

Vegetation Map of the Vermilion Burn, 1972.

by Terry Willard and Stuart A. Harris

In past years, the vegetation part of the study has been based on the use of plots put in at convenient points on the burn. It was hoped that by this means, a reasonable idea of the recolonization of the burn would be obtained. What these studies could not tell us was the percentage of the area occupied by a given vegetation association or species.

This year, a map was made of the area and the surveyors also set out a 300 metre grid of pins.

Terry Willard

worked with the surveyors for most of June to help establish the first bench marks and then set about the task of describing and mapping the vegetation using two metre and five metre plots established at 141 of the 285 pins. The resultant maps (Figs. 1 -- 3) give a relatively clear idea of the state of revegetation of the burn.

Past Work.

Dubé (1972) has shown that there is a post-fire area of lodgepole pine changing via a spruce-pine stage to an alpine fir-Engelmann spruce climax in the general area of Eisenhower Junction-Marble Canyon. The final product is a forest composed of three layers or strata. These are the trees, the shrub layer and the herbaceous

plants on the ground. Most previous studies have concentrated on the regeneration of the trees for obvious economic reasons, but in the present study the changes of all three strata will be studied.

Ogilvie (1969) places this type of climax forest in his sub-alpine forest zone. He also explains the selective regeneration of lodgepole pine as being due to its special adaptation. The cones are usually sealed shut by resin bonds. Temperatures in excess of 113° F are required for melting these bonds and are only attained in fires. The cone remains on the tree for up to fifty years so that after a fire there is a sudden release of seeds in large quantities at a time when the seeds previously on the ground may have been destroyed. The condition of the cones also changes with the age of the trees. In the first twenty years open cones are produced but are replaced by mainly closed cones thereafter.

Lodgepole pine is adapted to growth in open conditions with high light intensity and it is shade-intolerant, i.e. it cannot establish itself within stands. Together with its rapid germination and rate of seedling growth, these characteristics readily explain its position in the zone.

Methods Used.

The original condition of the burned area was determined in two ways. Aerial photographs show the distribution of avalanche slopes and burned areas immediately prior to the fire. Age and species composition could not readily be determined on dead trees

owing to their dryness and state of preservation, so attention was concentrated on the live stands around the burn. Tree corings at breast height were used and the age was arrived at by counting the rings.

Tree and shrub distribution was mapped on the basis of five metre radius plots around each pin on the 300 metre grid. These have an area of 15.7 square metres. The herbaceous plots were only two metres in radius around the same pins. For each plot records were kept of the relevant species present and their number. Alternate transect lines were used for the mapping which proved satisfactory for the main area. However, application of this technique to the avalanche areas proved of doubtful value. The variability from plot to plot for the shrubs on the slope within the avalanche areas was immense and suggests that more than one plot per 300 metres will be necessary to sort out the distribution patterns. For this reason only part of this area was completed. In interpreting the maps, it needs to be remembered that small inclusions of other dominant species may occur between the sites on which the mapping of the dominant plants is based.

Results:

1. Condition prior to the fire. Fig. 4 shows the probable condition prior to the 1968 fire. A number of small avalanche areas were already present as well as a small area which had burned at an earlier

date.

A list of the tree corings is given in Table 1. On the Kootenay side of the Pass the climax forest included spruce trees between 250 and 307 years old, with lodgepole pine trees greater than 210 years old. However, in Banff National Park the trees were younger, the spruce having 120-200 rings while firs were 10 to 75 years old.

2. Vegetation regrowth. For convenience of discussion this will be split into the three strata, viz., trees, shrubs and herbs.

(a) Trees. Figure 1 and Table 2 give the results for the distribution of tree species in the five metre plots. It will be readily apparent that lodgepole pine occurs over most of the area, although some small areas of the north-west facing slopes and around the Altrude Lakes have yet to experience tree regeneration. Spruce and fir occur in the counts only close to the margins of the burn or along the road beside unburned trees. It looks as though most of the pre-existing seeds were destroyed in the fire and recolonization is dependent on the dispersal of fresh supplies of seed.

