario used, with most of the increase occurring in the spring and autumn (Scott and Jones, 2005; Scott and Jones, 2006; Scott *et al.*, 2007).
- The potential impact of climate change on nature-based tourism at Waterton Lakes NP was examined (Scott *et al.*, 2007). Under some future scenarios annual visitation may be reduced because of diminished attractiveness.
- When temperatures exceeded 25-30˚C, a strong decrease in visitation has been observed in some parks (Fisichelli *et al.*, 2015; Hewer *et al.*, 2016).
- It may be necessary to extend the operating season to accommodate visitor safety and demand.

- Decreased snowpack will negatively impact recreational activities such as snowshoeing, skiing, ice fishing, ice travel and snowmobiling. By relying on natural snow Banff's average ski season at low elevations (1,600 m) is projected to decrease by ~50% in the 2020s and 66-94% in the 2050s (Scott and Jones, 2005).
- Snowmaking may become an important adaption for the regions ski operations, particularly at low elevations (Scott and Jones, 2005). Naturally there are limitation if the weather, especially in October and November, is too warm to make snow. As an example, the November 2016 Alpine Ski World Cup at Lake Louise was cancelled due to poor snow conditions at the base of the runs. Temperatures in the preceding weeks had been too warm to supplement natural snow with snowmaking.
- In terms of human health, there may be heat related concerns during extreme temperature events, as well there may be concerns due to increases in disease risks. For example, Lyme disease (bacterial disease transmitted by an infected tick) which was formerly restricted to localized areas by temperature and relative humidity, is expected to expand by mid-century (Eisen *et al.*, 2016; Ogden *et al.*, 2006). Some mosquito-borne diseases also show a connection to climate change, including West Nile virus (note: besides humans it can infect over 140 species including horses, crows, ravens, etc…) (Chen *et al.*, 2013; Kulkarni *et al.*, 2015).
- A longer and more intense fire season will affect visitor safety and experience (e.g., possible area closures, no campfires, or evacuations).
- Visitor perceptions and consumer behaviour responses to climate change were recently studied at Jasper NP (Groulx *et al.*, 2017). 22.9% of visitors reported that they would not have made trip to the Athabasca Glacier if conditions change as predicted for 2050, more so (40.7%) if the feeling of naturalness is lost through adaptations (e.g., trail, fence, bridge, helicopter).

Assets and Infrastructure

- Flooding from extreme rainfall or rain on snow events may overwhelm stormwater system capacities and damage or destroy infrastructure. For instance, a front country bridge in Kootenay was destroyed by flood waters in 2012 and again in 2013. 2013 also witnessed the evacuation of Baker Creek Chalets, closure of Waterfowl Lakes campground, and road closures. In 2016 an ice jam (first on record) and winter floods damages the Lake Louise campground and some pedestrian footbridges (personal comm. Shelley Humphries).
- An increase in extreme rainfall has been identified as a high risk to highway hillsides, stabilized works, debris torrents, stream crossing, river train works and culvert infrastructure, in similar environments (British Columbia, 2010; 2011). Moderate risks to asphalt (hot in place) and bridge infrastructure from higher temperatures has also been identified. An increase in freeze/thaw may be an impact in some areas.
- Some facilities may be more vulnerable to the expected increase in wind gust events (Cheng *et al.*, 2014).
- Further evaluation of high winds/downbursts and visibility/fog on highway infrastructure may be needed (British Columbia, 2010).
- There is an increasing risk to assets and infrastructure by wildfire damage in some areas. More severe fire weather (heat and drought) may also create conditions where fire suppression is no longer feasible or effective (Colombo, 2008; Flannigan *et al.*, 2005).

Cultural Resources

- Increased damage or loss of cultural resources is possible during and post- flood, wildfire and other disturbance events (Marissa *et al.*, 2016).
- Efforts to FireSmart (e.g., replace wood shake roofing) may influence the character or cultural integrity of a facility (Marissa *et al.*, 2016).
- There is a potential for increased deterioration of facilities and collections (e.g., non-mechanically ventilated interiors, HVACs) from increased temperature, humidity, and precipitation, e.g., increased mold, rot and fungal decay; increased corrosion, etc… (Brimblecombe, 2014; Brimblecombe and Brimblecombe, 2016; Horowitz *et al.*, 2016; Marissa *et al.*, 2016).
- Socio-economic impacts through lose or damage to cultural resources may occur.
- Longer growing seasons and warmer conditions may lead to increased presence and abundance of invasive plant species and pests (Marissa *et al.*, 2016)
- Micro-climates which allow historic gardens to flourish may be affected (e.g., Percy *et al.*, 2015).



Figure 7. Example of rainfall intensity-duration-frequency curves for future climate scenarios. As illustrated, rainfall intensity for the "1 in a 100 year" event at Pincher Creek (Waterton Lakes NP) is projected to increase from 124mm/hr to as much as 146mm/hr (5 minute duration) and at Jasper from 164mm/hr to as much as 232mm/hr (5 minute duration). The IDF_CC Tool (https://www.idf-cc-uwo.ca) permits user driven analysis of future projections for climatological stations across the country.

3. Climate Change Actions

In general, most protected area agencies in Canada are only beginning to consider and develop climate change policies, planning tools, and management frameworks (Lemieux *et al.*, 2011) (note: a draft Parks Canada climate change strategy, version 4.4, was last revised in 2008). There are, however, examples of adaptation and mitigation actions already underway in individual sites and within other jurisdictions that may inspire and guide actions (e.g., Gross *et al.*, 2016; Lemieux *et al.*, 2010; US NPS, 2010).

3.1 Adaptation

Adaptation is an adjustment in natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climate change impacts. Adaptation in protected areas often involves the implementation of established ecosystem-based management practices. These actions can represent "**no regrets**" since they broadly benefit the ecological and commemorative integrity at a site, regardless of the rate of climate change. This includes working with regional partners to manage landscape level disturbances; protecting and restoring ecosystems to build resilience; preventing the spread of invasive species; protecting species at risk; conserving built heritage at historic sites; replacing storm damaged resources and infrastructure with more sustainable and resilient designs; and, responding to changing visitor interests and needs.