Density of tree growth varies enormously, the highest count being 56 trees in 15.7 square metres at 12B-NW. Reasons for this variability are unknown, although one area includes the previous burn while others may represent a lack of fire in the vegetation, e.g. on old avalanche slopes, or else zones of very hot fires. Most of the burn has between two and ten trees per 15.7 square

metres, but in all probability, the density will increase for a few years as seeds germinate.

(b) Shrubs. Table 2 and Figure 2 show the data for the distribution of shrubs in the area. It would appear that Menziesia glabella is the dominant shrub, and field evidence appears consistent with the regrowth of the shrub from pre-existing root systems that survived the fire. Most plants show well developed mature root systems with about three years' growth on top. This also is true of most of the other species present.

The north-west facing slope shows an almost complete dominance (75% of the plants) of Menziesia. Shrubs are absent at the highest altitudes on rocky surfaces, and again in one small zone on the valley side.

The pattern on the south-east facing slope is far more complicated, with other species such as Spiraea lucida, Shepherdia canadensis, Lonicera sp. and Rosa acicularis becoming dominant in some localities. The pattern appears to be too complicated to be mapped with the present grid in the main area of the avalanche slopes. It may be a product of competition of the species following fire, or it may represent added interference from avalanche activity. It would appear as though the pattern of shrub dominance on south-east facing slopes is much more complicated than on north-west facing slopes.

(c) Herbs. Table 3 and Figure 3 give the results of the study of the herbaceous plants present in the two-metre plots. The largest

single area is covered by an association dominated by Epilobium angustifolium (fireweed). Arnica cordifolia is also a major dominant in many areas but may be losing ground to the Epilobium. Grasses occur locally near former avalanche slopes and on flood plains, while both Habenaria dilatata and Erigeron glabellus are locally dominant in Banff National Park on south-east facing slopes. Tremendous variety is present and the dominant species will probably change as regeneration of the forest takes place. At high altitudes on the rocky north-west facing slopes, no herbaceous plants have become re-established yet. This may also change in the next few years.

Conclusions and suggestions for further work.

The state of recovery of the vegetation over the bulk of the area has been mapped. It will, however, need re-mapping at regular intervals, while it may also be desirable to establish plots in any plant associations which have not been examined so far. Both Pauline Olthof and Dennis Dube are being asked to locate their plots on a copy of the new base map. In this way this can be checked out. It will also be necessary to complete the study of the vegetation of the avalanche slopes with a more detailed study so as to determine the present situation there. This will need regular re-examination until the plant associations have stabilized.

References

- Dube, D. 1972. Vermilion Pass Fire Project, Preliminary Report A. Manuscript Report to Parks Branch, Dept. of Indian Affairs and Northern Development.
- Ogilvie, R.T. 1969. The Mountain Forest and Alpine Zones of Alberta. in "Vegetation, Soils and Wildlife." J.G. Nelson and M.J. Chambers, Eds., Methuen Publications, Toronto, pp. 25-44.

Table 1. Results of tree coring studies of the trees surrounding the burned area.

Location	Tree Species	Age (years)
SE 17-A	Spruce	285
SE 17-B	Spruce	257
SE 17-A	Lodgepole pine (rare)	210 (approx)
SE 17-A	Alpine fir	25
SE 17-A	Alpine fir	159
SE 6-D	Spruce	307
SW 0-A	Alpine fir	87
SW 0-A	Spruce	195
NE 3-A	Spruce	125
NE 4-A	Spruce	195
NE 3-A	Alpine fir	80
NE 4-A	Alpine fir	10
NE 4-A	Alpine fir	75
NE 3-A	Lodgepole pine (rare)	175
SW 17-A	Spruce	187
SW 17-A	Spruce	237
SW 20	Spruce	253
SW 17-A	Alpine fir	88

Table 2. Results of the study of the trees in the five metre plots in the Vermilion Pass Burn Area, 1972.

Plot No.	<u>Pinus</u> <u>contorta</u> <u>latifolia</u>	<u>Abies</u> <u>lasiocarpa</u>	<u>Picea</u> <u>engelmannii</u>	<u>Salix</u> sp.	<u>Betula</u> <u>glandulosa</u>	Dead Trees large/small	logs/stumps
NE 1	1			6		1	
NE 2				2			
NE 3				3		1	
NE 4				1			
NE 5							
NE 6							
NE 7			Dense				
NE 8				8			
NE 9				5			
NE 10				14			
NE 11				5			
NE 12						1	
NE 13							
NE 14						15	
NE 15							
000							
SE 1						1 / 1	6 / 0
SE 1 (i)				1			
SE 2				5			
SE 3						1 / 1	3 / 0
SE 4						0 / 1	5 / 0
SE 5				2		1 / 2	3 / 0
SE 6						1	
SE 7						2	
SE 8						1	
SE 9						1	
SE 10				1			
SE 11						0 / 145	2 / 0
SE 12				1	18		

able 2. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Pinus</u> <u>contorta</u> <u>latifolia</u>	<u>Abies</u> <u>lasiocarpa</u>	<u>Picea</u> <u>engelmannii</u>	<u>Salix</u> sp.	<u>Betula</u> <u>glandulosa</u>	Dead Trees large/small logs/stumps
SE 13	100% gravel					
SE 14						
SE 15		2				
SE 16						
SE 17						
SE 4B	9					
SE 4C						
SE 4C(i) (5660)	Seedlings			18		
SE 4D	3					
SE 4D(i) (6060)	Seedlings					
SE 4E	4					
SE 11B						
SE 11C	33					
SE 11 D	12					
SE 11E	11 (old)	11 (unburned)				
SE 11E(i)		Dense				
SE 11F	5					
SE 11G	3					
TI SEA						
TI SEB	4					
TI SEC	7					
TI SED	7					
TI SEE	20					
TI SEP (5975)						
TI SEG						
TI SEL						
T2 SWA	7					
T2 SWB(i) (565)	3					
T2 SWB(ii) (unburned fir stand)						
T2 SWC						
T2 SWD						

Table 2. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Pinus</u> <u>contorta</u>	<u>Abies</u> <u>lasiocarpa</u>	<u>Picea</u> <u>engelmannii</u>	<u>Salix</u> sp.	<u>Betula</u> <u>glandulosa</u>	Dead Trees large/small	logs/stumps
T2 SWE							
TE SW							
T2 SWF							
T3 SEA	1		2		4 / 4	7 / 0	
T3 SEB	1		2		3 / 45	23 / 5	
T3 SEC					4 / 2	10 / 0	
T3 SED	6				3 / 25	14 / 2	
T3 SEE	4				17 / 5		
T3 SEEF	3				5 / 21	5 / 0	
T3 SELG					6 / 12	7 / 0	
T3 SEH	4		3		4 / 14	8 / 3	
T4 DEA (5900)					3 / 18	7 / 4	
T4 DEA(i) (5527)			1				
T4 DEB	7						
T4 DEC	4				4 / 2	7 / 2	
T4 DED	9				6 / 10	7 / 1	
T4 DEE							
T4 DEF					5 / 4	6 / 2	
T4 DE (6200)					7 / 10	7 / 3	
T4 DEG							
T5 SWA	13				3	2 / 15	3 / 1
T5 SWB	15				3	2 / 23	7 / 1
T5 SWC	12					2 / 9	

Table 3. Result of the study of the shrubs in the five metre plots in the Vermilion Pass Burn Area, 1972.

Plot No.	<u>Menziesia</u> <u>glabellula</u>	<u>Spiraea</u> <u>lucida</u>	<u>Shepherdia</u> <u>canadensis</u>	<u>Rosa</u> <u>acicularia</u>	Other Species
NE 12(i)	5				
NW 12B		15	6	4	
NE 12C	6	5	4	3	
NE 12C(i)					5 Ledum
NE 12D	5				3 Sambucus
SE 8B	10				1 Pedicularis
SE 8D	7				2 Rubus
NE 4(1)		(unburned pocket)			2 Sambucus
NE 4A		Solid Rock			
NE 4B	18				2 Sambucus
NE 4C	14				2 Sambucus
NE 4D	13				1 Pedicularis
SE 16B	25				1
SE 16B(i)		(unburned pocket)			6 Ledum
SE 16C	15				
SW 8A	5				
SW 8A(i)	1				
SW 8B	1		3		
SW 8C				7	
				5	1 Rubus
SW 8D					2 Juniper
SW 5A					3 Amelanchier
SW 5B	11				2 Juniper
SW 5C	8				2 Vaccinium
NE 14C			2	2	1 Lonicera
SW 16A	3				1 Potentilla
SW 16B	4		1		2 Vaccinium
					1 Lonicera
					2 Lonicera