Other more regionally specific examples:

- The Public Infrastructure Engineering Vulnerability Committee (PIEVC) has undertaken various climate change engineering vulnerability assessments in the greater region (outside parks), including projects on roads and associated structures, stormwater management systems, and potable water facilities. These assessments include adaptation recommendations (e.g., Associated Engineering Calgary, 2011; British Columbia, 2010; 2011; PIEVC, 2008).
- Climate change scenario planning has been undertaken in Waterton Lakes NP by the Crown Managers Partnership (Welling *et al.*, 2014).
- Lister et al. (2015) discuss climate change and wildlife-crossing infrastructure, emphasizing an integrated and adaptive approach.
- Hood and Bayley (2008) discuss how beaver's may help to mitigate climate effects by maintaining wetlands.
- Design or retrofit stormwater systems and hydraulic structures to accommodate new "normal" precipitation and temperature patterns. For example, replacing "like with like" culverts is ineffective if they remain undersized or continue to fill with debris. Larger structures can serve both a drainage and road "ecopassage" function, thus making landscape more permeable to water flow and species movements.
- In one study, 58% of Banff visitors indicated they would like to see interpretive programs explaining how climate change is affecting the park (Scott and Jones, 2005). Here is an opportunity to communicate climate change through park programs and exhibits, including the risks to biodiversity and ecosystems, and the connection between GHG reductions and park stewardship.



Figure 8. Greenhouse Gas Emissions per Field Unit. Emissions are calculated from energy (e.g., heating, electricity) and fuel expenditures, excluding travel, air charters, and some other sources. GHG emissions from energy cost are adjusted for source (e.g., coal, hydro, diesel, etc...). The targets in these figures are from 2015 reduction targets (Parks Canada, 2015). Revised, lower targets to be communicated in 2017 (Canada, 2016).

3.2 Mitigation

Mitigation refers to human interventions that reduce the source or enhance the sinks of GHG emissions. Carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, water vapour and ozone are the primary GHGs in the earth's atmosphere, in addition to human-made chlorine- and bromine-containing substances. Parks Canada's Asset and Environmental Management team provides national

functional leadership, expertise and support related to GHG reductions. Sites interested in working on GHG reduction and more sustainable operations should confer with this team. As an example, this team annually tracks all Field Unit energy expenditures and GHG emissions and reports progress towards federal government reduction targets. The current target is 40% reduction in GHG emissions from federal buildings and fleets below the 2005 levels by 2030 (Canada, 2016); it is clear that this will require an ambitious and concerted effort on the part of all.

Sharma *et al*. (2013) analysed carbon dynamics within Yoho, Kootenay and Glacier NP's and determined that these parks had higher carbon stocks and greater CO_2 uptakes as compared to their surrounding areas. The results highlight a potential benefit of protected areas in carbon sequestration and storage. As well, the report discusses the importance of ecological integrity and the role of natural disturbance, such as fire and insect and disease, as sources of GHGes.

Mitigation examples include:

- Evaluate progress towards Parks Canada / Field Unit GHG reduction targets.
- Specify "green" and energy efficient designs for construction and renovation projects.
- Reduce the number and/or size of park vehicles and vessels to match need and maximize efficiency. Provide hybrid or electric where possible.
- Electric utility and lawn vehicles for campground maintenance.
- Anti-idling and cabin heat-recovery systems in trucks.
- Use energy efficient products, promote energy efficiency and water conservation, reduce waste and support alternative transportation.
- Review Parks Canada (2015) and US NPS (2012a).

3.3 Possible Next Steps

This report is intended to be a stepping off point, from here individuals and sites are encourage to consider how best to advance climate change actions in their own context. Here is a checklist of ideas that others have considered that may be of particular interest or relevance.

- ☑ Enhance workforce climate literacy (e.g., Peterson *et al.*, 2011; US NPS, 2012b).

- ☑ Undertake more detailed analysis of climate trends, including impact models (e.g., hydrology, wildfire, infrastructure at risk, coastal visualization) and extreme weather events (e.g., Charron, 2016).

- ☑ Conduct future scenario planning and explore operations under novel and equally plausible future conditions. Use scenarios to test ("wind tunnel") strategic decisions and inform contingency plans (e.g., Gross *et al.*, 2016; US NPS, 2013; Welling *et al.*, 2014).

- ☑ Conduct vulnerability assessments of species, ecosystems or governance structures. Vulnerability is the degree to which a system is susceptible to, and unable to cope with, the impacts of climate change (e.g., Edwards *et al.*, 2015; Gleeson *et al.*, 2011; Gross *et al.*, 2016).

- ☑ Incorporate climate change impacts and adaptation strategies into management planning. Recalibrate management objectives in the face of ecosystem change, system novelty and loss of resilience (e.g., Lemieux *et al.*, 2011).

- ☑ Revise visitor management and operational plans in response to changing visitor patterns and use (e.g., Fisichelli *et al.*, 2015; Hewer *et al.*, 2016). Diversify visitor experiences to provide alternatives to weather-dependent activities.

- ☑ Quantify and understand carbon stocks and dynamics (e.g., US NPS, 2012a).

- ☑ Evaluate and communicate the value of ecological goods and services (e.g., clean water/air, provision of food, maintain biodiversity, nature-based tourism, carbon storage, etc…) and manage for the sustainability of these services (e.g., Gross *et al.*, 2016).

- ☑ Maintain a list of climate science and management actions to help inform and influence park messaging.

- ☑ Build networks and collaborate across multiple scales (e.g., Waterton Lakes NP, crownmanagers.org).

- ☑ "FireSmart" facilities and infrastructure (Hirsch *et al.*, 2001).

- ☑ Consider the Public Infrastructure Engineering Vulnerability Committee (PIEVC) protocol (https://pievc.ca/protocol) in climate change vulnerable infrastructure projects.

- ☑ "Explicitly recognize climate change as a management issue in state of the park reporting and monitoring frameworks". This adaption option was one of two from 165 options deemed as necessary and "definitely implementable" by senior decision-makers for the Ontario park system (Lemieux and Scott, 2011).

Please contact the Office of the Chief Ecosystem Scientist if you have any questions or would like to explore any of these next steps further. In addition, PDF copies of all references and the climate data are freely available upon request.

4. References

Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D. D., Hogg, E. H., Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R., Zhang, Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S. W., Semerci, A., and Cobb, N. (2010). A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management, 259*(4), 660-684. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001.

Associated Engineering Calgary. (2011). *City of Calgary Water Supply Infrastructure, Climate Change Vulnerability Risk Assessment*. https://pievc.ca/city-calgary-potable-water-collection-treatment-and-distribution-system.

Baltzer, J. L., Veness, T., Chasmer, L. E., Sniderhan, A. E., and Quinton, W. L. (2014). Forests on thawing permafrost: fragmentation, edge effects, and net forest loss. *Global Change Biology*, *20*(3), 824-834.

Bash, E. A. and Marshall, S. J. (2014). Estimation of glacial melt contributions to the Bow River, Alberta, Canada, using a radiation-temperature melt model. *Annals of Glaciology, 55*(66), 138-152. doi:10.3189/2014AoG66A226.

Bellaire, S., Jamieson, B., Thumlert, S., Goodrich, J., and Statham, G. (2016). Analysis of long-term weather, snow and avalanche data at Glacier National Park, BC, Canada. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, *121*, 118-125. doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2015.10.010.

Beltaos, S. and Prowse, T. (2009). River-ice hydrology in a shrinking cryosphere. *Hydrological Processes*, *23*(1), 122-144. doi:10.1002/hyp.7165.

Bonsal, B. R., Aider, R., Gachon, P., and Lapp, S. (2013). An assessment of Canadian prairie drought: past, present, and future. *Climate Dynamics*, *41*(2), 501-516. doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1422-0.

Brett, R. (2016). *2016 Forest Health Conditions in the Rocky Mountains National Parks*. Canadian Forest Service, Norther Forestry Centre

Brimblecombe, P. (2014). Refining climate change threats to heritage. *Journal of the Institute of Conservation*, *37*(2), 85-93. doi:10.1080/19455224.2014.916226.

Brimblecombe, P. and Brimblecombe, C. (2016). Climate Change and Non-mechanically Ventilated Historic Interiors. *APT Bulletin*, *47*(1), 31-38. http://www.jstor.org/stable/43799261

British Columbia. (2010). *Climate Change Engineering Vulnerability Assessment. Coquihalla Highway (B.C. Highway 5) Between Nicolum River and Dry Gulch*. B.C. Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure and Nodelcorp Consulting Inc. https://pievc.ca/british-columbia-ministry-transportation-and-infrastructure-coquihalla-highway-hope-merritt-section.

British Columbia. (2011). *Climate Change Engineering Vulnerability Assessment. B.C. Yellowhead Highway 16 Between Vanderhoof and Priestly Hill*. B.C. Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure and Nodelcorp Consulting Inc. https://pievc.ca/sites/default/files/bcmoti_yellowhead_highway_-_vulnerabuility_report_web.pdf.

Canada. (2016). *A Federal Sustainable Development Strategy for Canada 2016–2019*. http://fsds-sfdd.ca/index.html#/en/intro/.

Cerney, D. L., Eyton, R. J., and Butler, D. R. (2008). Assessing landscape change in Waterton Lakes National Park, Canada, using multitemporal composites constructed from terrestrial repeat photographs. *Geocarto International*, *23*(5), 347-371. doi:10.1080/10106040801966654.

Charron, I. (2016). *A Guidebook on Climate Scenarios: Using Climate Information to Guide Adaptation Research and Decisions, 2016 Edition*. Ouranos. https://www.ouranos.ca/publication-scientifique/Guidebook-2016.pdf.

Chen, C. C., Jenkins, E., Epp, T., Waldner, C., Curry, P. S., and Soos, C. (2013). Climate Change and West Nile Virus in a Highly Endemic Region of North America.

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 10(7), 3052-3071. doi:10.3390/ijerph10073052.

Chen, I. C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemuller, R., Roy, D. B., and Thomas, C. D. (2011). Rapid Range Shifts of Species Associated with High Levels of Climate Warming. *Science, 333*(6045), 1024-1026. doi:10.1126/science.1206432.

Cheng, C. S., Lopes, E., Fu, C., and Huang, Z. Y. (2014). Possible Impacts of Climate Change on Wind Gusts under Downscaled Future Climate Conditions: Updated for Canada. *Journal of Climate, 27*(3), 1255-1270. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-13-00020.1.

Chun, K. P., Wheater, H. S., Nazemi, A., and Khaliq, M. N. (2013). Precipitation downscaling in Canadian Prairie Provinces using the LARS-WG and GLM approaches. *Canadian Water Resources Journal, 38*(4), 311-332. doi:10.1080/07011784.2013.830368.

Clarke, G. K. C., Jarosch, A. H., Anslow, F. S., Radic, V., and Menounos, B. (2015). Projected deglaciation of western Canada in the twenty-first century. *Nature Geoscience, 8*(5), 372-377. doi:10.1038/ngeo2407.

Colombo, S. J. (2008). *Ontario's Forests and Forestry in a Changing Climate*. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. https://www.ontario.ca/document/ontarios-forests-and-forestry-changing-climate.

Comeau, L. E. L., Pietroniro, A., and Demuth, M. N. (2009). Glacier contribution to the North and South Saskatchewan Rivers. *Hydrological Processes, 23*(18), 2640-2653. doi:10.1002/hyp.7409.

DeBeer, C. M., Wheater, H. S., Carey, S. K., and Chun, K. P. (2016). Recent climatic, cryospheric, and hydrological changes over the interior of western Canada: a review and synthesis. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20*(4), 1573-1598. doi:10.5194/hess-20-1573-2016.

Demuth, M. N. and Ednie, M. (2016). *A Glacier Condition and Thresholding Rubric for Use in Assessing Protected Area / Ecosystem Functioning*. Natural Resources Canada, Geological Survey of Canada. http://geoscan.nrcan.gc.ca/starweb/geoscan/servlet.starweb?path=geoscan/fulle.web&search1=R=297892.

Derksen, C. and Brown, R. (2012). Spring snow cover extent reductions in the 2008-2012 period exceeding climate model projections. *Geophysical Research Letters, 39*, 6. doi:10.1029/2012gl053387.

Dibike, Y., Prowse, T., Bonsal, B., de Rham, L., and Saloranta, T. (2012). Simulation of North American lake-ice cover characteristics under contemporary and future climate conditions. *International Journal of Climatology, 32*(5), 695-709. doi:10.1002/joc.2300.

Dudley, N., Stolton, S., Belokurov, A., Krueger, L., Lopoukhine, N., MacKinnon, K., Sandwith, T., and Sekhran, N. (2010). *Natural Solutions: Protected areas helping people cope with climate change*. IUCN WCPA, TNC, UNDP, WCS, The World Bank and WWF, Gland, Switzerland, Washington DC and New York, USA. https://www.iucn.org/content/natural-solutions-protected-areas-helping-people-cope-climate-change.

Edwards, J. E., Pearce, C., Ogden, A. E., and Williamson, T. B. (2015). *Climate Change and Sustainable Forest Management in Canada : A Guidebook for Assessing Vulnerability and Mainstreaming Adaptation into Decision Making*. Canadian Council of Forest Ministers. http://www.ccfm.org/english/coreproducts-cc.asp.

Eisen, R. J., Eisen, L., Ogden, N. H., and Beard, C. B. (2016). Linkages of Weather and Climate with *Ixodes scapularis* and *Ixodes pacificus* (Acari: Ixodidae), Enzootic Transmission of

Borrelia burgdorferi, and Lyme Disease in North America. *Journal of Medical Entomology, 53*(2), 250-261. doi:10.1093/jme/tjv199.

Fisichelli, N. A., Schuurman, G. W., Monahan, W. B., and Ziesler, P. S. (2015). Protected Area Tourism in a Changing Climate: Will Visitation at US National Parks Warm Up or Overheat? *PLoS ONE, 10*(6), 13. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128226.