Table 3. (continued)

Plot No.	Menziesia	Spiraea	Shepherdia	Rosa	Other Species
	<u>Elabellia</u>	<u>lucidia</u>	<u>canadensis</u>	<u>acicularia</u>	
SW 16C		3			
SW 16D					
SW 16E					
NE 8A					
NE 8B	5	5	4	2	2 <i>Ledum</i>
NE 8C	4	5		3	1 <i>Potentilla</i>
NE 8D	10		10		
SW 12A	2	3			
SW 12B					
SW 12C	1				
SW 12D					
SW 12E					
(unburned spruce climax)					
NE 10A	5			2	
NE 10B	1				
NE 10C	2	1			
NE 10D	5			14	
NE 6A		5			
NE 6B	2	2			
NE 6C					
NE 6D	1				
OE B	6				
OE C	17				
OE D	8				
OE E	2				
OE F	1				
OE G					
OE H					
SE 6B	1			3 <i>Ledum</i>	
SE 6C	5			1 <i>Ledum</i>	
SE 6D	13				
SW 2A	1		3		
SW 2B	2				
SW 2C	5				

Table 3. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Menziesia</u>	<u>Spiraea</u>	<u>Shepherdia</u>	<u>Rosa</u>	Other Species
	<u>glabella</u>	<u>lucidua</u>	<u>canadensis</u>	<u>acicularia</u>	
SW 2D	2				
SE 13B	4				2 Ledum 1 Lonicera
SE 13C	12				2 Lonicera
SE 13D	5	4			2 Lonicera
SW 10A	2				2 Ledum
SW 10B	4	2			
SW 10C					
SW 10D	3				
SW 10E					2 Amelanchier

Table 4. Results of the study of the herbaceous vegetation in the two meter plots in the Vermilion Pass Burn Area, 1972.

Plot No.	Epilobium <u>angustifolium</u> (no. of stems)	Arnica <u>alpina</u> (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Percentage of Plot Covered	Other Species
NE 1	Roadside (Waste)		5		Lots of Yarrow (80-100) 5 Columbine 200 Strawberries 3 Raspberry Lots of Paintbrush nearby
NE 2	Wasteland				
NE 3	Wasteland (gravel)				Large Salix taking up 50% of plot Raspberries Strawberries 5-10% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>
NE 4	Wasteland				
NE 5	15				Tall Grasses Strawberries
NE 5(i)					5 <u>Orchis rotundifolia</u> 2 <u>Angelica argula</u> 3 <u>Pinguicula ovgans</u>
NE 6	37			10	
NE 7		22		5	
NE 8		37			
NE 9	10	35			
NE 10				60	
NE 11	35	12		35	
NE 12	15		15		
NE 13			30		Lots of strawberries 60% Strawberries (<u>Arnica</u> and <u>Epilobium</u> in woods nearby)
NE 14					Strawberry 6 Paintbrush 50 Dodocatheon
NE 15	100% Gravel and Dirt				
000	Great Divide, Parking Lot				

Tal +. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Epilobium angustifolium</u> (no. of stems)	<u>Arnica alpina</u> (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Other Species	
				Percentage of Plot Covered	
SE 1	174	18			3% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 2% Mosses
SE 2	148	83	20	50	2% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 2% Mosses
SE 3	172	71	5	75	8% <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 5% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 3% Mosses
SE 4	15		50		5% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>
SE 5			75		Gravel
SE 6	Roadside (gravel)		5		<u>Arnica</u> , <u>Epilobium</u> in nearby woods
SE 7	37		5		2% Mosses
SE 8	10		30		
SE 9			50		
SE 10	78	3	5		2% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 5% Mosses
SE 11	Parking Lot for Glacier		35	80	Many strawberries and much grass
SE 12	10	10			
SE 13	100% Gravel, 45° Angle Road Side				
SE 14	3	8	5	25	10% <u>Cornus canadensis</u>
SE 15	30	80		35	5% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>
SE 16	45	57	1	25	5% <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 2% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 2% Mosses
SE 17	Unburned Area				
SE 4A			50	100	<u>Thalictrum</u> (meadow rue) didn't plot
SE 4B (5380)	107			10	1% Mosses
SE 4C (5575)	91	22		5-10	5% <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 1% Mosses

Table 4. (continued)

Plot No.	Epilobium angustifolium (no. of stems)		Arnica alpina (no. of stems)		Grasses (percentage)		Percentage of Plot Covered	Other Species
	SE 4D (6200)	78	21	47	70	80		
SE 4E (5366)	39		16	< C. 5%	75	85	7 Dandelions	67 <i>Cornus canadensis</i> 0.5% <i>Linnaea borealis</i>
SE 11B (5660)	10							
SE 11C (5660)	8		27	1	30	42 <i>Cornus canadensis</i> 5% <i>Linnaea borealis</i>		
SE 11C (1) (5666)							Lots of Arnica and Columbine	
SE 11D (5800)	87		122		90	(10% of plot) 10 <i>Cornus canadensis</i>		
SE 11D (1) (5800)							Arnica dominant	
SE 11E (5900)					100	100		
SE 11F								
SE 11G	27		31			5		
SE 11H						2		2% <i>Linnaea borealis</i>
SE 11I								
T ₁ SE A	140				5	35		1% Mosses
T ₁ SE B (5400)								
T ₁ SE B (5500)	33		68		1	25		20 <i>Cornus canadensis</i> 1% <i>Linnaea borealis</i>
T ₁ SE C (5600)								
T ₁ SE C (5600)	31		110			35		43 <i>Cornus canadensis</i> 2% <i>Linnaea borealis</i>
T ₁ SE D (5700)	10		57		1	20		1 <i>Cornus canadensis</i> 2% <i>Linnaea borealis</i>
T ₁ SE E (5800)			125			55		5% <i>Linnaea borealis</i>
T ₁ SE E (1) (5850)								

Fireweed take over dominance

Table 4. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Epilobium</u> <u>angustifolium</u> (no. of stems)	Arnica <u>alpina</u> (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Other Species	
				Percentage of Plot Covered	Lots of fireweed in area
T ₁ SE F (5975)	47	51		35	
T ₁ SE G	5	84		25	
T ₁ SE H	6	4		5	1% Mosses
T ₂ SW A (5400)	15			100	Arnica only around trees Fireweed in open patch
T ₂ SW B (5650)		90% D			2 Dandelions Some Arnica in area
T ₂ SW B(ii) (5680)				65	Thalictum near unburned area
T ₂ SW C (5700)		100		45	15 Thalictum
T ₂ SW C(i) (5720)				5	
T ₂ SW D (5300)				2	
T ₂ SW E (6000)		10		5	23 Yarrow
T ₂ SW F (6200)				75	5% Linnaea borealis Lots of strawberry and several unidentified plants Avalanche area
T ₂ SW		5		85	Larkspur Strawberry Yarrow Thalictum
T ₃ SE A (5200)	29	30	5	35	18 Cornus canadensis 3% Linnaea borealis 0.5% Mosses

Table 4 (continued)

Plot No.	Epilobium angustifolium (no. of stems)	Arnica alpina (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Other Species		
				Percentage of Plot Covered		
T ₃ SE B (5400)	6	40	60	18	<u>Cornus canadensis</u>	
T ₃ SE C (5500)	1	27	75	1%	<u>Linnaea borealis</u>	
T ₃ SE D (5600)	3	10	45	73	<u>Cornus canadensis</u>	
T ₃ SE F (5700)	10	57	25	10%	<u>Linnaea borealis</u>	
T ₃ SE G (5800)	5	35	55	1%	<u>Mosses</u>	
T ₃ SE H (6000)	157	2	60	2%	<u>Linnaea borealis</u>	
T ₃ SE I (6100)	37	25	10	1%	<u>Columbine nearby</u>	
T ₄ DE A (5400)	120		15	2%	<u>Mosses</u>	
T ₄ DE B (5500)	20		< 1		<u>Arnica</u> and columbine nearby	
T ₄ DE C (5600)	9		0.5	5	21	<u>Cornus canadensis</u>
T ₄ DE D (5700)	24		1	5	Some	<u>dandelion</u>
T ₄ DE E (6000)	198			75		