Flannigan, M., Cantin, A. S., de Groot, W. J., Wotton, M., Newbery, A., and Gowman, L. M. (2013). Global wildland fire season severity in the 21st century. *Forest Ecology and Management*. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.022.

Flannigan, M. D., Logan, K. A., Amiro, B. D., Skinner, W. R., and Stocks, B. J. (2005). Future Area Burned in Canada. *Climatic Change, 72*(1), 1-16. doi:10.1007/s10584-005-5935-y.

Fleming, S. W. and Whitfield, P. H. (2010). Spatiotemporal Mapping of ENSO and PDO Surface Meteorological Signals in British Columbia, Yukon, and Southeast Alaska. *Atmosphere-Ocean, 48*(2), 122-131. doi:10.3137/ao1107.2010.

Gauthier, S., Lorente, M., Kremsater, L., De Grandpré, L., Burton, P. J., Aubin, I., Hogg, E. H., Nadeau, S., Nelson, E. A., A.R., T., and Ste-Marie, C. (2014). *Tracking climate change effects: potential indicators for Canada's forests and forest sector*. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service (CFS), Ottawa. https://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/publications?id=35231.

Gleeson, J., Gray, P., Douglas, A., Lemieux, C. J., and Nielsen, G. (2011). *A Practitioner's Guide to Climate Change Adaptation in Ontario's Ecosystems*. Ontario Centre for Climate Impacts and Adaptation Resources, Sudbury, Ontario. http://www.mnr.gov.on.ca/en/Business/ClimateChange/Publication/STDPROD_091282.html.

Gross, J. E., Woodley, S., Welling, L. A., and Watson, J. E. M. (Eds.). (2016). *Adapting to Climate Change: Guidance for Protected Area Managers and Planners* (Vol. No. 24). Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/46685.

Groulx, M., Lemieux, C. J., Lewis, J. L., and Brown, S. (2017). Understanding consumer behaviour and adaptation planning responses to climate-driven environmental change in Canada's parks and protected areas: a climate futurescapes approach. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 60*(6), 1016-1035. doi:10.1080/09640568.2016.1192024.

Haughian, S. R., Burton, P. J., Taylor, S. W., and Curry, C. (2012). Expected Effects of Climate Change on Forest Disturbance Regimes in British Columbia. *Journal of Ecosystems and Management, 12*(1). http://jem.forrex.org/index.php/jem/article/view/152

Hewer, M., Scott, D., and Fenech, A. (2016). Seasonal weather sensitivity, temperature thresholds, and climate change impacts for park visitation. *Tourism Geographies, 18*(3), 297-321. doi:10.1080/14616688.2016.1172662.

Hirsch, K., Kafka, V., Tymstra, C., McAlpine, R., Hawkes, B., Stegehuis, H., Quintilio, S., Gauthier, S., and Peck, K. (2001). Fire-smart forest management: A pragmatic approach to sustainable forest management in fire-dominated ecosystems. *Forestry Chronicle, 77*(2), 357-363. doi:10.5558/tfc77357-2.

Hobbs, W. O., Vinebrooke, R. D., and Wolfe, A. P. (2011). Biogeochemical responses of two alpine lakes to climate change and atmospheric deposition, Jasper and Banff National parks, Canadian Rocky Mountains. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 68*(8), 1480-1494. doi:10.1139/f2011-058.

Hogg, E. H. and Bernier, P. Y. (2005). Climate change impacts on drought-prone forests in western Canada. *Forestry Chronicle, 81*(5), 675-682. doi:10.5558/tfc81675-5.

Hood, G. A. and Bayley, S. E. (2008). Beaver (*Castor canadensis*) mitigate the effects of climate on the area of open water in boreal wetlands in western Canada. *Biological Conservation, 141*(2), 556-567.

Hopkinson, C. and Demuth, M. N. (2006). Using airborne lidar to assess the influence of glacier downwasting on water resources in the Canadian Rocky Mountains. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing, 32*(2), 212-222.

Horowitz, A. D., Lopez, M. F., Ross, S. M., and Sparenberg, J. A. (2016). *Climate Change and Cultural Heritage Conservation. A Literature Review*. Association for Preservation Technology (APT), Technical Committee on Sustainable Preservation's Education and Research focus group. http://www.apti.org/clientuploads/Technical%20Committees/2015-2016/APT%20TC-SP%20Literature%20Review%20Climate%20Change%20%20Cultural%20Heritage%20Conservation%202016June30.pdf.

IPCC. (2012). *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA. https://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/special-reports/srex/SREX_Full_Report.pdf.

IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The Summary for Policymakers of the Working Group II contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report. http://www.ipcc.ch/index.htm.

Jacobs, J. D., Chan, S., and Sutton, E. (2014). Climatology of the Forest-Tundra Ecotone at a Maritime Subarctic-Alpine Site, Mealy Mountains, Labrador. *Arctic, 67*(1), 28-42.

Jost, G., Moore, R. D., Menounos, B., and Wheate, R. (2012). Quantifying the contribution of glacier runoff to streamflow in the upper Columbia River Basin, Canada. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16*(3), 849-860. doi:10.5194/hess-16-849-2012.

Kerkhoven, E. and Gan, T. Y. (2011). Differences and sensitivities in potential hydrologic impact of climate change to regional-scale Athabasca and Fraser River basins of the leeward and windward sides of the Canadian Rocky Mountains respectively. *Climatic Change, 106*(4), 583-607. doi:10.1007/s10584-010-9958-7.

Kharin, V. V., Zwiers, F. W., Zhang, X. B., and Hegerl, G. C. (2007). Changes in temperature and precipitation extremes in the IPCC ensemble of global coupled model simulations. *Journal of Climate, 20*(8), 1419-1444. doi:10.1175/jcli4066.1.

Kienzle, S. W., Nemeth, M. W., Byrne, J. M., and MacDonald, R. J. (2012). Simulating the hydrological impacts of climate change in the upper North Saskatchewan River basin, Alberta, Canada. *Journal of Hydrology, 412*, 76-89. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.01.058.

Knudsen, E., Linden, A., Both, C., Jonzen, N., Pulido, F., Saino, N., Sutherland, W. J., Bach, L. A., Coppack, T., Ergon, T., Gienapp, P., Gill, J. A., Gordo, O., Hedenstroom, A., Lehikoinen, E., Marra, P. P., Moller, A. P., Nilsson, A. L. K., Peron, G., Ranta, E., Rubolini, D., Sparks, T. H., Spina, F., Studds, C. E., Saether, S. A., Tryjanowski, P., and Stenseth, N. C. (2011). Challenging claims in the study of migratory birds and climate change. *Biological Reviews, 86*(4), 928-946. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00179.x.

Kulkarni, M. A., Berrang-Ford, L., Buck, P. A., Drebot, M. A., Lindsay, L. R., and Ogden, N. H. (2015). Major emerging vector-borne zoonotic diseases of public health importance in Canada. *Emerging Microbes & Infections, 4*, 7. doi:10.1038/emi.2015.33.

Lafleur, B., Pare, D., Munson, A. D., and Bergeron, Y. (2010). Response of northeastern North American forests to climate change: Will soil conditions constrain tree species migration? *Environmental Reviews, 18*, 279-289. doi:10.1139/a10-013.

Langdon, J. G. R. and Lawler, J. J. (2015). Assessing the impacts of projected climate change on biodiversity in the protected areas of western North America. *Ecosphere, 6*(5), 14. doi:10.1890/es14-00400.1.

Lawler, J. J., Shafer, S. L., White, D., Kareiva, P., Maurer, E. P., Blaustein, A. R., and Bartlein, P. J. (2009). Projected climate-induced faunal change in the Western Hemisphere. *Ecology, 90*(3), 588-597. doi:10.1890/08-0823.1.

Lemieux, C. J., Beechey, T. J., and Gray, P. A. (2011). Prospects for Canada's protected areas in an era of rapid climate change. *Land Use Policy, 28*, 928– 941. doi:doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2011.03.008.

Lemieux, C. J., Beechey, T. J., Scott, D. J., and Gray, P. A. (2010). *Protected Areas and Climate Change in Canada - Challenges and Opportunities for Adaptation*. Canadian Council on Ecological Areas (CCEA). http://ccea.org/Downloads/en_papers_occasional19.pdf.

Lemieux, C. J. and Scott, D. J. (2011). Changing Climate, Challenging Choices: Identifying and Evaluating Climate Change Adaptation Options for Protected Areas Management in Ontario, Canada. *Environmental management, 48*(4), 675-690. doi:10.1007/s00267-011-9700-x.

Lemmen, D. S., Warren, F. J., James, T. S., and Mercer Clarke, C. S. L. (Eds.). (2016). *Canada's Marine Coasts in a Changing Climate*. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada. http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/environment/resources/publications/impacts-adaptation/reports/assessments/2016/18388.

Lindsay, K., Gobeil, J.-F., Lawler, J. L., Schloss, C., Beazley, K. F., and Beechey, T. J. (2016). *Wildlife Conservation, Protected Areas and Climate Change in Canada: Implications of Projected Species Range Shifts*. Canadian Council on Ecological Areas, CCEA Secretariat, Ottawa, Ontario. http://www.ccea.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/CCEA_WildlifeConservationProtectedAreasandClimateChangeinCanada_Digital.pdf.

Lister, N. M., Brocki, M., and Ament, R. (2015). Integrated adaptive design for wildlife movement under climate change. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 13*(9), 493-502. doi:10.1890/150080.

Lopoukhine, N., Crawhall, N., Dudley, N., Figgis, P., Karibuhoye, C., Laffoley, D., Miranda Londoño, J., MacKinnon, K., and Sandwith, T. (2012). Protected areas: providing natural solutions to 21st Century challenges. *S.A.P.I.EN.S, 5.2*. https://sapiens.revues.org/1254

Marcogliese, D. J. (2008). The impact of climate change on the parasites and infectious diseases of aquatic animals. *Revue Scientifique Et Technique-Office International Des Epizooties, 27*(2), 467-484.

Marcogliese, D. J. (2016). The Distribution and Abundance of Parasites in Aquatic Ecosystems in a Changing Climate: More than Just Temperature. *Integrative and Comparative Biology, 56*(4), 611-619. doi:10.1093/icb/icw036.

Marissa, M., Rockman, M., Smith, C., and Meadow, A. (2016). *Climate Change Impacts on Cultural Resources*. Cultural Resources Partnerships and Science, United States National Park Service (US NPS), Washington, DC. https://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/culturalimpactstable.htm.

McKenney, D. W., Pedlar, J. H., Lawrence, K., Campbell, K., and Hutchinson, M. F. (2007). Potential impacts of climate change on the distribution of North American trees. *BioScience, 57*(11), 939-948. doi:10.1641/b571106.

McKenney, D. W., Pedlar, J. H., Rood, R. B., and Price, D. (2011). Revisiting projected shifts in the climate envelopes of North American trees using updated general circulation models. *Global Change Biology, 17*(8), 2720-2730. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02413.x.

Mekis, E., Vincent, L. A., Shephard, M. W., and Zhang, X. B. (2015). Observed Trends in Severe Weather Conditions Based on Humidex, Wind Chill, and Heavy Rainfall Events in Canada for 1953-2012. *Atmosphere-Ocean, 53*(4), 383-397. doi:10.1080/07055900.2015.1086970.

Messner, J. S., Maclennan, M. M., and Vinebrooke, R. D. (2013). Higher temperatures enhance the effects of invasive sportfish on mountain zooplankton communities. *Freshwater Biology, 58*(2), 354-364. doi:10.1111/fwb.12062.

Michaelian, M., Hogg, E. H., Hall, R. J., and Arsenault, E. (2011). Massive mortality of aspen following severe drought along the southern edge of the Canadian boreal forest. *Global Change Biology, 17*(6), 2084-2094. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02357.x.

Michalak, A. M. (2016). Study role of climate change in extreme threats to water quality. *Nature, 535*(7612), 349-350.

Millett, B., Johnson, W., and Guntenspergen, G. (2009). Climate trends of the North American prairie pothole region 1906–2000. *Climatic Change, 93*(1-2), 243-267. doi:10.1007/s10584-008-9543-5.

Moore, R. D., Fleming, S. W., Menounos, B., Wheate, R., Fountain, A., Stahl, K., Holm, K., and Jakob, M. (2009). Glacier change in western North America: influences on hydrology, geomorphic hazards and water quality. *Hydrological Processes, 23*(1), 42-61. doi:10.1002/hyp.7162.

Nantel, P., Pellatt, M. G., Keenleyside, K. A., and Gray, P. A. (2014). Biodiversity and Protected Areas. In F. J. Warrenand D. S. Lemmen (Eds.), *Canada in a Changing Climate: Sector Perspectives on Impacts and Adaptation* (pp. 159-190). Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada. http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/environment/resources/publications/impacts-adaptation/reports/assessments/2014/16309.

National Audubon Society. (2015). *Audubon's Birds and Climate Change Report: A Primer for Practitioners*. National Audubon Society. Contributors: Gary Langham, Justin Schuetz, Candan Soykan, Chad Wilsey, Tom Auer, Geoff LeBaron, Connie Sanchez, Trish Distler, New York. http://www.audubon.org/conservation/climate-change.

NAWPA. (2012). *North American Protected Areas as Natural Solutions for Climate Change*. North American Intergovernmental Committee on Cooperation for Wilderness and Protected Area Conservation. http://nawpacommittee.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/08/NAWPA-CCWG-Brochure.pdf.