Table 4. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Epilobium angustifolium</u> (no. of stems)	<u>Arnica alpina</u> (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Other Species		
				Percentage of Plot Covered		
T ₄ DE F (6100)	20				15 Thalictrum	
T ₄ DE G (6200)	30	15	15	25	20 Columbine	
T ₅ SW A (5500)	57		5	75	15 <u>Cornus canadensis</u>	
T ₅ SW B (5600)	129		5	25	40 <u>Cornus canadensis</u>	
T ₅ SW C (5750)		Arnica start to appear			10% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>	
					2% Mosses	
T ₅ SW D (5775)		Arnica take over: 80% dominance			21 <u>Cornus canadensis</u>	
T ₅ SW C (5750)	45	27	5	15	10% Mosses	
T ₅ SW D (5775)	17	143	2	75	24 <u>Cornus canadensis</u>	
T ₅ SW E (5900)	44	49	5	15	2% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>	
					1% Mosses	
					21 <u>Cornus canadensis</u>	

Table 4. (continued)

Plot No.	<u><i>Epilobium angustifolium</i></u> (no. of stems)	<u><i>Arnica alpina</i></u> (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Other Species	
				Percentage of Plot Covered	
NE 12 (i)	5	3	75	95	15% Mosses Bog Orchids
NE 12B	43		25	40	15 <u><i>Erigeron glabellus</i></u>
NE 12C	5			15	5 <u><i>Cornus canadensis</i></u> 3 <u><i>Erigeron glabellus</i></u>
NE 12C(i)	Organic Bog		100		
NE 12D	2	1	2	10	3 <u><i>Cornus canadensis</i></u> 5% Mosses
SE 8B	69	15		70	3 <u><i>Erigeron glabellus</i></u> 10 <u><i>Senecio (cymbalariaeosclis)</i></u> 27 <u><i>Cornus canadensis</i></u>
SE 8B(i)	<u><i>Arnica</i></u> take over dominance			10	
SE 8C	31			5	20 <u><i>Cornus canadensis</i></u>
SE 8D	3			5	2 <u><i>Carex</i></u> sp. 3 <u><i>Cornus canadensis</i></u>
NE 4A	Solid Rock		1-2		Lichens 1% Mosses
NE 4B	17			5	8 <u><i>Cornus canadensis</i></u> 1% Mosses
NE 4C	19			2	1% Mosses
NE 4D	13	25		10	6 <u><i>Cornus canadensis</i></u> 2% <u><i>Linnaea borealis</i></u> 1% Mosses
SE 16B		3		2	9 <u><i>Senecio</i></u> sp. 2 <u><i>Solidago multiradiata</i></u> 25 <u><i>Cornus canadensis</i></u> 2% <u><i>Linnaea borealis</i></u> 1% Mosses
SE 16B(i)	Unburned Pocket				

Table 4. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Epilobium angustifolium</u> (no. of stems)	<u>Arnica alpina</u> (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Other Species	
				Percentage of Plot Covered	
SE 16C		175		85	75 <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 1% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 1% <u>Mosses</u>
SW 8A	130	75	5	85	4% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 1% <u>Mosses</u>
SW 8A(i)		<u>Arnica</u> take over dominance			Cover is almost completely <u>Erigeron glabellus</u> and grass
SW 8A(ii)					
SW 8B	1		65	70	1 <u>Senecio</u> sp. 2 <u>Mertensia ciliata</u>
SW 8C	44		35	100	3 <u>Thalictrum occidentale</u> 25 <u>Achillea millefolium</u> 54 <u>Allium cernuum</u>
SW 8D	3		30	95	5 <u>Achillea millefolium</u> 15 <u>Allium cernuum</u> 5 <u>Mertensia ciliata</u> 5% <u>Fragaria ananassa</u> 7 <u>Gaillardia aristata</u> 2 <u>Heracleum lanatum</u>
SW 5A	105	2	5	35	57 <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 5% <u>Mosses</u> 8 <u>Erigeron glabellus</u>
SW 5B	40		10	30	75 <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 5% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>
SW 5C	4	57	10	25	51 <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 3% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>
NE 14C	2		5		2 <u>Senecio</u> sp. 44 <u>Erigeron glabellus</u>