Naz, B. S., Frans, C. D., Clarke, G. K. C., Burns, P., and Lettenmaier, D. P. (2014). Modeling the effect of glacier recession on streamflow response using a coupled glacio-hydrological model. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18*(2), 787-802. doi:10.5194/hess-18-787-2014.

Nituch, L. A. and Bowman, J. (2013). *Community-Level Effects of Climate Change on Ontario's Terrestrial Biodiversity*. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. http://www.climateontario.ca/MNR_Publications/CCRR-36.pdf.

Noyes, P. D., McElwee, M. K., Miller, H. D., Clark, B. W., Van Tiem, L. A., Walcott, K. C., Erwin, K. N., and Levin, E. D. (2009). The toxicology of climate change: Environmental contaminants in a warming world. *Environment International, 35*(6), 971-986. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2009.02.006.

Ogden, N. H., Maarouf, A., Barker, I. K., Bigras-Poulin, M., Lindsay, L. R., Morshed, M. G., O'Callaghan, C. J., Ramay, F., Waltner-Toews, D., and Charron, D. F. (2006). Climate change and the potential for range expansion of the Lyme disease vector Ixodes scapularis in Canada. *International Journal for Parasitology, 36*(1), 63-70. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.08.016.

Otto, H. W., Wilson, J. A., and Beever, E. A. (2015). Facing a Changing World: Thermal Physiology of American Pikas (*Ochotona princeps*). *Western North American Naturalist, 75*(4), 429-445. doi:10.3398/064.075.0402.

Parks Canada. (2015). *Master Plan For the Reduction of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions within Parks Canada's Operations*. http://intranet2/media/2163749/master_plan_for_ghg_reduction_2015.pdf

Pecl, G. T., Araújo, M. B., Bell, J. D., Blanchard, J., Bonebrake, T. C., Chen, I.-C., Clark, T. D., Colwell, R. K., Danielsen, F., Evengård, B., Falconi, L., Ferrier, S., Frusher, S., Garcia, R. A., Griffis, R. B., Hobday, A. J., Janion-Scheepers, C., Jarzyna, M. A., Jennings, S., Lenoir, J., Linnetved, H. I., Martin, V. Y., McCormack, P. C., McDonald, J., Mitchell, N. J., Mustonen, T., Pandolfi, J. M., Pettorelli, N., Popova, E., Robinson, S. A., Scheffers, B. R., Shaw, J. D., Sorte, C. J. B., Strugnell, J. M., Sunday, J. M., Tuanmu, M.-N., Vergés, A., Villanueva, C., Wernberg, T., Wapstra, E., and Williams, S. E. (2017). Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human well-being. *Science, 355*(6332). doi:10.1126/science.aai9214.

Pederson, G. T., Gray, S. T., Woodhouse, C. A., Betancourt, J. L., Fagre, D. B., Littell, J. S., Watson, E., Luckman, B. H., and Graumlich, L. J. (2011). The Unusual Nature of Recent Snowpack Declines in the North American Cordillera. *Science, 333*(6040), 332-335. doi:10.1126/science.1201570.

Percy, K., Ward, S., Quintero, M. S., and Morrison, T. (2015). Integrated Digital Technologies for the Architectural Rehabilitation & Conservation of Beinn Bhreagh Hall & Surrounding Site, Nova Scotia, Canada. In Y. N. Yen, K. H. Weng, and H. M. Cheng (Eds.), *25th International CIPA Symposium 2015* (pp. 235-241). Kensington: Int Soc Photogrammetry Remote Sensing C/O School of Surveying

Peters, D. L., Atkinson, D., Monk, W. A., Tenenbaum, D. E., and Baird, D. J. (2013). A multi-scale hydroclimatic analysis of runoff generation in the Athabasca River, western Canada. *Hydrological Processes, 27*(13), 1915-1934. doi:10.1002/hyp.9699.

Peterson, D. L., Millar, C. I., Joyce, L. A., Furniss, M. J., Halofsky, J. E., Neilson, R. P., and Morelli, T. L. (2011). *Responding to climate change in national forests: a guidebook for developing adaptation options.* U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR. https://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/39884.

Pickles, R. S. A., Thornton, D., Feldman, R., Marques, A., and Murray, D. L. (2013). Predicting shifts in parasite distribution with climate change: a multitrophic level approach. *Global Change Biology, 19*(9), 2645-2654. doi:10.1111/gcb.12255.

PIEVC. (2008). *Adapting to Climate Change Canada's First National Engineering Vulnerability Assessment of Public Infrastructure, April 2008*. Engineers Canada, Public Infrastructure Engineering Vulnerability Committee (PIEVC). https://pievc.ca/documents.

Poesch, M. S., Chavarie, L., Chu, C., Pandit, S. N., and Tonn, W. (2016). Climate Change Impacts on Freshwater Fishes: A Canadian Perspective. *Fisheries, 41*(7), 385-391. doi:10.1080/03632415.2016.1180285.

Price, D. T., McKenney, D. W., Joyce, L. A., Siltanen, R. M., Papadopol, P., and Lawrence, K. (2011). *High-Resolution Interpolation of Climate Scenarios for Canada Derived from General Circulation Model Simulations*. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta. http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/publications?id=32971.

Prowse, T. (2012). Lake and River Ice in Canada. In H. Frenchand O. Slaymaker (Eds.), *Changing Cold Environments: A Canadian Perspective, 1st Edition* (pp. 163-181): John Wiley & Sons

R Core Team. (2014). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org

Rhemtulla, J. M., Hall, R. J., Higgs, E. S., and Macdonald, S. E. (2002). Eighty years of change: Vegetation in the montane ecoregion of Jasper National Park, Alberta, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 32*(11), 2010-2021. doi:10.1139/x02-112.

Roberts, D. R., Nielsen, S. E., and Stenhouse, G. B. (2014). Idiosyncratic responses of grizzly bear habitat to climate change based on projected food resource changes. *Ecological Applications, 24*(5), 1144-1154. doi:10.1890/13-0829.1.

Scott, D. and Jones, B. (2005). *Climate Change & Banff National Park: Implications for Tourism and Recreation*. Report prepared for the Town of Banff. Waterloo, ON: University of Waterloo

Scott, D. and Jones, B. (2006). *Climate Change and Nature-Based Tourism. Implications for Park Visitation in Canada*. University of Waterloo, Department of Geography, Waterloo, ON. http://lib.icimod.org/record/12663.

Scott, D., Jones, B., and Konopek, J. (2007). Implications of climate and environmental change for nature-based tourism in the Canadian Rocky Mountains: A case study of Waterton Lakes National Park. *Tourism Management, 28*(2), 570-579. doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2006.04.020.