Table 4. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Epilobium angustifolium</u> (no. of stems)	<u>Arnica alpina</u> (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Other Species	
				Percentage of Plot Covered	
NE 14B	1		2	10	<u>15 Cornus canadensis</u> 3% Mosses
SW 16A	47	15	10	75	<u>10 Erigeron glabellus</u> 5% Mosses
SW 16B	36	14	3	85	<u>8 Erigeron glabellus</u> 10% Mosses
SW 16C	1		1	5	<u>10 Cornus canadensis</u> 1% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>
SW 16D	7		1	10	<u>4% Linnaea borealis</u>
SW 16E	Didn't find.				
NE 8A				100	<u>35 Cornus canadensis</u>
NE 8B	10		10	15	<u>12 Erigeron glabellus</u> <u>3 Cornus canadensis</u> 2% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>
NE 8C	10		15	25	<u>3 Cornus canadensis</u> 1% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 1% Mosses
NE 8D	4		10	20	<u>2% Linnaea borealis</u> 1% Mosses
SW 12A	5	3	30	80	<u>12 Erigeron glabellus</u> 10% Mosses 3 <u>Erigeron glabellus</u> 10 <u>Senecio</u> sp.
SW 12B	14	5	15	35	<u>3 Cornus canadensis</u> 5% Mosses
SW 12C	3	27	10	75	<u>5 Gaillardia aristata</u> 10% <u>Fragaria ananassa</u> 15 <u>Allium cernuum</u>
SW 12D	1	3	25		

Table 4. (continued)

Plot No.	Epilobium angustifolium (no. of stems)	Arnica alpina (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Other Species	
				Percentage of plot covered	Percentage of plot covered
SW 12E	2		35	85	5% Mosses 6 Senecio sp. 8 Solidago multiradiata 5 Erigeron glabellus 15 Achillea millefolium 5 Thalictrum occidentale
NE 10A			5	100	95% Mosses 10 Castilleja minima
NE 10B	5	10	45	60	6 Erigeron glabellus
NE 10C	4	2		5	3 Cornus canadensis
NE 10D	73	35	10	55	14 Cornus canadensis
NE 6A	13	47	15	45	12 Cornus canadensis 5% Mosses 5 Senecio sp.
NE 6B	49	12	10	30	3 Cornus canadensis 3 Erigeron glabellus
NE 6C			5	10	3 Cornus canadensis 2% Mosses
NE 6D	14	3	2	15	5% Linnaea borealis 2% Mosses
OE B	19			1	2 Cornus canadensis
OE C	9		1	5	15 Cornus canadensis
OE D	20		2	5	
OE E	15	27	5	20	2 Cornus canadensis
OE F		150	1	60	
SE 6B	120		5	30	39 Cornus canadensis 5% Linnaea borealis
SE 6C	8	127		40	
SE 6D	51	62		35	

Table .. (continued)

Plot No.	<u>Epilobium</u> <u>Angustifolium</u> (no. of stems)	<u>Arnica</u> <u>alpina</u> (no. of stems)	Grasses (percentage)	Percentage of Plot Covered		Other Species
				20	6 <u>Senecio</u>	
SW 2A	3	27		50	5 <u>Cornus canadensis</u>	
SW 2B	68	14	10			
SW 2C	15	92	15	65	8 <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 5 <u>Erigeron glabellus</u>	
SW 2D	10	15	5	15	15 <u>Cornus canadensis</u>	
SE 13B	52	14		25	3 <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 5% <u>Linnaea borealis</u> 12 <u>Senecio</u> 5 <u>Erigeron glabellus</u>	
SE 13C	1	59		10	5 <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 3% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>	
SE 13D	59	4		15	5% <u>Linnaea borealis</u>	
SW 10A		72		75	10% <u>Mosses</u> 10 <u>Senecio</u> 28 <u>Erigeron glabellus</u>	
SW 10B		89	30	55	5 <u>Cornus canadensis</u> 5% <u>Fragaria</u> 3 <u>Gaillardia</u>	
SW 10C	5	94		10	45	10 <u>Campanula rotundifolia</u> 6 <u>Castilleja miniata</u>
SW 10D	47	3		15	35	2 <u>Castilleja</u>
SW 10E	12			5	10	43 <u>Cornus canadensis</u>