Scott, D., Malcolm, J. R., and Lemieux, C. (2002). Climate change and modelled biome representation in Canada's national park system: implications for system planning and park mandates. *Global Ecology and Biogeography, 11*(6), 475-484. doi:10.1046/j.1466-822X.2002.00308.x.

Scott, D. and Suffling, R. (2000). *Climate Change and Canada's National Park System: A screening level assessment*. Adaptation and Impacts Research Group, Environment Canada, and University of Waterloo. http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/249276/publication.html.

Seidl, R., Thom, D., Kautz, M., Martin-Benito, D., Peltoniemi, M., Vacchiano, G., Wild, J., Ascoli, D., Petr, M., Honkaniemi, J., Lexer, M. J., Trotsiuk, V., Mairota, P., Svoboda, M., Fabrika, M., Nagel, T. A., and Reyer, C. P. O. (2017). Forest disturbances under climate change. *Nature Climate Change, 7*, 395–402. doi:doi:10.1038/nclimate3303.

Sharma, T., Kurz, W. A., Stinson, G., Pellatt, M. G., and Li, Q. (2013). A 100-year conservation experiment: Impacts on forest carbon stocks and fluxes. *Forest Ecology and Management, 310*, 242-255. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.06.048.

Shook, K. and Pomeroy, J. (2012). Changes in the hydrological character of rainfall on the Canadian prairies. *Hydrological Processes, 26*(12), 1752-1766. doi:10.1002/hyp.9383.

Smith, S. (2010). *Trends in permafrost conditions and ecology in northern Canada*. Canadian Councils of Resource Ministers, Ottawa, ON. http://www.biodivcanada.ca/3ED0C589-F00E-40D3-A9D3-4D4DEF1A8E7E/2816No.9_Permafrost_November%202011_E.pdf.

St Jacques, J. M., Huang, Y. A., Zhao, Y., Lapp, S. L., and Sauchyn, D. J. (2014). Detection and attribution of variability and trends in streamflow records from the Canadian Prairie Provinces. *Canadian Water Resources Journal, 39*(3), 270-284. doi:10.1080/07011784.2014.942575.

St Jacques, J. M., Lapp, S. L., Zhao, Y., Barrow, E. M., and Sauchyn, D. J. (2013). Twenty-first century central Rocky Mountain river discharge scenarios under greenhouse forcing. *Quaternary International, 310*, 34-46. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2012.06.023.

St Jacques, J. M., Sauchyn, D. J., and Zhao, Y. (2010). Northern Rocky Mountain streamflow records: Global warming trends, human impacts or natural variability? *Geophysical Research Letters, 37*, 5. doi:10.1029/2009gl042045.

Strecker, A. L., Cobb, T. P., and Vinebrooke, R. D. (2004). Effects of experimental greenhouse warming on phytoplankton and zooplankton communities in fishless alpine ponds. *Limnology and Oceanography, 49*(4), 1182-1190.

Sturrock, R. N., Frankel, S. J., Brown, A. V., Hennon, P. E., Kliejunas, J. T., Lewis, K. J., Worrall, J. J., and Woods, A. J. (2011). Climate change and forest diseases. *Plant Pathology, 60*(1), 133-149. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02406.x.

Sushama, L., Khaliq, N., and Laprise, R. (2010). Dry spell characteristics over Canada in a changing climate as simulated by the Canadian RCM. *Global and Planetary Change, 74*(1), 1-14. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.07.004.

Tomback, D. F., Chipman, K. G., Resler, L. M., Smith-McKenna, E. K., and Smith, C. M. (2014). Relative Abundance and Functional Role of Whitebark Pine at Treeline in the Northern Rocky Mountains. *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research, 46*(2), 407-418. doi:10.1657/1938-4246-46.2.407.

Treanor, H. B., Giersch, J. J., Kappenman, K. M., Muhlfeld, C. C., and Webb, M. A. H. (2013). Thermal tolerance of meltwater stonefly *Lednia tumana* nymphs from an alpine stream in Waterton-Glacier International Peace Park, Montana, USA. *Freshwater Science, 32*(2), 597-605. doi:10.1899/12-100.1.

US NPS. (2010). *National Park Service Climate Change Response Strategy*. National Park Service Climate Change Response Program, U.S. Department of the Interior, Fort Collins, Colorado. http://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/resources.htm.

US NPS. (2012a). *Green Parks Plan. Advancing Our Mission through Sustainable Operations*. https://www.nps.gov/greenparksplan/.

US NPS. (2012b). *National Park Service Climate Change Action Plan 2012-2014*. National Park Service Climate Change Response Program, U.S. Department of the Interior, Fort Collins, Colorado. http://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/resources.htm.

US NPS. (2013). *Using Scenarios to Explore Climate Change: A Handbook for Practitioners*. National Park Service Climate Change Response Program. Fort Collins, Colorado. http://www.nps.gov/subjects/climatechange/upload/CCScenariosHandbookJuly2013.pdf.

Vincent, L. A., Wang, X. L., Milewska, E. J., Wan, H., Yang, F., and Swail, V. (2012). A second generation of homogenized Canadian monthly surface air temperature for climate trend analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 117*(D18), n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2012JD017859.

Vincent, L. A., Zhang, X., Brown, R. D., Feng, Y., Mekis, E., Milewska, E. J., Wan, H., and Wang, X. L. (2015). Observed Trends in Canada's Climate and Influence of Low-Frequency Variability Modes. *Journal of Climate, 28*(11), 4545-4560. doi:10.1175/jcli-d-14-00697.1.

Vuuren, D., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S., and Rose, S. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: an overview. *Climatic Change, 109*(1-2), 5-31. doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z.

Walvoord, M. A. and Kurylyk, B. L. (2016). Hydrologic Impacts of Thawing Permafrost-A Review. *Vadose Zone Journal, 15*(6), 20. doi:10.2136/vzj2016.01.0010.

Wang, X. L., Thompson, D. K., Marshall, G. A., Tymstra, C., Carr, R., and Flannigan, M. D. (2015). Increasing frequency of extreme fire weather in Canada with climate change. *Climatic Change, 130*(4), 573-586. doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1375-5.

Warren, F. J. and Lemmen, D. S. (Eds.). (2014). *Canada in a Changing Climate: Sector Perspectives on Impacts and Adaptation*. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada. http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/sites/www.nrcan.gc.ca/files/earthsciences/pdf/assess/2014/pdf/Full-Report_Eng.pdf.

Warren, R., VanDerWal, J., Price, J., Welbergen, J. A., Atkinson, I., Ramirez-Villegas, J., Osborn, T. J., Jarvis, A., Shoo, L. P., Williams, S. E., and Lowe, J. (2013). Quantifying the benefit of early climate change mitigation in avoiding biodiversity loss. *Nature Climate Change, 3*(7), 678-682. doi:10.1038/nclimate1887.

Weed, A. S., Ayres, M. P., and Hicke, J. A. (2013). Consequences of climate change for biotic disturbances in North American forests. *Ecological Monographs, 83*(4), 441-470. doi:10.1890/13-0160.1.

Welling, L., Schuurman, G., and Fisichelli, N. (2014). *Climate Change Scenario Planning Summary*. Crown Managers Partnership, 14th Annual Forum Managing for Climate Change in the Crown of the Continent Ecosystem. http://crownmanagers.org/past-cmp-forums/.

Wong, C. M. and Daniels, L. D. (2017). Novel forest decline triggered by multiple interactions among climate, an introduced pathogen and bark beetles. *Global Change Biology, 23*(5), 1926-1941. doi:10.1111/gcb.13554.

Wood, L. J. and Smith, D. J. (2013). Climate and glacier mass balance trends from AD 1780 to present in the Columbia Mountains, British Columbia, Canada. *Holocene, 23*(5), 739-748. doi:10.1177/0959683612465450.

WWF. (2016). *Living Planet Report 2016. Risk and resilience in a new era*. WWW International, Gland, Switzerland. http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/all_publications/lpr_2016/.

Appendix 1. Climate Change Projections

Climate change summaries were determined for the geo-centroid[1] of each national park and national marine conservation area. The method used to prepare the map followed Price *et al.* (2011) and used greenhouse gas (GHG) concentration scenarios adopted by the IPCC (2014) and General Circulation Models (GCMs)[2].

Of the four IPCC GHG concentration scenarios, the lowest and highest Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP), RCP 2.6 and RCP 8.5 were chosen. These are named after possible radiative forcing values in the year 2100 relative to pre-industrial values (i.e., +2.6 and +8.5 watts/m^2, respectively). RCP 2.6 assumes that global annual GHG emissions (measured in CO_2-equivalents) peak in 2010-2020, with emissions declining substantially thereafter. In RCP 8.5, emissions continue to rise throughout the 21st century.

To produce a high-resolution climate map, monthly time-series data were obtained for each GCM representing both the 20th century (1981–2010) and the scenarios of GHG concentration for the 21st century. Each monthly value at each GCM grid node was normalized either by subtracting (for temperature variables) or dividing by (for other climate variables) the mean of that month's values for the 30-year baseline period 1981–2010. The GCM projected changes in temperature and precipitation were averaged over 30-year periods and then interpolated using ANUSPLIN to the locations of climate stations in Canada and the USA. These data were then combined with observed station normals for the period 1981–2010 to create projected normals for three consecutive 30-year periods: 2011–2040, 2041–2070 and 2071–2100.



Figure A1. Projected change in annual daily minimum temperature for 2041-2070, relative to 1961-1990 (Price *et al.*, 2011).

A Bessel interpolation scheme was used to generate daily temperature and precipitation sequences that pass monotonically through the monthly values. This allowed for a suite of bioclimatic indicator variables to be estimated for these periods, including for example, mean growing season duration and precipitation during the growing season (Table A1). A set of composite maps averaging the values of the four GCMs was created and used to extract the projected climatic data for the parks and NMCAs at each geo-centroid.

No model driven by scenarios of future climate can ever provide definitive answers to questions about specific outcomes (e.g., how much change will occur at a specified location by a specified date?). However, temperature projections aligned with recent trends and there appears

[1] In the cases of parks for which the geo-centroid is located in the sea, we extracted the data for 5 sets of coordinates determined to be on the land using Google maps.
[2] CANESM2, CESM1CAM5, HADGEM2ES, and MIROCESM.

to be strong agreement on the magnitude of warming to be expected in the short term (until 2030–2040), independent of the RCP scenarios. This is because much of the warming projected for the next two to three decades is "committed warming" resulting from GHG emissions that have already occurred. It is only after ca. 2040 that the warming trajectories diverge, when early mitigation efforts (RCP 2.6 scenario) would evidently begin to have a positive effect. Further into the future, the range of possible warming increases, largely because of the divergence among the different GHG emission trajectories.

Table A1. Bioclimatic variables mapped for past and future climates[a]

No.	Variable[b]	Description
1	Annual mean temperature	Annual mean of monthly mean temperatures
2	Mean diurnal temperature range	Annual mean of monthly mean daily temperature ranges
3	Isothermality	Variable 2 ÷ variable 7
4	Temperature seasonality	Standard deviation of monthly mean temperature estimates, expressed as a percentage of their mean
5	Maximum temperature of warmest period	Highest monthly maximum temperature
6	Minimum temperature of coldest period	Lowest monthly minimum temperature
7	Annual temperature range	Variable 5 – variable 6
8	Mean temperature of wettest quarter	Mean temperature of three wettest consecutive months
9	Mean temperature of driest quarter	Mean temperature of three driest consecutive months
10	Mean temperature of warmest quarter	Mean temperature of three warmest months
11	Mean temperature of coldest quarter	Mean temperature of three coldest months
12	Annual precipitation	Sum of monthly precipitation values
13	Precipitation of wettest period	Precipitation of wettest month
14	Precipitation of driest period	Precipitation of driest month
15	Precipitation seasonality	Standard deviation of monthly precipitation estimates, expressed as a percentage of their mean
16	Precipitation of wettest quarter	Total precipitation of three wettest consecutive months
17	Precipitation of driest quarter	Total precipitation of three driest consecutive months
18	Precipitation of warmest quarter	Total precipitation of three warmest months
19	Precipitation of coldest quarter	Total precipitation of three coldest months
20	Start of growing season	Date when daily mean temperature first meets or exceeds 5°C for five consecutive days in spring
21	End of growing season	Date when daily minimum temperature first falls below –2°C after 1 August
22	Growing season length	Variable 21 – variable 20
23	Total precipitation in the three months before start of growing season	Total precipitation in the three months before variable 20
24	Total growing season precipitation	Total precipitation during variable 22
25	Growing degree-days during growing season	Total degree-days during variable 22, accumulated for all days where mean temperature exceeds 5°C
26	Annual minimum temperature	Annual mean of monthly minimum temperatures
27	Annual maximum temperature	Annual mean of monthly maximum temperatures
28	Mean temperature during growing season	Mean temperature during variable 22
29	Temperature range during growing season	Highest minus lowest temperature during variable 22
30	Climate Moisture Index (monthly)	Precipitation minus potential evapotranspiration

[a] In all cases, the descriptions should be considered estimates rather than actual values.
[b] Variables 1–19 were generated by ANUCLIM; variables 20–29 were generated by SEEDGROW. The approach used creates a daily sequence of minimum and maximum temperature and precipitation, with the values forced monotonically through the monthly values. The resulting values are intended to represent mean conditions only, as the weather in any given year would be expected to produce different results, because of interannual variability.

View publication stats